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#### Abstract

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded subset of $R^{n}$ with smooth boundary and $H$ be a Sobolev space $W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Let $I \in C^{1,1}$ be a strongly definite functional defined on a Hilbert space $H$. We investigate the conditions on which the functional $I$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. Palais-Smale condition is important for determining the critical points for $I$ by applying the critical point theory.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded subset of $R^{n}$ with smooth boundary. Let $L$ be an elliptic linear differential operator defined by

$$
-L=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\cdots+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{n}^{2}} .
$$

Let $H$ be a Sobolev space $W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ with the norm

$$
\|u\|=\left[\int_{\Omega} L u \cdot u d x\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

Let $I$ be a strongly definite functional defined on $H$ which is of the form

$$
I(u)=\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{2} L u \cdot u-b(x, u(x))\right] d x
$$

where $b(x, u(x)) \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega} \times H, R)$ is a given function. In this paper we investigate the conditions on which the functional $I$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. We say that the functional $I$ satisfies the PalaisSmale condition if for any given number $c \in R$, the sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ in

[^0]$H$ with $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $\nabla I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ possesses a convergent subsequence. Whether $I$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition or not is important for determining the critical points for $I$ by applying the critical point theory.

Our main results are as follows:
Theorem 1.1. Let $g(x, u)=u_{+}^{p}, h(x, u)=u_{-}^{p}$, with $2<p<2^{*}$, $2^{*}=\frac{2 n}{n-2}, n \geq 3$, where $u_{+}=\max \{u, 0\}$ and $u_{-}=-\min \{u, 0\}$. Then the functionals

$$
I(u)=\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{2} L u \cdot u-g(x, u)\right] d x
$$

and

$$
K(u)=\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{2} L u \cdot u-h(x, u)\right] d x
$$

satisfy the Palais-Smale condition.
Theorem 1.2. Assume that $f \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega} \times R, R)$ satisfies the following growth conditions:
$(f 1) f(x, 0)=0, f(x, u)>0$ if $u \neq 0, \inf _{\substack{x \in \Omega \\|u|^{2}=R^{2}}} f(x, u)>0$,
(f2) $u \cdot f_{u}(x, u) \geq p f(x, u) \forall x, u$,
(f3) $\left|f_{u}(x, u)\right| \leq \gamma|u|^{\nu}, \forall x, u$,
where $\left.C>0,2<p<2^{*}, 2^{*}=\frac{2 n}{n-2}, n \geq 3, \gamma \geq 0, \mu \in\right] 2,2^{*}[, \nu \leq$ $2^{*}-1-\left(2^{*}-p\right)\left(1-\frac{2^{* \prime}}{2^{*}}\right)$.
Then the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(u)=\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{2}(L u) \cdot u-f(x, u(x))\right] d x \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies the Palais-Smale condition.
In section 2 we obtain some results and properties of the linear operator $L$, and the function $f$. In section 2 we obtain some result on the corresponding functional $I(u)$ and prove Theorem 1.1. In section 3 we obtain some results and properties of the function $f$ and the corresponding functional $J(u)$, and prove Theorem 1.2.

Remark 1.1. We note that the function $a(x, u)=|u|^{p}$, with $2<$ $p<2^{*}$ and $\Omega$ bounded subset of $R^{n}$, satisfies the conditions $(f 1)-(f 3)$. Then the functional on $H$

$$
A(u)=\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{2} L u \cdot u-f(x, u)\right] d x
$$

satisfies the Palais-Smale condition.

Remark 1.2. Let $u_{+}=\max \{u, 0\}$ and $u_{-}=-\min \{u, 0\}$. Although the functions $g(x, u)=u_{+}^{p}, h(x, u)=u_{-}^{p}$, with $2<p<2^{*}$ and $\Omega$ bounded subset of $R^{n}$, do not satisfy the conditions (f2), the functionals

$$
I(u)=\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{2} L u \cdot u-g(x, u)\right] d x
$$

and

$$
K(u)=\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{2} L u \cdot u-h(x, u)\right] d x
$$

satisfy the Palais-Smale condition.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

First we shall prove that the functional

$$
\left.I(u)=\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{2} L u \cdot u-u_{+}^{p}\right)\right] d x, \quad 2<p<2^{*}, 2^{*}=\frac{2 n}{n-2}, n \geq 3 .
$$

satisfy the Palais-Smale condition. The eigenvalue problem $-L u=\lambda u$ in $\Omega, u=0$ on $\partial \Omega$ has infinitely many eigenvalues $\lambda_{k}, k \geq 1$ with $\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2} \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_{k} \leq \ldots$, and infinitely many eigenfunctions $\phi_{k}$ be the eigenfunction belonging to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{k}, k \geq 1$. We need the following proposition for applying the critical point theory:

Proposition 2.1. The functional $I(u)$ is continuous, Fréchet differentiable in $H$, with Fréchet derivative

$$
\nabla I(u) v=\int_{\Omega}\left[L u \cdot v-p u_{+}^{p-1} \cdot v\right] d x
$$

Moreover $\nabla I \in C$. That is $I \in C^{1}$.
Proof. First we prove that $I(u)$ is continuous at $u$. For $u, v \in H$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |I(u+v)-I(u)| \\
& =\left|\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}(L u+L v) \cdot(u+v) d x-\int_{\Omega}(u+v)_{+}^{p} d x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} L u \cdot u d x+\int_{\Omega} u_{+}^{p} d x\right| \\
& \left.=\left\lvert\, \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}(L u \cdot v+L v \cdot u+L v \cdot v) d x-\int_{\Omega}(u+v)_{+}^{p} d x-u_{+}^{p}\right.\right) d x \mid .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $u=\sum h_{n} \phi_{n}, v=\sum k_{n} \phi_{n}$. Then we have

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} L u \cdot v d x\right|=\left|\sum \lambda_{n} h_{n} k_{n}\right| \leq\|u\| \cdot\|v\|,
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\int_{\Omega} L v \cdot u d x\right|=\left|\sum \lambda_{n} k_{n} h_{n}\right| \leq\|u\| \cdot\|v\|, \\
\quad\left|\int_{\Omega} L v \cdot v d x\right|=\left|\sum \lambda_{n} k_{n} k_{n}\right| \leq\|v\|^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

from which we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}(L u \cdot v+L v \cdot u+L v \cdot v) d x\right| \leq\|u\| \cdot\|v\|+\|v\|^{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand

$$
\left|\left|(u+v)_{+}\right|^{p}-\left|u_{+}\right|^{p}\right| \leq C_{1}\left|u_{+}^{p-1}\right||v|+R_{2}\left(\left|u_{+}\right|,\left|v_{+}\right|\right)
$$

and hence we have

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|(u+v)_{+}\right|^{2}-\left|u_{+}\right|^{2}\right) d x\right| \leq 2\left\|u_{+}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\|v\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\|v\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq 2\|u\| \cdot\|v\|+\|v\|^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|(u+v)_{+}\right|^{p}-\left|u_{+}\right|^{p}\right) d x\right| \leq C_{1}\left\|u_{+}^{p-1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\|v\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+R_{2}\left(\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)},\|v\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq C_{2}\left\|u_{+}^{p-1}\right\|\|v\|+R_{2}(\|u\|,\|v\| \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.1) with (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$
|I(u+v)-I(u)|=o\left(\|v\|^{2}\right)
$$

from which we can conclude that $I(u)$ is continuous at $u$. Next we prove that $I(u)$ is Fréchet differentiable in $H$. For $u, v \in H$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |I(u+v)-I(u)-\nabla I(u) v| \\
& =\left\lvert\, \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}(L u+L v) \cdot(u+v) d x-\int_{\Omega}(u+v)_{+}^{p} d x\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}(L u) \cdot u d x+\int_{\Omega} u_{+}^{p} d x-\int_{\Omega}\left(L u-p u_{+}^{p-1}\right) \cdot v d x \right\rvert\, \\
& =\left|\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{2}(L v) \cdot v-(u+v)_{+}^{p}+u_{+}^{p}+p u_{+}^{p-1} v\right] d x\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining (2.1) with (2.2) and (2.3), we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|I(u+v)-I(u)-\nabla I(u) v|=O\left(\|v\|^{2}\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $I(u)$ is Fréchet differentiable in $H$. Similarly, it is easily checked that $I \in C^{1}$.

## Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $c \in R$ and $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ be a sequence such that

$$
u_{n} \in H, \forall n, I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c, \nabla I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

We claim that $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded. By contradiction we suppose that $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow+\infty$ and set $\hat{u_{n}}=\frac{u_{n}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\nabla I\left(u_{n}\right), \hat{u_{n}}\right\rangle & =\frac{2 I\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}-\frac{\int_{\Omega} p\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}^{p-1} \cdot u_{n} d x}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \\
& +\frac{2 \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}^{p} d x}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \longrightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\frac{\int_{\Omega}\left[p\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}^{p-1} \cdot u_{n}-2\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}^{p}\right] d x}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Thus there exists a constant $M>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
M> & \left.\int_{\Omega}\left[p\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}^{p-1} \cdot u_{n}\right)-2\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}^{p}\right] d x \mid \\
& \geq\left|\int_{\Omega}\left[p\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}^{p-1} \cdot u_{n}-2\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}^{p}\right] d x\right| \\
& \geq \int_{\Omega}\left[\left|p\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}^{p-1}\right|\left|u_{n}\right|-2\left|\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}^{p}\right|\right] d x \\
& \geq \int_{\Omega}\left[\left|p\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}^{p-1}\right|\left|\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}\right|-2\left|\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}^{p}\right|\right] d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left[\left|p\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}^{p}\right|-2\left|\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}^{p}\right|\right] d x \\
& =(p-2) \int_{\Omega}\left|\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}\right|^{p} d x=(p-2)\left\|\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \longleftarrow \frac{\left.\mid \int_{\Omega}\left[p\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}^{p-1} \cdot u_{n}-2\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}^{p}\right)\right] d x \mid}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \\
\quad \geq(p-2) \frac{\left\|\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $p>2$,

$$
\frac{\left\|\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \text { converges . }
$$

On the other hand

$$
\left\|p\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}^{p-1}\right\| \leq C_{1}\left\|\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}^{p-1}\right\|_{L^{2^{*^{\prime}}}(\Omega)}
$$

for suitable constant $C_{1}$. Then we have

$$
\left\|\frac{p\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}^{p-1}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}\right\| \leq C_{1}\left\|\frac{\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}^{p-1}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}\right\|_{L^{2^{*}}(\Omega)}
$$

If $p \geq 2^{*^{\prime}}(p-1)$, then by the Hölder's inequality, it is easily checked that $\left\|\frac{\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}^{p-1}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}\right\|_{L^{2^{*^{\prime}}}(\Omega)}$ can be estimated in terms of $\frac{\left\|\left(u_{n}\right)+\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}$. If $p \leq 2^{*^{\prime}}(p-$ $1)$, then by the standard interpolation inequalities, $\left\|\frac{\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}^{p-1}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}\right\|_{L^{2^{\prime}}(\Omega)} \leq$ $C_{2}\left(\frac{\left\|\left(u_{n}\right)+\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}\right)^{\frac{(p-1) \alpha}{p}}\left\|\left(u_{n}\right)+\right\|^{\beta}$ for some constant $C_{2}$, where $\alpha>0$ is such that $\frac{\alpha}{p}+\frac{1-\alpha}{2^{*}}=\frac{1}{2^{*}}$ and $\beta=(1-\alpha)(p-1)-1-\frac{(p-1) \alpha}{p}$. Since $p-1 \leq$ $2^{*}-1-\left(2^{*}-p\right)\left(1-\frac{2^{*^{\prime}}}{2^{*}}\right), \beta<0$. Thus we have

$$
\left\|\frac{p\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}^{p-1}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}\right\| \leq C_{2}\left(\frac{\left\|\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}\right)^{\frac{(p-1) \alpha}{p}}\left\|\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}\right\|^{\beta}
$$

for a constant $C_{2}$. Since $\frac{\left\|\left(u_{n}\right)+\right\|^{p}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}$ converges and $\beta<0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}^{p-1}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \text { converges. } \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.5) and the boundedness of $\hat{u_{n}}$,

$$
\left\langle\frac{p\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}^{p-1}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}, \hat{u_{n}}\right\rangle \text { converges. }
$$

Thus by (2.5), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle\frac{p\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}^{p-1}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}, \hat{u_{n}}\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega} \frac{p\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}^{p-1}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \cdot \hat{u_{n}} \\
=\frac{\frac{\int_{\Omega}\left(p\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}^{p-1}\right) \cdot u_{n}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \longrightarrow 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus $\hat{u_{n}} \rightharpoonup 0$. We get

$$
\frac{\nabla I\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}=L \hat{u_{n}}-\frac{p\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}^{p-1}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \longrightarrow 0
$$

By (2.5), L $\hat{u_{n}}$ converges. Since $\left(\hat{u_{n}}\right)_{n}$ is bounded and the operator of $L^{-1}$ is a compact mapping, up to subsequence, $\left(\hat{u_{n}}\right)_{n}$ has a limit. Since $\hat{u_{n}} \rightharpoonup 0$, we get $\hat{u_{n}} \rightarrow 0$, which is a contradiction to the fact that $\left\|\hat{u_{n}}\right\|=$ 1. Thus $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded. We can now suppose that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ for some $u \in H$. We claim that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ strongly. We have that

$$
\left\langle\nabla I\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle=\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\int_{\Omega}\left[p\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}^{p-1} u_{n}\right] d x\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Since $\int_{\Omega}\left[p\left(u_{n}\right)_{+}^{p-1} u_{n}\right] d x \longrightarrow \int_{\Omega}\left[p u_{-}^{p-1} u\right] d x,\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}$ converge. Thus $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ converges to some $u$ strongly with $\nabla I(u)=\lim \nabla I\left(u_{n}\right)=0$. Thus we prove the lemma.

For the case $K(u)$, the proof follows arguing as in the case $I(u)$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

We need some lemmas:
Lemma 3.1. Assume that $f$ satisfies the conditions (f1)-(f3). Then there exist $a_{0}>0, b_{0} \in R$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, u) \geq a_{0}|u|^{p}-b_{0}, \quad \forall x, u . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $u$ be such that $|u|^{2} \geq R^{2}$. Let us set $\varphi(\xi)=f(x, \xi u)$ for $\xi \geq 1$. Then

$$
\varphi(\xi)^{\prime}=u \cdot f_{u}(x, \xi u) \geq \frac{\mu}{\xi} \varphi(\xi)
$$

Multiplying by $\xi^{-p}$, we get

$$
\left(\xi^{-p} \varphi(\xi)\right)^{\prime} \geq 0,
$$

hence $\varphi(\xi) \geq \varphi(1) \xi^{p}$ for $\xi \geq 1$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x, u) & \geq f\left(x, \frac{R|u|}{\sqrt{|u|^{2}}}\right)\left(\frac{\sqrt{|u|^{2}}}{R}\right)^{p} \geq c_{0}\left(\frac{\sqrt{|u|^{2}}}{R}\right)^{p} \\
& \geq a_{0}|u|^{p}-b_{0}, \text { for some } a_{0}, b_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{0}=\inf \left\{\left.f(x, u)| | u\right|^{2}=R^{2}\right\}$.

Lemma 3.2. Assume that $f$ satisfies the conditions (f1)-(f3). Then if $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow+\infty$ and

$$
\frac{\int_{\Omega} u_{n} \cdot f_{u}\left(x, u_{n}\right) d x-2 \int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) d x}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \rightarrow 0,
$$

then there exist $\left(u_{h_{n}}\right)_{n}$ and $w \in H$ such that

$$
\frac{\operatorname{grad}\left(\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{h_{n}}\right) d x\right)}{\left\|u_{h_{n}}\right\|} \rightarrow w \text { and } \frac{u_{h_{n}}}{\left\|u_{h_{n}}\right\|} \rightharpoonup 0 .
$$

Proof. By (f2) and Lemma 3.1, for $u \in H$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\Omega}\left[u \cdot f_{u}(x, u)\right] d x-2 \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) d x \geq \\
(p-2) \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) d x \geq(p-2)\left(a_{0}\|u\|_{L^{p}}^{p}-b_{1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

By (f3),

$$
\left\|\operatorname{grad}\left(\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) d x\right)\right\| \leq C^{\prime}\left\||u|^{\nu}\right\|_{L^{2^{* \prime}}}
$$

for suitable constant $C^{\prime}$. To get the conclusion it suffices to estimate $\| \frac{\mid u u^{\nu}}{\|u\|_{L^{2^{* \prime}}}}$ in terms of $\frac{\|u\|_{L^{p}}^{p}}{\|u\|}$. If $p \geq 2^{* \prime} \nu$, then this is an consequence of Hölder inequality. Next we consider the case $p<2^{* \prime} \nu$. By the assumptions $p$ and $\nu$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu \leq 2^{*}-1-\left(2^{*}-p\right)\left(1-\frac{2^{* \prime}}{2^{*}}\right) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the standard interpolation arguments, it follows that $\left\|\frac{|u|^{\nu}}{\|u\| \|}\right\|_{L^{2^{* \prime}}} \leq$ $C\left(\frac{\|u\|_{L^{p}}^{p}}{\|u\|^{\frac{\nu \alpha}{p}}}\|u\|^{\beta}\right.$, where $\alpha$ is such that $\frac{\alpha}{p}+\frac{1-\alpha}{2^{*}}=\frac{1}{2^{*^{\prime} \nu}}(\alpha>0)$ and $\beta=(1-\alpha) \nu-1-\frac{\nu \alpha}{p}$. By (3.2), $\beta \leq 0$. Thus we prove the lemma.

By (f3), the functional $I(u)$ is well-defined and continuous on $H$.
Proposition 3.1. Assume that the conditions $(f 1)-(f 3)$ hold. Then the functional $J(u)$ is continuous, Fréchet differentiable in $H$ with Fréchet derivative

$$
\nabla J(u) v=\int_{\Omega}\left[(L u) \cdot v-f_{u}(x, u) \cdot v\right] d x .
$$

Moreover $\nabla J \in C$. That is $J \in C^{1}$.

Proof. First we shall prove that $J(u)$ is continuous at $u$. For $u, v \in H$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |J(u+v)-J(u)| \\
& =\left\lvert\, \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}(L u+L v) \cdot(u+v) d x-\int_{\Omega} f(x, u+v) d x\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}(L u) \cdot u d x+\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) d x \right\rvert\, \\
& =\left\lvert\, \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left[(L u \cdot v+L v \cdot u+L v \cdot v) d x-\int_{\Omega}(f(x, u+v)-f(x, u)) d x \mid .\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega} \times H, R)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Omega}[f(x, u+v)-f(x, u)] d x\right| \leq\left|\int_{\Omega}\left[f_{u}(x, u) \cdot v+o(|v|)\right] d x\right|=O(|v|) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we have

$$
|J(u+v)-J(u)|=O\left(|v|^{2}\right),
$$

So $J(u)$ is continuous at $u$ in $H$. Next we shall prove that $J(u)$ is Fréchet differentiable in $H$. For $u, v \in H$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |J(u+v)-J(u)-\nabla J(u) v| \\
& =\left\lvert\, \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}(L u+L v) \cdot(u+v) d x-\int_{\Omega} f(x, u+v) d x\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}(L u) \cdot u d x+\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) d x-\int_{\Omega}\left(L u-f_{u}(x, u)\right) \cdot v d x \right\rvert\, \\
& =\left\lvert\, \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}[L u \cdot v+L v \cdot u+L v \cdot v] d x\right. \\
& -\int_{\Omega}[f(x, u+v)-f(x, u)] d x-\int_{\Omega}\left[\left(L u-f_{u}(x, u)\right) \cdot v\right] d x \mid
\end{aligned}
$$

By (3.3), we have

$$
|J(u+v)-J(u)-\nabla J(u) v|=O\left(|v|^{2}\right)
$$

Similarly, it is easily checked that $J \in C^{1}$.
Proof of Theorem 1.2
From now on we shall prove that $J$ satisfies Palais-Smale condition under the assumptions (f1)-(f3). Assume that the (f1)-(f3) hold. Let $c \in R$ and $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ be a sequence in $H$ such that

$$
J\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c, \quad \nabla J\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

We claim that $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded. By contradiction we suppose that $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow+\infty$ and set $\hat{u_{n}}=\frac{u_{n}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle\nabla J\left(u_{n}\right), \hat{u_{n}}\right\rangle=2 \frac{J\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}- \\
\frac{\int_{\Omega} f_{u}\left(x, u_{n}\right) \cdot u_{n} d x-2 \int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) d x}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \longrightarrow 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence

$$
\frac{\int_{\Omega} f_{u}\left(x, u_{n}\right) \cdot u_{n} d x-2 \int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) d x}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

By Lemma 3.2,

$$
\frac{\operatorname{grad} \int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) d x}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \quad \text { converges }
$$

and $\hat{u_{n}} \rightharpoonup 0$. We get

$$
\frac{\nabla J\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}=L \hat{u_{n}}-\frac{\operatorname{grad}\left(\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) d x\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \longrightarrow 0
$$

so $L \hat{u_{n}}$ converges. Since $\left(\hat{u_{n}}\right)_{n}$ is bounded and the operator of $L^{-1}$ is a compact mapping, up to subsequence, $\left(\hat{u_{n}}\right)_{n}$ has a limit. Since $\hat{u_{n}} \rightharpoonup 0$, we get $\hat{u_{n}} \rightarrow 0$, which is a contradiction to the fact that $\left\|\hat{u_{n}}\right\|=1$. Thus $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded. We can now suppose that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ for some $u \in$ $H$. Since the mapping $u \mapsto \operatorname{grad}\left(\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) d x\right)$ is a compact mapping, $\operatorname{grad}\left(\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) d x\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{grad}\left(\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) d x\right)$. Thus Lun converges. Since the operator of $L^{-1}$ is a compact operator and $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded, we deduce that, up to a subsequence, $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ converges to some $u$ strongly with $\nabla J(u)=\lim \nabla J\left(u_{n}\right)=0$. Thus we prove the lemma.
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