

## ON ALMOST PSEUDO-VALUATION DOMAINS

GYU WHAN CHANG

ABSTRACT. Let  $D$  be an integral domain, and let  $\bar{D}$  be the integral closure of  $D$ . We show that if  $D$  is an almost pseudo-valuation domain (APVD), then  $D$  is a quasi-Prüfer domain if and only if  $D/P$  is a quasi-Prüfer domain for each prime ideal  $P$  of  $D$ , if and only if  $\bar{D}$  is a valuation domain. We also show that  $D(X)$ , the Nagata ring of  $D$ , is a locally APVD if and only if  $D$  is a locally APVD and  $\bar{D}$  is a Prüfer domain.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $D$  be an integral domain,  $K$  be the quotient field of  $D$ , and  $\bar{D}$  be the integral closure of  $D$  in  $K$ . An *overring* of  $D$  is a ring between  $D$  and  $K$ . As in [11], we say that a prime ideal  $P$  of  $D$  is *strongly prime* if  $xy \in P$  and  $x, y \in K$  imply  $x \in P$  or  $y \in P$ , while  $D$  is a *pseudo-valuation domain* (PVD) if every prime ideal of  $D$  is strongly prime; equivalently, if  $D$  is quasi-local whose maximal ideal is strongly prime. It is well known that  $D$  is a PVD if and only if there exists a valuation overring  $V$  of  $D$  such that  $\text{Spec}(V) = \text{Spec}(D)$  [11, Theorem 2.7]; so if  $D$  is a PVD, then  $\text{Spec}(D)$  is linearly ordered under inclusion [11, Corollary 1.3]. Let  $D$  be a PVD with maximal ideal  $M$ . It is also known that if  $D$  is not a valuation domain, then  $M^{-1} = \{x \in K \mid xM \subseteq D\}$  is a valuation domain such that  $\text{Spec}(M^{-1}) = \text{Spec}(D)$  (in particular,  $M$  is the maximal ideal of  $M^{-1}$ ) [11, Theorem 2.10].

As generalizations of “strongly prime” and “PVD”, Badawi and Houston [2] introduced the notion of “strongly primary” and “almost PVD”;

---

Received April 28, 2010. Revised June 1, 2010. Accepted June 7, 2010.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13A15, 13B25, 13F05, 13G05.

Key words and phrases: almost pseudo-valuation domain (APVD), locally APVD, quasi-Prüfer domain,  $D(X)$ .

(i) An ideal  $I$  of  $D$  is *strongly primary* if  $xy \in I$  with  $x, y \in K$  implies  $x \in I$  or  $y^n \in I$  for some integer  $n \geq 1$ .

(ii)  $D$  is an *almost PVD* (APVD) if each prime ideal of  $D$  is strongly primary.

Clearly, a strongly prime ideal is strongly primary, and thus a PVD is an APVD. It is known that if  $D$  is quasi-local with maximal ideal  $M$ , then  $D$  is an APVD if and only if there exists a valuation overring  $V$  of  $D$  such that  $M = MV$  and  $\sqrt{MV}$  is the maximal ideal of  $V$  [2, Theorem 3.4]. It is also known that if  $D$  is an APVD, then  $\text{Spec}(D)$  is linearly ordered under inclusion (and hence  $D$  is quasi-local) and  $\bar{D}$  is a PVD [2, Propositions 3.2 and 3.7].

Let  $X$  be an indeterminate over  $D$ , and let  $D[X]$  be the polynomial ring over  $D$ . For each  $f \in D[X]$ , we denote by  $c(f)$  the ideal of  $D$  generated by the coefficients of  $f$ . Let  $N = \{f \in D[X] \mid c(f) = D\}$ ; then  $N$  is a saturated multiplicative subset of  $D[X]$ . The quotient ring  $D[X]_N$ , denoted by  $D(X)$ , is called the *Nagata ring of  $D$* . It is known that  $D$  is a Prüfer domain if and only if  $D(X)$  is a Prüfer domain [1, Theorem 4]. As in [9], we say that  $D$  is a *quasi-Prüfer domain* if for each prime ideal  $P$  of  $D$ , if  $Q$  is a prime ideal of  $D[X]$  with  $Q \subseteq P[X]$ , then  $Q = (Q \cap D)[X]$ . It is well known that  $D$  is a Prüfer domain if and only if  $D$  is integrally closed and quasi-Prüfer [10, Theorem 19.15].

Following [8], we say that  $D$  is a *locally pseudo-valuation domain* (LPVD) if  $D_M$  is a PVD for each maximal ideal  $M$  of  $D$ . Clearly, an LPVD is a global part of PVDs, and thus it is natural to call  $D$  a *locally APVD* (LAPVD) if  $D_M$  is an APVD for each maximal ideal  $M$  of  $D$ . Note that a PVD is an APVD, and thus an LPVD is an LAPVD. In [4, Corollary 3.9], Chang showed that  $D(X)$  is an LPVD if and only if  $D$  is an LPVD and  $\bar{D}$  is a Prüfer domain. In this paper, we study some properties of an LAPVD. More precisely, we show that if  $D$  is an APVD with maximal ideal  $M$ , then  $D$  is a quasi-Prüfer domain if and only if  $D/P$  is a quasi-Prüfer domain for each prime ideal  $P$  of  $D$ , if and only if  $\bar{D}$  is a valuation domain and that if  $M$  is finitely generated, then  $D$  is a quasi-Prüfer domain. We also show that  $D(X)$  is an LAPVD if and only if  $D$  is an LAPVD and a quasi-Prüfer domain, if and only if  $D$  is an LAPVD and  $\bar{D}$  is a Prüfer domain.

## 2. Almost pseudo-valuation domains

Throughout this paper  $D$  is an integral domain with quotient field  $K$ ,  $\bar{D}$  is the integral closure of  $D$  in  $K$ ,  $X$  is an indeterminate over  $D$ ,  $D[X]$  is the polynomial ring over  $D$ , and  $D(X)$  is the Nagata ring of  $D$ .

We know that both PVDs and APVDs are quasi-local; so we will say that  $(D, M)$  is a PVD or an APVD if  $D$  is a PVD or an APVD with maximal ideal  $M$ . We begin this paper by recalling some known results for APVDs and quasi-Prüfer domains (Lemmas 1-3).

LEMMA 1. *If  $D$  is not a valuation domain, then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  $D$  is an APVD.
- (2)  $D$  has a strongly primary maximal ideal.
- (3)  $D$  is quasi-local and the maximal ideal  $M$  of  $D$  is such that  $(M : M)$  is a valuation domain with  $M$  primary to the maximal ideal of  $(M : M)$ .
- (4)  $D$  is quasi-local, and there is a valuation overring of  $D$  in which the maximal ideal of  $D$  is primary.

*Proof.* [2, Theorem 3.4]. □

LEMMA 2. *Let  $(D, M)$  be an APVD that is not a valuation domain, and let  $P \subsetneq M$  be a prime ideal of  $D$ .*

- (1)  $\bar{D}$  is a PVD with maximal ideal  $\sqrt{M\bar{D}}$ .
- (2)  $P$  is a strongly prime ideal of  $D$ ; so  $P = PD_P$ .
- (3)  $D_P$  is a valuation domain; so  $D_P = \bar{D}_{D \setminus P}$  and  $P$  is a strongly prime ideal of  $\bar{D}$ .
- (4)  $D/P$  is an APVD.

*Proof.* (1) [2, Proposition 3.7]. (2) Since  $P$  is not maximal,  $P$  is a strongly prime of  $D$  [2, Proposition 3.2], and thus  $P = PD_P$ . (3) Since  $P$  is not maximal,  $D_P$  is a valuation domain [6, Lemma 3.1]; so  $D_P = \bar{D}_{D \setminus P}$  because a valuation domain is integrally closed. Hence  $P = \bar{D} \cap PD_P$ , and thus  $P$  is a strongly prime ideal of  $\bar{D}$  by (2). (4) This follows directly from [6, Theorem 3.4] since  $P = PD_P$  and  $D_P$  is a valuation domain by (2) and (3). □

LEMMA 3. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $D$  is a quasi-Prüfer domain.
- (2)  $\bar{D}$  is a Prüfer domain.

- (3) Each overring of  $D$  is a quasi-Prüfer domain.
- (4)  $D(X)$  is a quasi-Prüfer domain.
- (5)  $D_M$  is a quasi-Prüfer domain for each maximal ideal  $M$  of  $D$ .

*Proof.* This appears in [5, Theorem 1.1]. □

It is known that if  $(D, M)$  is a PVD which is not a valuation domain, then every overring of  $D$  is a PVD if and only if  $\bar{D}$  is a valuation domain [12, Proposition 2.7]. Also, if each overring of  $D$  is an APVD, then  $\bar{D}$  is a valuation domain [2, Proposition 3.8], while  $\bar{D}$  being a valuation domain does not imply that each overring of  $D$  is an APVD [2, Example 3.9]. Our next result shows that if each overring of  $D$  is an APVD, then  $D$  is quasi-Prüfer.

**THEOREM 4.** (cf. [4, Theorem 2.3]) *The following statements are equivalent for an APVD  $(D, M)$ .*

- (1)  $D$  is a quasi-Prüfer domain.
- (2)  $\bar{D}$  is a valuation domain.
- (3)  $\bar{D} = (M : M)$ .
- (4) Each overring of  $D$  is a quasi-Prüfer domain.
- (5) There is an integral overring of  $D$  which is a quasi-Prüfer domain.
- (6)  $\bar{D}$  is a quasi-Prüfer domain.
- (7) Each integrally closed overring of  $D$  is a valuation domain.

Moreover, if  $\dim(D) = 1$ , then the above conditions are equivalent to

- (8) Each overring  $R (\neq K)$  of  $D$  is integral over  $D$ .

*Proof.* Let  $V = (M : M)$ . Then  $V$  is a valuation domain and  $M$  is a primary ideal of  $V$  by Lemma 1.

(1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3 because  $\bar{D}$  is quasi-local (Lemma 2(1)). (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Note that  $\sqrt{M\bar{D}}$  is the maximal ideal of  $\bar{D}$  and  $\bar{D} \subseteq V$ . Also, note that  $M\bar{D} \subseteq MV = M$  since  $M$  is an ideal of  $V$ ; so  $(\sqrt{M\bar{D}})V \subsetneq V$ . Thus  $\bar{D} = V$  [10, Theorem 17.6]. (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) and (7) Let  $R$  be an overring of  $D$ , and let  $\bar{R}$  be the integral closure of  $R$ . Then  $\bar{D} \subseteq \bar{R}$ ; so  $\bar{R}$  is a valuation domain [10, Theorem 17.6]. Thus  $R$  is a quasi-Prüfer domain by Lemma 3. (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (6); (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5); (6)  $\Rightarrow$  (5); and (7)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Clear. (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $R$  be a quasi-Prüfer domain such that  $D \subseteq R \subseteq \bar{D}$ . Then  $\bar{D}$  is the integral closure of  $R$ , and hence  $\bar{D}$  is a valuation domain by Lemma 3. (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (8) Let  $R$  be an overring of  $D$ , and let  $\bar{R}$  be the integral closure of  $R$ . Then  $\bar{D} \subseteq \bar{R}$ , and since

$\dim(\bar{D}) = 1$ , we have  $\bar{D} = \bar{R}$  (cf. [10, Theorem 17.6]). (8)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) This follows because  $D \subseteq \bar{D} \subseteq V$ .  $\square$

Let  $(D, M)$  be an APVD such that  $\bar{D}$  is a valuation domain. Then each overring  $R$  of  $D$  is comparable to  $\bar{D}$ , i.e., either  $R \subseteq \bar{D}$  or  $\bar{D} \subseteq R$ . For if  $R \not\subseteq \bar{D}$ , then  $\bar{D} \subsetneq \bar{R}$ , the integral closure of  $R$ , and hence  $\bar{R}$  is a valuation domain [10, Theorem 17.6]. Let  $Q$  be the maximal ideal of  $\bar{R}$ . Then  $Q \cap D$  is not a maximal ideal of  $D$ , and hence  $\bar{R} = D_{Q \cap D}$  (cf. [10, Theorem 17.6] and Lemma 2(3)). Since  $\bar{R}$  is quasi-local,  $R$  is quasi-local with maximal ideal  $Q \cap R$ . Hence  $\bar{R} = D_{Q \cap D} \subseteq R_{Q \cap R} = R$ , and thus  $\bar{D} \subsetneq \bar{R} = R$ . Thus if  $\bar{D}$  is a valuation domain, then each overring of an APVD  $D$  is an APVD if and only if each integral overring of  $D$  is an APVD [2, Proposition 3.10].

**COROLLARY 5.** *Let  $(D, M)$  be an APVD, and let  $P \subsetneq M$  be a prime ideal of  $D$ . Then  $D$  is a quasi-Prüfer domain if and only if  $D/P$  is a quasi-Prüfer domain.*

*Proof.* Note that  $P = PD_P$ ,  $P$  is a strongly prime ideal of both  $D$  and  $\bar{D}$ ,  $D_P = \bar{D}_{D \setminus P}$  is a valuation domain, and  $D/P$  is an APVD (Lemma 2). Also, note that  $(D/P)_{P/P} \cong D_P/PD_P = D_P/P$ ; hence  $D_P/P$  (resp.,  $\bar{D}/P$ ) can be considered as the quotient field (resp., integral closure) of  $D/P$ . Moreover, since  $\bar{D}_{D \setminus P}$  is a valuation domain, it follows that  $\bar{D}$  is a valuation domain if and only if  $\bar{D}/P$  is a valuation domain [7, Lemma 4.5(v)]. Thus by Theorem 4,  $D$  is a quasi-Prüfer domain if and only if  $\bar{D}$  is a valuation domain, if and only if  $\bar{D}/P$  is a valuation domain, if and only if  $D/P$  is a quasi-Prüfer domain.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 6.** (cf. [4, Corollary 2.5]) *Let  $(D, M)$  be an APVD such that  $M$  is finitely generated, and let  $\{P_\alpha\}$  be the set of prime ideals of  $D$  properly contained in  $M$ . Then*

- (1)  $P := \cup P_\alpha$  is a prime ideal of  $D$ .
- (2)  $P \subsetneq M$ , and hence  $D/P$  is a one-dimensional local Noetherian domain.
- (3)  $D$  is a quasi-Prüfer domain, and hence  $\bar{D}$  is a valuation domain.
- (4) An overring  $R$  of  $D$  has a prime ideal lying over  $M$  (if and) only if  $R$  is integral over  $D$ .

*Proof.* (1) and (2) Clearly,  $P$  is a prime ideal because  $\{P_\alpha\}$  is linearly ordered under inclusion. Also, since  $M$  is finitely generated,  $P \subsetneq M$ .

Next, note that  $D/P$  is one-dimensional quasi-local, and thus  $D/P$  is Noetherian by Cohen's theorem

(3) By (2),  $D/P$  is a one-dimensional local Noetherian domain, and hence  $\overline{D/P}$  is a Dedekind domain (so Prüfer domain). Also, since  $D/P$  is an APVD by Lemma 2(4),  $\overline{D/P}$  is quasi-local, and thus  $\overline{D/P}$  is a valuation domain. Thus  $D/P$  is quasi-Prüfer by Theorem 4, and so  $D$  is quasi-Prüfer by Corollary 5.

(4) First, note that  $\bar{D}$  is a valuation domain by (3), and hence  $\bar{R}$  is also a valuation domain [10, Theorem 17.6]. Let  $Q$  be a prime ideal of  $R$  such that  $Q \cap D = M$ . Then  $M \subseteq Q \subseteq Q\bar{R} \subsetneq \bar{R}$ , and since  $\sqrt{M\bar{D}}$  is a maximal ideal of  $\bar{D}$  by Lemma 2(1),  $\bar{R} \subseteq \bar{D}$  [10, Theorem 17.6]. Thus  $\bar{R} = \bar{D}$ .  $\square$

We next give the main result of this paper.

**THEOREM 7.** *The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  $D$  is an APVD and a quasi-Prüfer domain.
- (2)  $D$  is an APVD and  $\bar{D}$  is a valuation domain.
- (3)  $D(X)$  is an APVD.

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be the maximal ideal of  $D$ , and note that  $D(X)$  is quasi-local with maximal ideal  $M(X) = MD(X)$  [10, Proposition 33.1].

(1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) Theorem 4.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Assume that  $D$  is an APVD such that  $\bar{D}$  is a valuation domain. Then  $M$  is a primary ideal of  $\bar{D}$  by Lemma 2(1); so the Nagata ring  $\bar{D}(X)$  of  $\bar{D}$  is a valuation domain [10, Proposition 18.7] and  $M(X) = M\bar{D}(X)$  is a primary ideal of  $\bar{D}(X)$  [10, Proposition 33.1(4)]. Thus  $D(X)$  is an APVD by Lemma 1.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $D(X)$  be an APVD. Then  $M(X)$  is a strongly primary ideal of  $D(X)$  by Lemma 1, and since  $M(X) \cap K = M$  (cf. [10, Proposition 33.1(4)]),  $M$  is strongly primary. Thus  $D$  is an APVD by Lemma 1.

Next, assume to the contrary that  $D$  is not a quasi-Prüfer domain. Then, by Lemma 3, there exists a prime ideal  $Q$  of  $D[X]$  such that  $Q \subseteq M[X]$  and  $(Q \cap D)[X] \subsetneq Q$ . Let  $N = \{g \in D[X] \mid c(g) = D\}$ ; then  $Q_N \subsetneq M(X)$ , and so  $Q_N$  is strongly prime by Lemma 2(2). Choose  $f \in Q \setminus (Q \cap D)[X]$ , and let  $a$  be a coefficient of  $f$  that is not in  $Q \cap D$ . Then  $a \notin Q_N$  and  $f = a\frac{f}{a} \in Q_N$ , and hence  $\frac{f}{a} \in Q_N \subseteq M(X)$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

COROLLARY 8. *The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  *$D$  is an LAPVD and a quasi-Prüfer domain.*
- (2)  *$D$  is an LAPVD and  $\bar{D}$  is a Prüfer domain.*
- (3)  *$D(X)$  is an LAPVD.*

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) Lemma 3.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Assume that  $D$  is an LAPVD and a quasi-Prüfer domain. Let  $Q$  be a maximal ideal of  $D(X)$ ; then  $Q = (Q \cap D)(X)$  with  $Q \cap D$  maximal ideal of  $D$  [10, Proposition 33.1]. Note that  $D_{Q \cap D}$  is an APVD and a quasi-Prüfer domain by Lemma 3. Thus  $D(X)_Q = (D_{Q \cap D}[X])_{Q_{Q \cap D}} = D_{Q \cap D}(X)$ , the Nagata ring of  $D_{Q \cap D}$ , is an APVD by Theorem 7.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $P$  be a maximal ideal of  $D$ . Then  $P(X)$  is a maximal ideal of  $D(X)$  [10, Proposition 33.1]. Hence  $(D(X))_{P(X)} = D[X]_{P[X]} = D_P(X)$ , the Nagata ring of  $D_P$ , is an APVD. Thus  $D_P$  is an APVD and  $D_P$  is a quasi-Prüfer domain by Theorem 7. Thus  $D$  is an LAPVD and a quasi-Prüfer domain by Lemma 3.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 9. *Let  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $D$  such that  $P = PD_P$  and  $D_P$  is a valuation domain. Then  $D/P$  is an LAPVD if and only if  $D$  is an LAPVD.*

*Proof.* First, note that  $P$  is strongly prime, and if  $P$  is a maximal ideal, then  $D$  is a PVD. Hence we may assume that  $P$  is not maximal. Next, note that each maximal ideal of  $D/P$  is of the form  $M/P$  for some maximal ideal  $M$  of  $D$  such that  $(D/P)_{M/P} \cong D_M/PD_M$  and  $(D_M)_{PD_M} = D_P$ . Thus  $D$  is an LAPVD if and only if  $D_M$  is an APVD for each maximal ideal  $M$  of  $D$ , if and only if  $D_M/PD_M$  is an APVD for each maximal ideal  $M$  of  $D$  [6, Theorem 3.4], if and only if  $D/P$  is an LAPVD.  $\square$

COROLLARY 10. *Let  $V = F + M$  be a valuation domain and  $R = D + M$ , where  $F$  is a field,  $M$  is a nonzero maximal ideal of  $V$ , and  $D$  is a proper subring of  $F$ . Then  $R$  is an LAPVD if and only if either  $D$  is an LAPVD with  $F = K$  or  $D$  is a field.*

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $R$  be an LAPVD, and assume that  $D$  is not a field. Then  $M$  is not a maximal ideal of  $R$ . So  $R_M = K + M$  is a valuation domain by Lemma 2(3); hence  $F = K$  [3, Theorem 2.1]. Moreover, since  $D \cong R/M$ ,  $D$  is an LAPVD by Proposition 9. ( $\Leftarrow$ ) If  $D$  is a field, then  $R$  is a PVD [7, Proposition 4.9], and hence  $R$  is an LAPVD. Next, assume

that  $D$  is an LAPVD with  $F = K$ . Note that  $M = MR_M$ ,  $D \cong R/M$ , and  $R_M = K + M$  is a valuation domain [3, Theroem 2.1]. Thus  $R$  is an LAPVD by Proposition 9.  $\square$

We end this paper by constructing an LAPVD that is neither an APVD nor an LPVD.

EXAMPLE 11. (1) Let  $\mathbb{Q}[[t]]$  be the power series ring over the field  $\mathbb{Q}$  of rational numbers, and let  $D = \mathbb{Q}[[t^2, t^3]]$ . Then  $D$  is an APVD that is not a PVD and  $\bar{D} = \mathbb{Q}[[t]]$  [6, Example 2.1]. Clearly,  $\bar{D}$  is a valuation domain, and thus  $D(X)$  is an APVD by Theorem 7.

(2) Let the notation be as in (1) above. Let  $M$  be the maximal ideal of  $D$ . Let  $S = D[X^2, X^3] \setminus (MD[X^2, X^3] \cup X^2D[X])$ , and set  $R = D[X^2, X^3]_S$ . Then  $R$  is a one-dimensional semi-local Noetherian domain with maximal ideals  $MR$  and  $X^2D[X]_S$ . Note that  $R_{MR} = D(X)$ ; so  $R_{MR}$  is an APVD by (1). Also, note that  $R_{X^2D[X]_S} = D[X^2, X^3]_{X^2D[X]}$ ;  $X^2D[X]_{X^2D[X]}$  is a maximal ideal of  $D[X^2, X^3]_{X^2D[X]}$ ; and  $D[X]_{XD[X]}$  is a one-dimensional valuation domain; Note that

$$(X^2D[X]_{X^2D[X]})D[X]_{XD[X]} = X^2D[X]_{XD[X]};$$

so  $X^2D[X]_{XD[X]}$  is a primary ideal of  $D[X]_{XD[X]}$ . Thus  $R_{X^2D[X]_S}$  is an APVD by Lemma 1. Therefore  $R$  is an LAPVD but not an LPVD.

## References

- [1] J. Arnold, *On the ideal theory of the Kronecker function ring and the domain  $D(X)$* , Canad. J. Math. **21**(1969), 558-563.
- [2] A. Badawi and E. G. Houston, *Powerful ideals, strongly primary ideals, almost pseudo-valuation domains, and conductive domains*, Comm. Algebra **30**(2002), 1591-1606.
- [3] E. Bastida and R. Gilmer, *Overrings and divisorial ideals of the form  $D + M$* , Michigan Math. J. **20**(1973), 79-95.
- [4] G. W. Chang, *Locally pseudo-valuation domain of the form  $D[X]_{N_v}$* , J. Korean Math. Soc. **45**(2008), 1405-1416.
- [5] G. W. Chang and M. Fontana *Uppers to zero in polynomial rings and prüfer-like domains*, Comm. Algebra **37**(2009), 164-192.
- [6] G. W. Chang, H. Nam and J. Park, *Strongly primary ideals*, in Arithmetical Properties of Commutative Rings and Monoids, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., Chapman and Hall, **241**(2005), 378-386.
- [7] D. E. Dobbs, *Coherence, ascent of going-down, and pseudo-valuation domains*, Houston J. Math. **4**(1978), 551-567.



- [8] D. E. Dobbs and M. Fontana, *Locally pseudo-valuation domains*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.(4) **134**(1983), 147-168.
- [9] M. Fontana, J. A. Huckaba and I. J. Papick, *Prüfer domains*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1997.
- [10] R. Gilmer, *Multiplicative Ideal Theory*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1972.
- [11] J. R. Hedstrom and E. G. Housotn, *Pseudo-valuation domains*, Pacific J. Math. **75**(1978), 137-147.
- [12] J. R. Hedstrom and E. G. Housotn, *Pseudo-valuation domains (II)*, Houston J. Math. **4**(1978), 199-207.

Department of Mathematics  
University of Incheon  
Incheon 402-749, Korea  
*E-mail*: whan@incheon.ac.kr