# NONTRIVIAL SOLUTIONS FOR THE NONLINEAR BIHARMONIC SYSTEM WITH DIRICHLET BOUNDARY CONDITION 

Tacksun Jung and Q-Heung Chor*

Abstract. We investigate the existence of multiple nontrivial solutions $(\xi, \eta)$ for perturbations $g_{1}, g_{2}$ of the harmonic system with Dirichlet boundary condition

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Delta^{2} \xi+c \Delta \xi=g_{1}(2 \xi+3 \eta) & \text { in } \Omega \\
\Delta^{2} \eta+c \Delta \eta=g_{2}(2 \xi+3 \eta) & \text { in } \Omega
\end{array}
$$

where we assume that $\lambda_{1}<c<\lambda_{2}, g_{1}^{\prime}(\infty), g_{2}^{\prime}(\infty)$ are finite. We prove that the system has at least three solutions under some condition on $g$.

## 1. Introduction

Let $\Omega$ be a smooth bounded region in $R^{n}$ with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$. Let $\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2} \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_{k} \leq \ldots$ be the eigenvalues of $-\Delta$ with Dirichlet boundary condition in $\Omega$. In [8] Jung and Choi studied the multiplicity of solutions of the nonlinear biharmonic equation with Dirichlet boundary condition

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta^{2} u+c \Delta u=g(u)  \tag{1.1}\\
\text { in } \Omega, \\
u=0, \quad \Delta u=0
\end{gather*} \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega, ~ \$
$$
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where $g$ is a differentiable function from $R$ to $R$ such that $g(0)=0$, $c \in R$ and $\Delta^{2}$ denotes the biharmonic operator. Here we assume that $g^{\prime}(\infty)=\lim _{|u| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(u)}{u} \in R$.

In this paper we investigate the existence of multiple nontrivial solutions $(\xi, \eta)$ for perturbations $g_{1}, g_{2}$ of the harmonic system with Dirichlet boundary condition

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta^{2} \xi+c \Delta \xi=g_{1}(2 \xi+3 \eta) \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.2}\\
& \Delta^{2} \eta+c \Delta \eta=g_{2}(2 \xi+3 \eta) \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
& \xi=0, \eta=0, \Delta \xi=0, \Delta \eta=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{align*}
$$

where we assume that $\lambda_{1}<c<\lambda_{2}, g_{1}^{\prime}(\infty), g_{2}^{\prime}(\infty)$ are finite.
Problem (1.1) was studied by Choi and Jung in [5], [6]. They showed that problem (1.1) has at least three solutions. The authors proved that (1.1) has at least two solutions by a variation of linking Theorem. The authors also proved in [7] that the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta^{2} u+c \Delta u=b u^{+}+s \quad \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.3}\\
u=0, \quad \Delta u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

has at least two solutions by a variational reduction method when $\lambda_{1}<$ $c<\lambda_{2}, b<\lambda_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}-c\right)$ or $c<\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}-c\right)<b<\lambda_{k+1}\left(\lambda_{k+1}-c\right)$. This type problem arises in the study of travelling waves in a suspension bridge $([9,10,11])$ or the study of the static deflection of an elastic plate in a fluid ([1,2,3,4,12,13]).

In section 2 we define a Banach space $H$ spanned by eigenfunctions of $\Delta^{2}+c \Delta$ with Dirichlet boundary condition. We recall a Linking Scale Theorem which will play a crucial role in our argument. In section 3 we prove that problem (1.1) has at least three solutions under some condition on $g$. In section 4 we investigate the existence of multiple nontrivial solutions $(\xi, \eta)$ for perturbations $g_{1}, g_{2}$ of harmonic system (1.2).

## 2. Linking scale theorem

Let $\lambda_{k}(k=1,2, \ldots)$ denote the eigenvalues and $\phi_{k}(k=1,2, \ldots)$ the corresponding eigenfunctions, suitably normalized with respect to $L^{2}(\Omega)$ inner product, of the eigenvalue problem $\Delta u+\lambda u=0$ in $\Omega$, with the Dirichlet boundary condition, where each eigenvalue $\lambda_{k}$ is repeated as
often as its multiplicity. We recall that $0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2} \leq \lambda_{3} \leq \ldots, \lambda_{i} \rightarrow$ $+\infty$ and that $\phi_{1}(x)>0$ for $x \in \Omega$. The eigenvalue problem $\Delta^{2} u+$ $c \Delta u=\mu u$ in $\Omega$ with the Dirichlet boundary condition $u=0, \Delta u=0$ on $\partial \Omega$, has infinitely many eigenvalues $\lambda_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}-c\right), k=1,2, \ldots$, and corresponding eigenfunctions $\phi_{k}(x)$. The set of functions $\left\{\phi_{k}\right\}$ is an orthogonal base for $W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Let us denote an element $u$ of $W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ as $u=\sum h_{k} \phi_{k}, \sum h_{k}^{2}<\infty$. Let $c$ be not an eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ and define a subspace $E$ of $W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ as follows

$$
E=\left\{u \in W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega): \sum\left|\lambda_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}-c\right)\right| h_{k}^{2}<\infty\right\} .
$$

Then this is a complete normed space with a norm

$$
\left|\|u \mid\|=\left[\sum\left|\lambda_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}-c\right)\right| h_{k}^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} .\right.
$$

We need the following some properties which are proved in $[6,7]$. Since $\lambda_{k} \rightarrow+\infty$ and $c$ is fixed, we have:
(i) $\left(\Delta^{2} u+c \Delta\right) u \in E$ implies $u \in E$.
(ii) $\left|\|u \mid\| \geq C\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\right.$, for some $C>0$.
(iii) $\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=0$ if and only if $\mid\|u\| \|=0$.

Definition 2.1. Let $X$ be a Hilbert space, $Y \subset X, \rho>0$ and $e \in X \backslash Y, e \neq 0$. Set:

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{\rho}(Y)=\left\{x \in Y \mid\|x\|_{X} \leq \rho\right\}, \\
S_{\rho}(Y)=\left\{x \in Y \mid\|x\|_{X}=\rho\right\}, \\
\Delta_{\rho}(e, Y)=\left\{\sigma e+v \mid \sigma \geq 0, v \in Y,\|\sigma e+v\|_{X} \leq \rho\right\}, \\
\Sigma_{\rho}(e, Y)=\left\{\sigma e+v \mid \sigma \geq 0, v \in Y,\|\sigma e+v\|_{X}=\rho\right\} \cup\left\{v \mid v \in Y,\|v\|_{X} \leq \rho\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Now we recall a theorem of existence of three solutions which is linking scale theorem.

Theorem 2.1. (Linking Scale Theorem) Let $X$ be an Hilbert space, which is topological direct sum of the four subspaces $X_{0}, X_{1}, X_{2}$ and $X_{3}$. Let $F \in C^{1}(X, R)$. Moreover assume:
(a) $\operatorname{dim} X_{i}<+\infty$ for $i=0,1,2$;
(b) there exist $\rho>0, R>0$ and $e \in X_{2}, e \neq 0$ such that;

$$
\rho<R \quad \text { and } \quad \sup _{S_{\rho}\left(X_{0} \oplus X_{1} \oplus X_{2}\right)} F<\inf _{\Sigma_{R}\left(e, X_{3}\right)} F ;
$$

(c) there exist $\rho^{\prime}>0, R^{\prime}>0$ and $e^{\prime} \in X_{1}, e^{\prime} \neq 0$ such that:

$$
\rho^{\prime}<R^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad \sup _{S_{\rho^{\prime}}\left(X_{0} \oplus X_{1}\right)} F \leq \inf _{\Sigma_{R^{\prime}}\left(e^{\prime}, X_{2} \oplus X_{3}\right)} F ;
$$

(d) $R \leq R^{\prime}\left(\Rightarrow \Delta_{R}\left(e, X_{3}\right) \subset \Sigma_{R^{\prime}}\left(e^{\prime}, X_{2} \oplus X_{3}\right)\right)$;
(e) $-\infty<a=\inf _{\Delta_{R^{\prime}}\left(e, X_{2} \oplus X_{3}\right)} F$;
(f) $(P . S .)_{c}$ holds for any $c \in[a, b]$ where $b=\sup _{B_{\rho}\left(X_{0} \oplus X_{1} \oplus X_{2}\right)} F$.

Then there exist three critical levels $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ for the functional $F$ such that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a \leq c_{3} & \leq \sup _{S_{\rho^{\prime}}\left(X_{0} \oplus X_{1}\right)} F<\inf _{\Sigma_{R^{\prime}}\left(e^{\prime}, X_{2} \oplus X_{3}\right)} F \leq \inf _{\Delta_{R}\left(e, X_{3}\right)} F \leq c_{2} \\
& \leq \sup _{S_{\rho}\left(X_{0} \oplus X_{1} \oplus X_{2}\right)} F<\inf _{\Sigma_{R}\left(e . X_{3}\right)} F \leq c_{1} \leq b .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 2.1. Assume that $g: E \rightarrow R$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1.1. Then all solutions in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ of

$$
\Delta^{2} u+c \Delta u=g(u) \quad \text { in } L^{2}(\Omega)
$$

belong to $E$.
With the aid of Proposition 2.1 it is enough that we investigate the existence of solutions of (1.1) in the subspace $E$ of $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Let $I: E \rightarrow R$ be the functional defined by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2}|\Delta u|^{2}-\frac{c}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}-G(u), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G(s)=\int_{0}^{s} g(\sigma) d \sigma$. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, $I(u)$ is well defined. By the following Proposition, $I$ is of class $C^{1}$ and the weak solutions of (1.1) coincide with the critical points of $I(u)$.

Proposition 2.2. Assume that $g(u)$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1.1. Then $I(u)$ is continuous and Frèchet differentiable in $E$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
D I(u)(h)=\int_{\Omega} \Delta u \cdot \Delta h-c \nabla u \cdot \nabla h-g(u) h \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $h \in X$. Moreover $\int_{\Omega} G(u) d x$ is $C^{1}$ with respect to $u$. Thus $I \in C^{1}$.
Let $Z_{2}$ act on $E$ orthogonally. Then $E$ has two invariant orthogonal subspaces $F i x_{Z_{2}}$ and $F i x_{Z_{2}}^{\perp}$. Let us set

$$
H=F i x_{Z_{2}}^{\perp}
$$

The $Z_{2}$ action has the representation $u \mapsto-u, \forall u \in H$. Thus $Z_{2}$ acts freely on the invariant subspace $H$. We note that $H$ is a closed invariant linear subspace of $E$ compactly embedded in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. It is easily checked that $\Delta^{2}+c \Delta$ and $g$ are equivariant on $H$, so $I$ is invariant on $H$. Moreover $\left(\Delta^{2}+c \Delta\right)(H) \subseteq H, \Delta^{2}+c \Delta: H \rightarrow H$ is an isomorphism and $D I(H) \subseteq H$. Therefore critical points on $H$ are critical points on $E$.

## 3. A single biharmonic equation

In this section we prove the existence of multiple solutions of the a nonlinear biharmonic equation.

Theorem 3.1. Assume that $\lambda_{1}<c<\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}-c\right)<g^{\prime}(\infty)<$ $\lambda_{k+1}\left(\lambda_{k+1}-c\right), \lambda_{k+m}\left(\lambda_{k+m}-c\right)<g^{\prime}(0)<\lambda_{k+m+1}\left(\lambda_{k+m+1}-c\right)$ and $g^{\prime}(t) \leq \gamma<\lambda_{k+m+1}\left(\lambda_{k+m+1}-c\right)$, where $m \geq 1, k>2$ and $\gamma \in R$. Then problem (1.1) has at least three solutions.

Let $H_{k}$ be the subspace of $H$ spanned by $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{k}$ whose eigenvalues are $\lambda_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}-c\right), \ldots, \lambda_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}-c\right)$. Let $H_{k}^{\perp}$ be the orthogonal complement of $H_{k}$ in $H$. Let $r=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\lambda_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}-c\right)+\lambda_{k+1}\left(\lambda_{k+1}-c\right)\right\}$ and let $L: H \rightarrow H$ be the linear continuous operator such that

$$
(L u, v)=\int_{\Omega}\left(\Delta^{2} u+c \Delta u\right) \cdot v d x-r \int_{\Omega} u v d x .
$$

Then $L$ is symmetric, bijective and equivariant. The spaces $H_{k}, H_{k}^{\perp}$ are the negative space of $L$ and the positive space of $L$. Moreover, there exists $\nu>0$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\forall u \in H_{k} \quad: & (L u, u) \leq\left(\lambda_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}-r\right)\right) \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x \leq-\nu\left|\|u \mid\|^{2},\right. \\
\forall u \in H_{k}^{\perp} \quad: & (L u, u) \geq\left(\lambda_{k+1}\left(\lambda_{k+1}-c\right)\right) \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x \geq \nu \mid\|u\|^{2} .
\end{array}
$$

We can write

$$
I(u)=\frac{1}{2}(L u, u)-\psi(u)
$$

where

$$
\psi(u)=\int_{\Omega}\left[G(u)-\frac{1}{2} r u^{2}\right] d x .
$$

Since $H$ is compactly embedded in $L^{2}$, the map $D \psi: X \rightarrow X$ is compact.

Lemma 3.1. Assume that $g(u)$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3.1. Then $I(u)$ satisfies the $(P . S .)_{M}$ condition for any $M \in R$.

For the proof see [8].
Lemma 3.2. Under the same assumptions of Theorem 3.1, The function $I(u)$ is bounded from above on $H_{k}$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{u \in H_{k}} I(u)<0, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from below on $H_{k}^{\perp}$; there exists $R_{k}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{\substack{u \in H-H \\\| \| u \|=R_{k}}} I(u)>0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{\substack{u \in H_{i}^{\perp} \\ \| u l \mid l R_{k}}} I(u)>-\infty . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For some constant $d \geq 0$, we have $G_{r}(s) \geq \frac{1}{2} \alpha s^{2}+d$, where $G_{r}(s)=\int_{0}^{s} g_{r}(\sigma) d \sigma$. For $u \in H_{k}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(L u, u) \leq & \left(\lambda_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}-c\right)-r\right) \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x \\
= & \frac{\lambda_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}-c\right)-\lambda_{k+1}\left(\lambda_{k+1}-c\right)}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2}, \\
& \int_{\Omega} G_{r}(u) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2}+d|\Omega|
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
I(u) \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\lambda_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}-c\right)-\lambda_{k+1}\left(\lambda_{k+1}-c\right)}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2}-\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2}-d|\Omega|<0,
$$

since $\frac{\lambda_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}-c\right)-\lambda_{k+1}\left(\lambda_{k+1}-c\right)}{2}<\alpha$. Thus the functional $I$ is bounded from above on $H_{k}$. Next we will prove that (3.2) and (3.3) hold. To get our claim (3.2), it is enough to prove that:

$$
\lim _{\substack{u \in H^{\perp} \\ \| u u \rightarrow+\infty}} I(u)=+\infty .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\substack{u \in H^{\perp} \\
\| \\
\| u \| \rightarrow+\infty}} I(u) \\
& \left.\geq \lim _{\substack{u \in H \\
\text { }\|u\| \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{r}{\lambda_{k+1}\left(\lambda_{k+1}-c\right)}\right) \right\rvert\,\|u\|^{2}-\lim _{\substack{u \in H^{\frac{1}{k}} \\
\|u\| \rightarrow \rightarrow+\infty}} \int_{\Omega} G_{r}(u) d x \\
& \geq \lim _{\substack{u \in \in \frac{\perp}{k} \\
\|u\| \rightarrow+\infty}} \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{r}{\lambda_{k+1}\left(\lambda_{k+1}-c\right)}\right)\left|\left\|\left.u\left|\|^{2}-\lim _{\substack{u \in H_{b}^{\perp} \\
\|u u\| \rightarrow+\infty}} \frac{1}{2} \beta \int_{\Omega} u^{2}-\bar{b}\right| \Omega \right\rvert\,\right.\right. \\
& \geq \lim _{\substack{u \in H_{k}^{\perp} \\
\|u u\| \rightarrow+\infty}} \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{r}{\lambda_{k+1}\left(\lambda_{k+1}-c\right)}-\frac{\beta}{\lambda_{k+1}\left(\lambda_{k+1}-c\right)}\right)\left|\left\|u\left|\|^{2}-\bar{b}\right| \Omega \mid\right.\right. \\
& \longrightarrow+\infty \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

since there exists $\bar{b} \in R$ such that

$$
G_{r}(u)<\frac{1}{2} \beta u^{2}+\bar{b},
$$

and

$$
\beta<\frac{\lambda_{k+1}\left(\lambda_{k+1}-c\right)-\lambda_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}-c\right)}{2}
$$

Now we will prove (3.3). Since

$$
\lambda_{k+m}\left(\lambda_{k+m}-c\right)<g^{\prime}(0)<\lambda_{k+m+1}\left(\lambda_{k+m+1}-c\right)
$$

and

$$
g^{\prime}(t) \leq \gamma<\lambda_{k+m+1}\left(\lambda_{k+m+1}-c\right)
$$

there exists

$$
\lambda_{k+m}\left(\lambda_{k+m}-c\right)<\bar{\gamma}<\lambda_{k+m+1}\left(\lambda_{k+m+1}-c\right)
$$

and $\bar{d} \geq 0$ such that $G(u)<\frac{\bar{\gamma}}{2} u^{2}+\bar{d}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \inf _{\substack{u \in H \\
\|u\|<R}}^{\|(u)} I(u) \\
& =\inf _{\substack{u \in H^{\frac{1}{k}} \\
\| \| u \|<R}}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left|\|u \mid\|-\int_{\Omega} G(u)\right\}\right. \\
& >\inf _{\substack{u \in H^{\frac{1}{k}} \\
\| \| u \|<R}}\left\{\left.\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{\bar{\gamma}}{\lambda_{k+1}\left(\lambda_{k+1}-c\right)}\right)\left|\|u\| \|^{2}-\bar{d}\right| \Omega \right\rvert\,\right\}>-\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.3. Under the same assumptions of Theorem 1.1, there exists $\rho_{k}>0$ such that

$$
\sup _{\substack{u \in H_{k} \\\| \| u=\rho_{k}}} I(u)<0 \text {. }
$$

Proof. Let $L_{\infty}: H \rightarrow H$ be the linear operator defined by

$$
\left(L_{\infty} u, v\right)=\left(\Delta^{2} u+c \Delta u\right) v-g^{\prime}(\infty) \int_{\Omega} u v d x
$$

where $\lambda_{i+1}\left(\lambda_{i+1}-c\right)<\lambda_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}-c\right)<g^{\prime}(\infty)<\lambda_{k+1}\left(\lambda_{k+1}-c\right), k>i+1$. Then $L_{\infty}$ is an isomorphism. The spaces $H_{k}$, and $H_{k}^{\perp}$ are the negative space of $L_{\infty}$ and the positive space of $L_{\infty}$ respectively, and

$$
H=H_{k} \oplus H_{k}^{\perp}
$$

Set $G_{\infty}(s)=G(s)-\frac{1}{2} g^{\prime}(\infty) s^{2}$. Then

$$
I(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left(L_{\infty} u, u\right)-\int_{\Omega} G_{\infty}(s) d x
$$

Thus, by Lemma 4.2, $\lim _{\substack{u \in H \\ u \rightarrow 0}} \frac{1}{\|u\|^{2}} \int_{\Omega} G_{\infty}(u) d x \geq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{\substack{u \in H_{k} \\
u \rightarrow 0}} \frac{I(u)}{\|u \mid\|^{2}} & <\lim _{\substack{u \in H_{k} \\
u \rightarrow 0}} \frac{1}{2 \mid\|u\|^{2}}\left[\lambda_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}-c\right)-g^{\prime}(\infty)\right] \int_{\Omega} u^{2} \\
& -\lim _{\substack{u \in H_{k} \\
u \rightarrow 0}} \frac{1}{\|u \mid\|^{2}} \int_{\Omega} G_{\infty}(u) d x<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

thus there exists $\rho_{k}>0$ such that

$$
\sup _{\substack{u \in H_{k} \\\|u\|=\rho_{k}}}<0 .
$$

Lemma 3.4. Under the same assumptions of Theorem 1.1,

$$
\inf _{\substack{z \in H_{k}^{\frac{1}{k}, \sigma \geq 0} \\\left\|z-\sigma e_{1}\right\|=R_{k}}} I\left(z-\sigma e_{1}\right) \geq 0 .
$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, there exists $R_{k}>0$ such that

$$
\inf _{\substack{u \in H^{\frac{1}{k}} \\\|u\|=R_{k} \\ \|}} I(u)>0 .
$$

To get our claim, it is enough to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\substack{z \in H_{k}^{\perp}, \sigma \geq 0,\left\|z-\sigma e_{1}\right\| \rightarrow+\infty}} I\left(z-\sigma e_{1}\right)=+\infty . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove (3.4), we need to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\substack{z \in H \\ \text { and } \\\|z\| \|=1}} \int z^{2}=\max _{\substack{z \in H_{k}^{\frac{1}{k}, \sigma \geq 0,} \\\left\|z-\sigma e_{1}\right\|=1}} \int\left(z-\sigma e_{1}\right)^{2} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, we have immediately $\max _{\substack{z \in H \frac{\perp}{k} \\\| \| z=1}} \int z^{2} \leq \max _{\substack{z \in H \frac{1}{k}, \sigma \geq 0 \\\| \| z-\sigma e_{1}\| \|=1}} \int\left(z-\sigma e_{1}\right)^{2}$.
Now we prove that $\max _{\substack{z \in H \perp \\\|z\| \|=1}} \int z^{\| \| z \|=1} \leq \max _{\substack{z \in H \frac{1}{k}, \sigma \geq 0 \\\| \| z-\sigma e_{1} \|=1}} \int^{\| \| z-\sigma e_{1} \|=1}\left(z-\sigma e_{1}\right)^{2}$.
If $\sigma>0$, then

$$
2 \int\left(z-\sigma e_{1}\right) v=\nu\left(z-\sigma e_{1}, v\right), \quad \forall v \in H_{1} \oplus H_{k}^{\perp}
$$

Taking $v=z-\sigma e_{1}$ we get $\nu=2 \int\left(z-\sigma e_{1}\right)^{2}$ and taking $v=e_{1}$ we also get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leq 2 \int\left(z-\sigma e_{1}\right) e_{1} & =2 \int\left(z-\sigma e_{1}\right)^{2}\left(z-\sigma e_{1}, e_{1}\right) \\
& =-2 \sigma \int\left(z-\sigma e_{1}\right)^{2}<0
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives a contradiction. Then $z-\sigma e_{1}=z \in H_{k}^{\perp}$ and so

$$
\max _{\substack{z \in H \in \\\left\|z-\sigma e_{1}\right\|=1}} \int\left(z-\sigma e_{1}\right)^{2}=\max _{\substack{z \in H \stackrel{\perp}{k} \\\| \| z \|=1}} \int z^{2} .
$$

Thus we proved (3.5). Now we prove (3.4). For some constant $\beta$, $b \geq 0$, we have $G_{\infty}(s) \geq \frac{1}{2} \beta s^{2}+b$, where $G_{\infty}(s)=\int_{0}^{s} g_{\infty}(\sigma) d \sigma, g_{\infty}(s)=$
$g(s)-g^{\prime}(\infty) s$. For $z \in H_{k}^{\perp}$ and $\sigma \geq 0$, by (4.5) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I \quad\left(z-\sigma e_{1}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\left|\left\|\left.z-\sigma e_{1}\left|\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} g^{\prime}(\infty) \int_{\Omega}\left(z-\sigma e_{1}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \beta \int_{\Omega}\left(z-\sigma e_{1}\right)^{2}-b\right| \Omega \right\rvert\,\right.\right. \\
& \left.=\frac{1}{2}| | z z-\sigma e_{1}\left|\|^{2}\left(1-g^{\prime}(\infty) \int \frac{\left(z-\sigma e_{1}\right)^{2}}{| | z-\sigma e_{1} \mid \|^{2}}-\beta \int \frac{\left(z-\sigma e_{1}\right)^{2}}{| |\left|z-\sigma e_{1}\right| \|^{2}}\right)-b\right| \Omega \right\rvert\, \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}| |\left|z-\sigma e_{1}\right| \|^{2}\left(1-\left(g^{\prime}(\infty)+\beta\right) \max _{z \in H_{k}^{\perp}, \sigma \geq 0} \int \frac{\left(z-\sigma e_{1}\right)^{2}}{| | z-\sigma e_{1} \mid \|^{2}}\right)-b|\Omega| \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\left|\left\|\left.z-\sigma e_{1}\left|\|^{2}\left(1-\left(g^{\prime}(\infty)+\beta\right) \max _{\substack{z \in H_{1}^{\frac{1}{k}} \\
\| \| z \|=1}} \int z^{2}\right)-b\right| \Omega \right\rvert\, \longrightarrow \infty .\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

as $\left|\left\|z-\sigma e_{1} \mid\right\| \rightarrow+\infty\right.$. Thus we proved the lemma.
From Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4 we have
Lemma 3.5. Under the same assumptions of Theorem 1.1, there exists $\rho_{k}>0$ such that

$$
\sup _{\substack{u H_{k} \\\|u\| \| \rho_{k}}} I(u) \leq \inf _{z \in \Sigma\left(-e_{1}, H_{k}^{\perp}\right)} I\left(z-\sigma e_{1}\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma\left(-e_{1}, H_{k}^{\perp}\right) \\
& =\left\{z \in H_{k}^{\perp}| |\|z\| \mid \| R_{k}\right\} \cup\left\{z-\sigma e_{1}\left|z \in H_{k}^{\perp}, \sigma \geq 0,\left|\left\|z-\sigma e_{1} \mid\right\|=R_{k}\right\},\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

w4ith $R_{k}>\rho_{k}$.
Lemma 3.6. Let $G_{0}: R \rightarrow R$ be a continuous function such that

$$
\inf _{s \in R} \frac{G_{0}(s)}{1+s^{2}}>-\infty, \quad \lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{G_{0}(s)}{s^{2}} \geq 0
$$

Then

$$
\lim _{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\ u \in H}} \frac{1}{\|u \mid\|^{2}} \int_{\Omega} G_{0}(u) d x \geq 0 .
$$

Proof. Let

$$
h(s)= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{G_{o}(s)}{s^{2}}\right)^{-} & \text {if } s \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text { if } s=0\end{cases}
$$

Then $h: R \rightarrow R$ is bounded, continuous, with $h(0)=0$ and $G_{0}(s) \geq$ $-h(s) s^{2}$. If $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is a sequence in $H$ with $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$, then up to a subsequence, $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ a.e., and $v_{n}=\frac{u_{n}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}$ is strongly convergent in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Since

$$
\frac{1}{\left|\left\|u_{n} \mid\right\|^{2}\right.} \int_{\Omega} G_{0}\left(u_{n}\right) d x \geq-\int_{\Omega} h\left(u_{n}\right) v_{n}^{2} d x
$$

the claim follows.
Lemma 3.7. Under the same assumptions of Theorem 1.1, there exists $\rho_{k+m}>0$ such that

$$
\sup _{\substack{u \in H_{k+m} \\\|u\| \|=\rho_{k+m}}} I(u)<\inf _{z \in \Sigma\left(e_{k+m}, H_{k+m}^{\perp}\right)} I(z),
$$

where $\Sigma\left(e_{k+m}, H_{k+m}^{\perp}\right)=\left\{w \in H_{k+m}^{\perp}| |\|w \mid\| \leq R_{k+m}\right\} \cup\left\{w+\sigma e_{k+m} \mid w \in\right.$ $H_{k+m}^{\perp}, \sigma \geq 0,\left|\left\|w+\sigma e_{k+m} \mid\right\|=R_{k+m}\right\}$ with $R_{k+m}>\rho_{k+m}$.

Proof. First we will prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\substack{u \in H_{k+m} \\\| \|\| \|=\rho_{k+m}, \rho \rightarrow 0}} I(u)<0 \text {. } \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, $\lambda_{k+m}\left(\lambda_{k+m}-c\right)<g^{\prime}(0)<$ $\lambda_{k+m+1}\left(\lambda_{k+m+1}-c\right), m \geq 1$. Let $L_{0}: H \rightarrow H$ be the linear operator defined by

$$
\left(L_{0} u, v\right)=\left(\Delta^{2} u+c \Delta u\right) v-g^{\prime}(0) \int_{\Omega} u v d x .
$$

Then $L_{0}$ is an isomorphism. The space $H_{k+m}, H_{k+m}^{\perp}$ are the negative space of $L_{0}$ and the positive space of $L_{0}$, respectively, and

$$
H=H_{k+m} \oplus H_{k+m}^{\perp} .
$$

Set $G_{0}(s)=G(s)-\frac{1}{2} g^{\prime}(0) s^{2}$. Then

$$
I(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left(L_{0} u, u\right)-\int_{\Omega} G_{0}(u) d x
$$

Note that $\inf \frac{G_{0}(s)}{1+s^{2}}>-\infty, \lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{G_{0}(s)}{s^{2}} \geq 0$. Thus by Lemma 3.1, $\lim _{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\ u \in H}} \frac{1}{\|u\| \|^{2}} \int_{\Omega} G_{0}(u) d x \geq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\
u \in H_{k+m}}} \frac{I(u)}{\|u \mid\|^{2}} & <\lim _{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\
u \in H_{k+m}}} \frac{1}{2 \mid\|u\|^{2}}\left[\lambda_{k+m}\left(\lambda_{k+m}-c\right)-g^{\prime}(0)\right] \int_{\Omega} u^{2} \\
& -\lim _{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\
u \in H_{k+m}}} \frac{1}{\left|\|u \mid\|^{2}\right.} \int_{\Omega} G_{0}(u) d x<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus ther exists $\rho_{k+m}>0$ such that $\sup _{\substack{u \in H_{k+m} \\\|u\|=\rho_{k+m}, \rho \rightarrow 0}} I(u)<0$. By Lemma 4.2, $\inf \underset{\substack{u \in H \frac{1}{\perp} \\\|u\|=R_{k}}}{\|} I(u)>0$. Thus we have

$$
\sup _{\substack{u \in H_{k+m} \\\|u\| \|=\rho_{k+m}, \rho_{k+m} \rightarrow 0}} I(u)<\inf _{\substack{u \in H^{\frac{1}{k}} \\\|u\|=R_{k}}} I(u)
$$

with $R_{k}>\rho_{k+m}$. In other words, there exists $e_{k+m} \in \operatorname{Span}\left\{\phi_{k+1}, \ldots, \phi_{k+m}\right\}$ such that

$$
\sup _{\substack{u \in H_{k+m} \\\| \| u \|=\rho_{k+m}, \rho_{k+m} \rightarrow 0}} I(u)<\inf _{\substack{u \in H_{k+m}^{1} \oplus e_{k+m} \\ e_{k+m} \in \operatorname{Span}\left\{\phi_{k+1}, \ldots, \phi_{k+n}\right\},\|u\|=R_{k+m}}} I(u) \text {. }
$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. By Lemma 3.5, there exists $\rho_{k}>0$ such that

$$
\sup _{\substack{u \in H_{b} \\\|u l\| f \rho_{k}}} I(u) \leq \inf _{z \in \Sigma\left(-e_{1}, H_{k}^{\perp}\right)} I\left(z-\sigma e_{1}\right),
$$

where $\Sigma\left(-e_{1}, H_{k}^{\perp}\right)=\left\{z \in H_{k}^{\perp}\| \| z \mid \| \leq R_{k}\right\} \cup\left\{z-\sigma e_{1} \mid z \in H_{k}^{\perp}, \sigma \geq\right.$ $0,\left|\left\|z-\sigma e_{1} \mid\right\|=R_{k}\right\}$, with $R_{k}>\rho_{k}$. By Lemma 3.7, there exists $\rho_{k+m}>0$ such that

$$
\sup _{\substack{u \in H_{k+m} \\\|u\|=\rho_{k+m}}} I(u)<\inf _{z \in \Sigma\left(e_{k+m}, H_{k+m}^{\perp}\right)} I(z),
$$

where $\Sigma\left(e_{k+m}, H_{k+m}^{\perp}\right)=\left\{w \in H_{k+m}^{\perp}| || | w| | \leq R_{k+m}\right\} \cup\left\{w+\sigma e_{k+m} \mid w \in\right.$ $H_{k+m}^{\perp}, \sigma \geq 0,\left|\left\|w+\sigma e_{k+m} \mid\right\|=R_{k+m}\right\}$ with $R_{k+m}>\rho_{k+m}$ and $R_{k}>$ $R_{k+m}$.Thus by Linking Scale Theorem 2.1., (1.1) has at least three solutions.

## 4. Nontrivial solutions of biharmonic systems

In this section we investigate the existence of multiple nontrivial solutions $(\xi, \eta)$ for perturbations $g_{1}, g_{2}$ of the harmonic system with Dirichlet boundary condition

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta^{2} \xi+c \Delta \xi=g_{1}(2 \xi+3 \eta) \quad \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{4.1}\\
\Delta^{2} \eta+c \Delta \eta=g_{2}(2 \xi+3 \eta) \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
\xi=0, \eta=0, \Delta \xi=0, \Delta \eta=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{gather*}
$$

where we assume that $\lambda_{1}<c<\lambda_{2}, g_{1}^{\prime}(\infty), g_{2}^{\prime}(\infty)$ are finite.
Theorem 4.1. Assume that $\lambda_{1}<c<\lambda_{2}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}-c\right)<2 g_{1}^{\prime}(\infty)+3 g_{2}^{\prime}(\infty)<\lambda_{k+1}\left(\lambda_{k+1}-c\right), \\
\lambda_{k+m}\left(\lambda_{k+m}-c\right)<2 g_{1}^{\prime}(0)+3 g_{2}^{\prime}(0)<\lambda_{k+m+1}\left(\lambda_{k+m+1}-c\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Assume that $2 g_{1}^{\prime}(t)+3 g_{2}^{\prime}(t) \leq \gamma<\lambda_{k+m+1}\left(\lambda_{k+m+1}-c\right)$, where $m \geq 1$, $k>2$ and $\gamma \in R$. Then system (4.1) has at least three solutions.

Proof. Let $L=\Delta^{2}+c \Delta$. From problem (4.1) we get the equation

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
L(2 \xi+3 \eta)=g(2 \xi+3 \eta+2) & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{4.2}\\
\xi=0, \eta=0, \Delta \xi=0, \Delta \eta=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{array}
$$

where the nonlinearity $g(u)=2 g_{1}(u)+3 g_{2}(u)$.
Let $w=2 \xi+3 \eta$. Then the above equation is equivalent to

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
L(u)=g(u) & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{4.3}\\
u=0, \Delta u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{array}
$$

With the condition of the theorem, the above equation has at least three solutions, two of which are nontrivial solutions, say $w_{1}, w_{2}$. Hence we get the solutions $(\xi, \eta)$ of problem (4.1) from the following systems:

$$
\begin{align*}
& L \xi=g_{1}\left(w_{i}\right) \quad \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{4.4}\\
& L \eta=g_{2}\left(w_{i}\right) \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
& \xi=0, \eta=0, \Delta \xi=0, \Delta \eta=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{align*}
$$

where $i=0,1,2$ and $w_{0}=0$. When $i=0$, from the above equation we get the trivial solution $(\xi, \eta)=(0,0)$. When $i=1,2$, from the above equation we get the nontrivial solutions $\left(\xi_{1}, \eta_{1}\right),\left(\xi_{2}, \eta_{2}\right)$.

Therefore system(4.1) has at least three solutions $(\xi, \eta)$, two of which are nontrivial solutions.

Theorem 4.2. Assume that $\lambda_{1}<c<\lambda_{2}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
2 g_{1}^{\prime}(\infty)+3 g_{2}^{\prime}(\infty)<\lambda_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}-c\right), \\
2 g_{1}^{\prime}(0)+3 g_{2}^{\prime}(0)<\lambda_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}-c\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Assume that $2 g_{1}^{\prime}(t)+3 g_{2}^{\prime}(t) \leq \gamma<\lambda_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}-c\right)$, where $\gamma \in R$. Then system (4.1) has only the trivial solution $(\xi, \eta)=(0,0)$.

Proof. Let $L=\Delta^{2}+c \Delta$. From problem (4.1) we get the equation

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
L(2 \xi+3 \eta)=g(2 \xi+3 \eta+2) & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{4.5}\\
\xi=0, \eta=0, \Delta \xi=0, \Delta \eta=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{array}
$$

where the nonlinearity $g(u)=2 g_{1}(u)+3 g_{2}(u)$.
Let $w=2 \xi+3 \eta$. Then the above equation is equivalent to

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
L(u)=g(u) & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{4.6}\\
u=0, \Delta u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{array}
$$

With the condition of the theorem, by Theorem 2.1 the above equation has the trivial solution. Hence we have the trivial solution $(\xi, \eta=$ $(0,0)$ of problem (4.1) from the following system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L \xi=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega, \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
L \eta=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega,
$$

$$
\xi=0, \eta=0, \Delta \xi=0, \Delta \eta=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
$$

From (4.7) we get the trivial solution $(\xi, \eta)=(0,0)$.
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