

THE MANN ITERATIVE PROCESS FOR QUASI-CONTRACTIVE MAPS IN UNIFORMLY SMOOTH BANACH SPACES

KYUNG SEOK YUN AND JONG AN PARK

1. Introduction.

If K is a nonempty subset of a normed linear space, and T is a map of K into itself, then T is called quasi-contractive [8] if there exists a constant $k \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq k \max \{ \|x - y\|, \|x - Tx\|, \|y - Ty\|, \|x - Ty\|, \|y - Tx\| \}$$

for all x, y in K .

Mann iteration process (see e.g. [4]) : the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is defined as follows:

$$(1) \ x_0 \in K,$$

$$(2) \ x_{n+1} = (1 - c_n)x_n + c_nTx_n, n \geq 0,$$

where (i) $0 \leq c_n < 1$; (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = 0$; (iii) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n = \infty$.

Oihou [6] considered the Ishikawa iterations, which are more complex than the Mann iterations, for the approximations to a unique fixed point of the quasi-contractive maps in Hilbert spaces. Recently Chidume and Osilike [1] obtained the generalizations of Oihou in uniformly smooth Banach spaces which have modulus of smoothness of power type $q > 1$. Weng [10] applied the Mann iteration to the map which satisfies the dissipative type condition in uniformly smooth Banach spaces.

In this paper we consider the same problem for quasi-contractive maps in uniformly smooth Banach spaces. Reich [7] obtained an inequality for the norm by using β -function in uniformly smooth Banach spaces. By using this inequality we have the lemma about the inequalities for the norm in uniformly smooth Banach spaces and the following conclusion; On some conditions Mann iterations converge to the fixed point of a quasi-contractive map in uniformly smooth Banach spaces.

This paper is the thesis for the Degree of Master in Kangweon National University (1992) .

2. Convexity and smoothness.

In this section we connect the smoothness of the norm of a Banach space X and the convexity of the norm of the dual of X which is denoted by X^* .

The set of real numbers is denoted by R . And the unit sphere (the unite solid ball) of X and X^* are denoted by $S(X)(B(X))$ and $S(X^*)(B(X^*))$ respectively.

$\phi : X \rightarrow R$ is said to be convex if

$$\phi(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda\phi(x) + (1 - \lambda)\phi(y),$$

where $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$.

THEOREM 1 [9, p4]. If $\phi : I \subset R \rightarrow R$ is convex, then ϕ is a Lipschitz function on any closed interval in the interior of a interval I .

Proof. First we claim that ϕ is bounded below on the closed interval $[a, b]$ in the interior of I . Clearly ϕ is bounded above on $[a, b]$ by $M = \max \{|\phi(a)|, |\phi(b)|\}$. Hence

$$\phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}\phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2} + t\right) + \frac{1}{2}\phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2} - t\right), |t| \leq \frac{b-a}{2}.$$

Therefore

$$\phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2} + t\right) \geq 2\phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - \phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2} - t\right) \geq 2\phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - M.$$

So we conclude that ϕ is bounded on closed intervals. For any closed interval $[a, b] \subset I^\circ$, which is the interior of I we can choose $\epsilon > 0$ such that $a - \epsilon \in I$ and $b + \epsilon \in I$. Then ϕ is bounded on $[a - \epsilon, b + \epsilon]$. For any $x, y (\neq)$ in $[a, b]$ we let

$$z = y + \frac{\epsilon}{|y-x|}(y-x), \lambda = \frac{|y-x|}{\epsilon + |y-x|}.$$

Then $z \in [a - \epsilon, b + \epsilon]$ and $y = \lambda z + (1 - \lambda)x$. So $\phi(y) \leq \lambda(\phi(z) - \phi(x)) + \phi(x)$. From this

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(y) - \phi(x) &\leq \lambda(M - m) \\ &< \frac{|y-x|}{\epsilon}(M - m) \\ &\leq K|y-x|, \end{aligned}$$

where M (and m) are the upper bound (lower bound) of ϕ on $[a - \epsilon, b + \epsilon]$ and $K = (M - m)/\epsilon$. Hence ϕ is a Lipschitz function on $[a, b]$.
 \square

THEOREM 2 [5, p37]. *If $\phi : X \rightarrow R$ is convex, where X is a normed linear space, then $\psi(t) = (\phi(x + ty) - \phi(x))/t$ ($t \neq 0$) is nondecreasing.*

Proof. Case 1) $0 < h < k$. We can choose $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that $h = (1 - \lambda)k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x + hy) &= \phi(x + (1 - \lambda)ky) \\ &= \phi(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)(x + ky)) \\ &\leq \lambda\phi(x) + (1 - \lambda)\phi(x + ky).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\phi(x + hy) - \phi(x) \leq (1 - \lambda)(\phi(x + ky) - \phi(x))$$

Hence

$$\psi(h) = \frac{\phi(x + hy) - \phi(x)}{h} \leq \frac{\phi(x + ky) - \phi(x)}{k} = \psi(k)$$

Case 2) $h < k < 0$. By choosing $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that $k = (1 - \lambda)h$ we obtain $\psi(h) \leq \psi(k)$.

Case 3) $h < 0 < k$. We can choose $l > 0$ such that $h < -l < 0 < l < k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x) &= \phi\left(\frac{1}{2}(x - ly) + \frac{1}{2}(x + ly)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\phi(x - ly) + \frac{1}{2}\phi(x + ly)\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(\phi(x) - \phi(x - ly))/l \leq (\phi(x + ly) - \phi(x))/l$$

Therefore

$$\psi(-l) \leq \psi(l)$$

And by Cases 1), 2) we have

$$\psi(h) \leq \psi(k).$$

□

Example 1. Let $\phi(x) = \|x\|$. By Theorem 2

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\|x + ty\| - \|x\|}{t} \text{ and } \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{\|x + ty\| - \|x\|}{t} \text{ exist}$$

for all $x, y \in S(X)$. If the two limits are equal, then norm $\|\cdot\|$ is said to be Gâteaux differentiable.

Example 2. If the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is Gâteaux differentiable, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x + ty\|^2 - \|x\|^2}{t} = \left(\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x + ty\| - \|x\|}{t} \right) 2\|x\|.$$

The duality map $J : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ is defined by

$$J(x) = \{x^* \in X^* \mid \langle x, x^* \rangle = \|x\|^2, \|x^*\| = \|x\|\}.$$

By Hahn-Banach theorem $J(x) \neq \emptyset$ for any $x \in X$. The Banach space X is said to be strictly convex if for any $x, y \in S(X)$ (\neq)

$$\left\| \frac{x + y}{2} \right\| < 1.$$

The Banach space X is said to be uniformly convex if for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that if $\|x - y\| \geq \epsilon$, $x, y \in S(X)$, then

$$\|x + y\| \leq 2(1 - \delta(\epsilon)).$$

Clearly the uniformly convex Banach spaces are strictly convex.

LEMMA 1 [2, p20]. For any choice $j(x) \in J(x)$ and $\lambda > 0$, $x, y \in S(X)$,

$$\langle y, j(x) \rangle \leq \frac{\|x + \lambda y\| - \|x\|}{\lambda} \leq \frac{\langle y, j(x + \lambda y) \rangle}{\|x + \lambda y\|}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \langle y, j(x) \rangle &= \langle \lambda y, j(x) \rangle \\ &= \langle x + \lambda y, j(x) \rangle - \|x\| \\ &\leq \|x + \lambda y\| \|j(x)\| - \|x\| \\ &= \|x + \lambda y\| - \|x\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda \langle y, j(x + \lambda y) \rangle &= \langle x + \lambda y, j(x + \lambda y) \rangle - \langle x, j(x + \lambda y) \rangle \\
&\geq \|x + \lambda y\|^2 - \|x\| \|x + \lambda y\| \\
&= \|x + \lambda y\| (\|x + \lambda y\| - \|x\|).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Clearly we know that if X^* is strictly convex, then J is single-valued. We obtain the following converse if X is reflexive.

LEMMA 2 [2, p24]. *If a Banach space X is reflexive and J is single-valued, then X^* is strictly convex.*

Proof. Suppose we have $\|(j_1 + j_2)/2\| = 1$ for some $j_1, j_2 \in S(X^*)$. Then, by James' theorem there exists $x \in S(X)$ such that

$$\langle x, \frac{j_1 + j_2}{2} \rangle = 1.$$

Therefore

$$1 = \langle x, \frac{j_1}{2} \rangle + \langle x, \frac{j_2}{2} \rangle.$$

On the other hand, $\langle x, \frac{j_1}{2} \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\langle x, \frac{j_2}{2} \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Hence $\langle x, j_1 \rangle = 1$ and $\langle x, j_2 \rangle = 1$. Since J is single-valued and $j_1, j_2 \in J(x)$, $j_1 = j_2$. □

THEOREM 3 [2, p22]. *The followings are equivalent:*

- (1) J is single-valued ;
- (2) J is norm to weak-star continuous from $S(X)$ to $S(X^*)$;
- (3) The norm of X is Gâteaux differentiable on $S(X)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to x in $S(X)$. Then $\{J(x_n)\} \subset S(X^*)$. Since the unit solid ball of X^* is weak-star compact by Banach-Alaoglu's Theorem, we can choose a subsequence $\{J(x_{n_k})\}$ which converges weak-star to $j \in X^*$ and $\|j\| \leq 1$. Therefore $\langle x_{n_k} - x, J(x_{n_k}) \rangle \rightarrow 0$. So $1 - \langle x, J(x_{n_k}) \rangle \rightarrow 0$. Hence $\langle x, j \rangle = 1$ and $\|j\| = 1$. By (1) $j = J(x)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) By Lemma 1 it is clear.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) By Lemma 1 we have, for $j \in J(x)$

$$\frac{\|x + ly\| - \|x\|}{l} \leq \langle y, j \rangle \leq \frac{\|x + \lambda y\| - \|x\|}{\lambda}$$

for $l < 0, \lambda > 0$. By (3)

$$(y, j) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x + \lambda y\| - \|x\|}{\lambda}$$

Hence, for any $j_1, j_2 \in J(x)$, we have $j_1 = j_2$ on $S(X)$. So $j_1 = j_2$. \square

THEOREM 4 [3]. *If the dual X^* of a Banach space X is uniformly convex, then the duality map $J : X \rightarrow X^*$ is uniformly continuous on any bounded subset of X .*

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that there exist $M(> 0), \epsilon(> 0), \{u_n\}, \{v_n\}$ in X such that $\|u_n\| \leq M, \|v_n\| \leq M$ and $\|u_n - v_n\| \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\|J(u_n) - J(v_n)\| \geq \epsilon.$$

We may assume that $u_n \neq 0$ and $v_n \neq 0$. Let $x_n = u_n/\|u_n\|$ and $y_n = v_n/\|v_n\|$. Then $\|x_n - y_n\| \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_n, J(x_n) + J(y_n) \rangle &= \|x_n\|^2 + \langle x_n, J(y_n) \rangle \\ &= \|x_n\|^2 + \|y_n\|^2 + \langle x_n - y_n, J(y_n) \rangle \\ &\geq 2 - \|x_n - y_n\| \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\langle x_n, \frac{1}{2}(J(x_n) + J(y_n)) \rangle \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2}\|x_n - y_n\|$$

So

$$\|\frac{1}{2}(J(x_n) + J(y_n))\| \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2}\|x_n - y_n\|$$

Since X^* is uniformly convex, $J(x_n) - J(y_n)$ converges strongly to 0 in X^* . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} J(u_n) - J(v_n) &= \|u_n\|(J(x_n) - J(y_n)) \\ &\quad + (\|u_n\| - \|v_n\|)J(y_n), \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded and $\|u_n\| - \|v_n\| \rightarrow 0$, we obtain a contradiction.

We say that the norm of a Banach space X is uniformly Fréchet differentiable (away from 0) whenever

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x + \lambda y\| - \|x\|}{\lambda}$$

exists uniformly for $x, y \in S(X)$. \square

THEOREM 5 [2, p36]. *The followings are equivalent:*

- (1) *The dual X^* of a Banach space X is uniformly convex;*
- (2) *The norm of X is uniformly Fréchet differentiable.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). By Lemma 1,

$$\left| \frac{\|x + \lambda y\| - \|x\|}{\lambda} - \langle y, J(x) \rangle \right| \leq \langle y, \frac{J(x + \lambda y)}{\|x + \lambda y\|} - J(x) \rangle.$$

By Theorem 4 J is uniformly continuous on $S(X)$. Hence for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that if $\|x' - y'\| < \delta(\epsilon)$, $x', y' \in S(X)$, then $\|J(x') - J(y')\| < \epsilon$. So we choose $\delta'(> 0, \text{ depends on } \delta(\epsilon))$ such that if $0 < |\lambda| < \delta'$, then

$$\left\| \frac{x + \lambda y}{\|x + \lambda y\|} - x \right\| < \delta(\epsilon).$$

Then

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x + \lambda y\| - \|x\|}{\lambda}$$

converges to $\langle y, J(x) \rangle$ uniformly for $x, y \in S(X)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Since the norm of X is uniformly Fréchet differentiable, for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that for any $x, y \in S(X)$ and $\lambda > 0$ ($\lambda < \delta(\epsilon)$)

$$g(x, y, \lambda) + g(x, y, -\lambda) < \frac{\epsilon}{2},$$

where

$$g(x, y, \lambda) = \frac{\|x + \lambda y\| - \|x\| - \langle \lambda y, J(x) \rangle}{\|\lambda y\|}.$$

Hence

$$\|x + \lambda y\| + \|x - \lambda y\| < 2\|x\| + \epsilon\|\lambda y\|.$$

Therefore there exists $\delta' > 0$ (depends on ϵ) such that for any $x \in S(X)$ and y ($\|y\| < \delta'$),

$$\|x + y\| + \|x - y\| < 2 + \frac{\epsilon}{4}\|y\|.$$

For any $f, g \in S(X^*)$, $\|f - g\| \geq \epsilon$, we choose $y \in X$, $\|y\| = \frac{\epsilon'}{2}$ such that

$$\langle y, f - g \rangle \geq \frac{\epsilon \delta'}{4}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f + g\| &= \sup_{x \in S(X)} \langle x, f + g \rangle \\ &= \sup_{x \in S(X)} \langle x + y, f \rangle + \langle x - y, g \rangle - \langle y, f - g \rangle \\ &< 2 + \frac{\epsilon}{4} \frac{\delta'}{2} - \frac{\epsilon}{4} \delta' \\ &= 2(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{16} \delta'). \end{aligned}$$

□

A Banach space X is said to be uniformly smooth if X^* is uniformly convex. We define for positive t

$$\beta(t) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|x + ty\|^2 - \|x\|^2}{t} - 2 \langle y, J(x) \rangle : \|x\| \leq 1, \|y\| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

By Theorem 2 $\beta(t)$ is nondecreasing and $\sup_{x, y \in S(X)} \|x + ty\|^2 - \|x\|^2$ is convex. Therefore by Theorem 1 $\beta(t)$ is continuous. And for $c \geq 1$ $\beta(ct) \leq c\beta(t)$.

THEOREM 6 [7]. *If X is uniformly smooth Banach space, then $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \beta(t) = 0$ and*

$$\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2 \langle y, J(x) \rangle + \max \{\|x\|, 1\} \|y\| \beta(\|y\|)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Proof. For $t \geq 0$, let

$$\alpha(t) = \sup \{ \|J(x) - J(y)\| : \|x\| \leq 2, \|y\| \leq 2, \|x - y\| \leq t \}.$$

Since X^* is uniformly convex, by Theorem 4

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \alpha(t) = 0.$$

Let $F(s) = \|x + sy\|^2/2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} F(s+h) - F(s) &= \frac{1}{2}(\|x + (s+h)y\|^2 - \|x + sy\|^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\|x + sy + hy\| - \|x + sy\|) \cdot \\ &\quad (\|x + sy + hy\| + \|x + sy\|) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} F'(s) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \|x + sy\| \left(\frac{\|x + sy + hy\| - \|x + sy\|}{h} \right) \\ &= \langle y, J(x + sy) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$F(t) - F(0) = \int_0^t \langle y, J(x + sy) \rangle ds$$

Hence for $x, y \in B(X)$

$$\frac{\|x + ty\|^2 - \|x\|^2}{t} - 2 \langle y, J(x) \rangle = \frac{2}{t} \int_0^t \langle y, J(x + sy) - J(x) \rangle ds.$$

For $t \leq 1$

$$\beta(t) \leq \frac{2}{t} \cdot t\alpha(t) = 2\alpha(t)$$

Hence $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} \beta(t) = 0$. So we define $\beta(0) = 0$. For $t \geq 0, x, y \in B(X)$

$$\|x + ty\|^2 - \|x\|^2 - 2 \langle ty, J(x) \rangle \leq t\beta(t).$$

Hence for any $x \in B(X), y \in X$,

$$\|x + y\|^2 - \|x\|^2 - 2 \langle y, J(x) \rangle \leq \|y\| \beta(\|y\|).$$

If $\|x\| \geq 1$, then

$$\|x + y\|^2 - \|x\|^2 - 2 \langle y, J(x) \rangle \leq \|x\| \|y\| \beta(\|y\|).$$

Hence

$$\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2 \langle y, J(x) \rangle + \max \{\|x\|, 1\} \|y\| \beta(\|y\|).$$

□

LEMMA 3 [10]. Let $\{\beta_n\}$ be a nonnegative sequence satisfy

$$\beta_{n+1} \leq (1 - \delta_n)\beta_n + \sigma_n$$

with

$$\delta_n \in [0, 1], \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_i = \infty$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma_n}{\delta_n} = 0.$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = 0$$

Proof. Let $\frac{\sigma_n}{\delta_n} = \epsilon_n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{n+1} &\leq (1 - \delta_n)((1 - \delta_{n-1})\beta_{n-1} + \sigma_{n-1}) + \sigma_n \\ &= (1 - \delta_n)(1 - \delta_{n-1})\beta_{n-1} + (1 - \delta_n)\sigma_{n-1} + \sigma_n \\ &\leq (1 - \delta_n)(1 - \delta_{n-1})(1 - \delta_{n-2})\beta_{n-2} \\ &\quad + (1 - \delta_n)(1 - \delta_{n-1})\sigma_{n-2} + (1 - \delta_n)\sigma_{n-1} + \sigma_n. \end{aligned}$$

By induction

$$\beta_{n+1} \leq [\prod_{j=k}^n (1 - \delta_j)]\beta_k + \sum_{j=k}^n (\prod_{i=j+1}^n (1 - \delta_i)) \cdot \sigma_j.$$

So

$$\beta_{n+1} \leq [\prod_{j=k}^n (1 - \delta_j)]\beta_k + \sum_{j=k}^n (\delta_j \prod_{i=j+1}^n (1 - \delta_i)) \cdot \epsilon_j.$$

Since $1 - \delta_j \leq \exp(-\delta_j)$ for any j ,

$$\prod_{j=k}^n (1 - \delta_j) \leq \exp(-\sum_{j=k}^n \delta_j) \cdots \cdots (*).$$

And we prove by induction that

$$\sum_{j=k}^n (\delta_j \prod_{i=j+1}^n (1 - \delta_i)) \leq 1 \cdots \cdots (**).$$

If $n = k + 1$, then $\delta_{n-1}(1 - \delta_n) + \delta_n \leq 1$. If the above inequality (**) holds for n , then we let $A_n = \sum_{j=k}^n \delta_j(1 - \delta_{j+1}) \cdots (1 - \delta_n)$. By induction hypothesis $A_n \leq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n+1} &= \sum_{j=k}^{n+1} \delta_j(1 - \delta_{j+1}) \cdots (1 - \delta_{n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{j=k}^n \delta_j(1 - \delta_{j+1}) \cdots (1 - \delta_{n+1}) + \delta_{n+1} \\ &= (1 - \delta_{n+1})A_n + \delta_{n+1} \\ &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for any $\epsilon \geq 0$ there exists k such that $\epsilon_n \leq \epsilon$ for $n \geq k$. Hence for sufficiently large n

$$0 \leq \beta_{n+1} \leq \epsilon.$$

□

3. Main results.

We obtain the following inequality in uniformly smooth Banach space.

LEMMA 4. *Let X be uniformly smooth Banach space. Let β be given in Theorem 6. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y\|^2 &\leq (1 - \lambda)\|y\|^2 - \lambda\|y - x\|^2 \\ &\quad + \lambda \max \{\|y\|, 1\} \|x\| \beta(\|x\|) \\ &\quad + \lambda \max \{\|y\|, 1\} \|x - y\| \beta(\lambda\|x - y\|), \end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, x, y \in X$.

Proof. By Theorem 6,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y\|^2 &= \|y + \lambda(x - y)\|^2 \\ &\leq \|y\|^2 + 2\lambda \langle x - y, J(y) \rangle \\ &\quad + \lambda \max \{\|y\|, 1\} \|x - y\| \beta(\lambda\|x - y\|) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 6, $\|y - x\|^2 \leq \|y\|^2 - 2 \langle x, J(y) \rangle + \max \{\|y\|, 1\} \|x\| \beta(\|x\|)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y\|^2 &\leq \|y\|^2 - 2\lambda\|y\|^2 - \lambda\|y - x\|^2 \\ &\quad + \lambda\|y\|^2 + \lambda \max \{\|y\|, 1\} \|x\| \beta(\|x\|) \\ &\quad + \lambda \max \{\|y\|, 1\} \|x - y\| \beta(\lambda\|x - y\|) \\ &\leq (1 - \lambda)\|y\|^2 - \lambda\|y - x\|^2 \\ &\quad + \lambda \max \{\|y\|, 1\} \|x\| \beta(\|x\|) \\ &\quad + \lambda \max \{\|y\|, 1\} \|x - y\| \beta(\lambda\|x - y\|). \end{aligned}$$

□

In [8] Rhoades prove that a unique fixed point for a quasi-contractive map exists. We obtain the conclusion that Mann iterative process converges to a unique fixed point of quasi-contractive map in uniformly smooth Banach space. The proof of Theorem 7 is based on the idea of Chidume and Osilike [1].

THEOREM 7. *Let X be uniformly smooth Banach space with β satisfying $\beta(t) \leq ct$ ($c \geq 0$). Let T be a quasi-contractive map from $B(X)$ to $B(X)$ with constant $k < \sqrt{\frac{1}{2c}}$. And we define $x_{n+1} = (1 - c_n)x_n + c_nTx_n$ where $0 \leq c_n \leq 1$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n = \infty$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges to the unique fixed point for T .*

Proof. Let x^* be a unique fixed point for T . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 &= \|(1 - c_n)(x_n - x^*) + c_n(Tx_n - x^*)\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - c_n)\|x_n - x^*\|^2 - c_n\|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2c_n\|Tx_n - x^*\| \beta(\|Tx_n - x^*\|) \\ &\quad + 2c_n\|x_n - Tx_n\| \beta(c_n\|x_n - Tx_n\|) \\ &\leq (1 - c_n)\|x_n - x^*\|^2 - c_n\|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2c_nk^2(\|Tx_n - x_n\|^2 + \|x_n - x^*\|^2)c \\ &\quad + 2c_n\beta(2c_n)\|x_n - Tx_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

by the definition of quasi-contractive map T and the monotone map β . Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 &\leq (1 - c_n(1 - 2k^2c)) \|x_n - x^*\|^2 \\ &\quad + c_n(2k^2c - 1) \|Tx_n - x_n\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2c_n\beta(2c_n) \|Tx_n - x_n\|.\end{aligned}$$

Since $k < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2c}}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 &\leq (1 - c_n(1 - 2k^2c)) \|x_n - x^*\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2c_n\beta(2c_n) \|Tx_n - x_n\|.\end{aligned}$$

By letting $\beta_n = \|x_n - x^*\|^2$ and Lemma 3, we have $\beta_n \rightarrow 0$. Therefore $x_n \rightarrow x^*$. \square

Remark. In Theorem 7 if $c = 0$, then the theorem holds for any $k \in [0, 1)$. In Hilbert space we notice that β is constant zero.

References

1. C.E.Chidume and M.O.Osilike, *Fixed point iterations for quasi-contractive maps in uniformly smooth Banach spaces*, preprint.
2. J.Diestel, *Geometry of Banach spaces-Selected topics*, Lecture notes in Math. 485.
3. T.Kato, *Nonlinear semigroups and evolution equations*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 19 (1967), 508-520.
4. W.R.Mann, *Mean value methods in iteration*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1953), 506-510.
5. R.H.Martin, Jr, *Nonlinear operators and differential equations in Banach spaces*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc (1976).
6. Liu Qihou, *A convergence theorem of the sequence of Ishikawa iterates for quasi-contractive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 146 (1990), 301-305.
7. S.Reich, *An iterative procedure for constructing zeros of accretive sets in Banach spaces*, Nonlinear Analysis 2 (1978), 85-92.
8. B. E. Rhoades, *A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 226 (1977), 257-290.
9. A. W. Roberts and D.E. Varberg, *Convex functions, Pure and Applied Math*, Academic Press (1973).
10. X. Weng, *Approximate methods for solving nonlinear operator equations in Banach spaces*, Ph.D Dissertations in Univ. of South Florida (1990).

Department of Mathematics
Kangweon National University
Chuncheon 200-701 Korea