# SOME RESULTS OF OPERATORS IN THE CLASS $\mathbb{A}^{l}_{m,n}$

## HAN SOO KIM AND HAE GYU KIM

### I. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a separable, infinite dimensional, complex Hilbert spaces and let  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . A dual algebra is a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  that contains the identity operator  $1_{\mathcal{H}}$  and is closed in the ultraweak operator topology on  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ . For  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , let  $\mathcal{A}_T$  denote the smallest subalgebra of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  that contains T and  $1_{\mathcal{H}}$  and is closed in the ultraweak operator topology. Moreover, let  $Q_{\mathcal{A}_T}$  denote the quotient space  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{H})/\bot_{\mathcal{A}_T}$ , where  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{H})$  is the trace class ideal in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  under the trace norm, and  $\bot_{\mathcal{A}_T}$  denotes the preannihilator of  $\mathcal{A}_T$  in  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{H})$ . For a brief notation, we shall denote  $Q_{\mathcal{A}_T}$  by  $Q_T$ . One knows that  $\mathcal{A}_T$  is the dual space of  $Q_T$  and that the duality is given by

(1) 
$$\langle A, [L] \rangle = tr(AL), \quad A \in \mathcal{A}_T, [L] \in Q_T.$$

The Banach space  $Q_T$  is called a predual of  $A_T$ . For x and y in  $\mathcal{H}$ , we can write  $x \otimes y$  for the rank one operator in  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{H})$  defined by

(2) 
$$(x \otimes y)(u) = (u, y)x$$
 for all  $u \in \mathcal{H}$ .

The theory of dual algebras is applied to the study of invariant subspaces, dilation theory, and reflexivity. The classes  $A_{m,n}$  (to be defined in section 2) were defined by Bercovici-Foias-Pearcy in [2]. Also these classes are closely related to the study of the theory of dual algebras. In 1991, Chevreau-Pearcy [7] studied for the first time common noncyclic

Received September 12, 1994.

Supported by the Basic Science Research Institute Program, Ministry of Education and TGRC-KOSEF, 1994.

vectors for the families of operators in order to solve the invariant subspace problem of bounded operators whose spectral radius is one. As a sequel to this study, in this paper we consider some dilation theories for the membership in these classes  $\mathbb{A}^l_{m,n}$  (to be defined in section 3).

#### 2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

Recall that any contraction T can be written as a direct sum  $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$ , where  $T_1$  is a completely nonunitary contraction and  $T_2$  is a unitary operator. If  $T_2$  is absolutely continuous or acts on the space (0), T will be called an absolutely continuous contraction. We denote by  $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}(\mathcal{H})$  the class of all absolutely continuous contractions T in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  for which the Foias-Sz.Nagy functional calculus  $\Phi_T : \mathcal{H}^{\infty} \to \mathcal{A}_T$  is an isometry. ([3], Theorem 4.1)

DEFINITION 2.1. ([10]) Let  $A \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  be a dual algebra and let m and n be any cardinal numbers such that  $1 \leq m, n \leq \aleph_0$ . A dual algebra A will be said to have property  $(\mathbb{A}_{m,n})$  if  $m \times n$  system of simultaneous equations of the form

(3) 
$$[x_i \otimes y_j] = [L_{ij}], \quad 0 \le i < m, \quad 0 \le j < n,$$

where  $\{[L_{ij}]\}_{\begin{subarray}{l}0\leq i< m\\0\leq j< n\end{subarray}}$  is an arbitrary  $m\times n$  array from  $Q_{\mathcal{A}}$ , has a solution  $\{x_i\}_{0\leq i< m}, \{y_j\}_{0\leq j< n}$  consisting of a pair of sequences of vectors from  $\mathcal{H}$ . Furthermore, if m and n are positive integers and r is a fixed real number satisfying  $r\geq 1$ , a dual algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  (with property  $(\mathbb{A}_{m,n})$ ) is said to have property  $(\mathbb{A}_{m,n}(r))$  if for every s>r and every  $m\times n$  array  $\{[L_{i,j}]\}_{\begin{subarray}{l}0\leq i< m\\0\leq j< n\end{subarray}}$  and  $\{y_j\}_{0\leq j< n}$  from  $\mathcal{H}$  that satisfy (3) and also satisfy the following conditions:

(4a) 
$$||x_i||^2 \le s \sum_{0 \le j < n} ||[L_{ij}]||, \quad 0 \le i < m,$$

and

(4b) 
$$||y_j||^2 \le s \sum_{0 \le i < m} ||[L_{ij}]||, \quad 0 \le j < n.$$

For brief notation, we shall denote  $(\mathbb{A}_{n,n})$  by  $(\mathbb{A}_n)$ . Furthermore, if m and n are cardinal numbers such that  $1 \leq m, n \leq \aleph_0$ , we denote by  $\mathbb{A}_{m,n} = \mathbb{A}_{m,n}(\mathcal{H})$  the set of all T in  $\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{H})$  such that the singly generated dual algebra  $\mathcal{A}_T$  has property  $(\mathbb{A}_{m,n})$ .

DEFINITION 2.2. ([13]) Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of all natural numbers. If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denoted by  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}$  the Hilbert space consisting of the direct sum of n copies of  $\mathcal{H}$  and by  $T^{(n)}$  the n-fold ampliation of T acting on  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}$  defined by

(5) 
$$T^{(n)}(x_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus x_n) = Tx_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Tx_n.$$

Let  $A \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is a dual algebra. We define

$$\mathcal{A}^{(n)} = \overbrace{A \oplus \cdots \oplus A}^{n \text{ copies}}, A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$  is indeed a dual algebra on  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}$ . For each T in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  it is clear that  $(\mathcal{A}_T)^{(n)} = \mathcal{A}_{T^{(n)}}$ . We shall employ the notation  $C_{\cdot 0} = C_{\cdot 0}(\mathcal{H})$  for the class of all (completely nonunitary) contractions T in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  such that the sequences  $\{T^{*n}\}$  converges to zero in the strong operator topology and is denoted by, as usual,  $C_0 = (C_{\cdot 0})^*$ .

#### 3. MAIN RESULTS

DEFINITION 3.1. ([9]) Let m, n and l be any cardinal numbers such that  $1 \leq m, n, l \leq \aleph_0$ . We denote by  $\mathbb{A}^l_{m,n}(\mathcal{H})$  the class of all  $\{T_k\}_{k=1}^l$  in  $\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{H})$  such that every  $m \times n \times l$  system of simultaneous equations of the form

(6) 
$$[x_i \otimes y_j^{(k)}]_{T_k} = [L_{ij}^{(k)}]_{T_k},$$

where  $\{[L_{ij}^{(k)}]_{T_k}\}_{\substack{0 \leq i < m \\ 0 \leq j < n}}$  is an arbitrary  $m \times n$  array from  $Q_{T_k}$  for each  $k = 1, \dots, l$ , has a solution  $\{x_i\}_{\substack{0 \leq i < m \\ 1 \leq k < l}}$  consisting of a pair

of sequences of vectors from  $\mathcal{H}$ . Furthermore, if for every doubly indexed family  $\{[L_{ij}^{(k)}]_{T_k}\}_{\substack{0 \leq i < m \\ 0 \leq j < n}}$  of elements of  $Q_{T_k}$  for each  $k = 1, \dots, l$ ,

such that the rows and columns of the matrix  $(\|[L_{ij}^{(k)}]_{T_k}\|)$  are summable and r is a fixed real number satisfying  $r \geq 1$ , then we denoted by  $\mathbb{A}_{m,n}^l(r)$  the class of all  $\{T_k\}_{k=1}^l$  in  $\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{H})$  such that every  $m \times n \times l$  system of simultaneous equations of the form (6) has a solution  $\{x_i\}_{0 \leq i < m}, \{y_j^{(k)}\}_{0 \leq j < n}$  consisting of a pair of sequences of vectors from  $\mathcal{H}$  and also satisfy the following conditions, for every s > r,

(7) 
$$||x_i||^2 \le s \sum_{0 \le j \le n} ||[L_{ij}^{(k)}]_{T_k}||, \quad 0 \le i < m, 1 \le k \le l$$

and

(8) 
$$\|y_j^{(k)}\|^2 \le s \sum_{0 \le i \le m} \|[L_{ij}^{(k)}]_{T_k}\|, \quad 0 \le j < n, 1 \le k \le l.$$

LEMMA 3.2. ([12]) Suppose  $T, S \in \mathbb{A}(\mathcal{H})$ . Let  $[L]_T \in Q_T$  and let  $[M]_S \in Q_S$ . Then  $\phi_T([L]_T) = \phi_S([M]_S)$ 

if and only if

$$\langle T^n, [L]_T \rangle = \langle S^n, [M]_S \rangle, n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$

We are ready to prove main results. Suppose  $\mathcal{H}_r$  is a separable infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space for  $r = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

THEOREM 3.3. Assume  $\{T_k^{(r)}\}_{k=1}^m \in \mathbb{A}_{1,1}^m(\mathcal{H}_r)$  for each  $r=1,2,\cdots,m$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}=\bigoplus_{r=1}^m \mathcal{H}_r$  and  $T_k=\bigoplus_{r=1}^m T_k^{(r)}$ . Then  $\{T_k\}_{k=1}^m \in \mathbb{A}_{1,m}^m(\mathcal{H})$ .

*Proof.* We first show that  $T_k \in \mathbb{A}(\mathcal{H})$  for all k. If  $f \in \mathbb{H}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ , then  $||f(T_k)|| = \sup_r ||f(T_k^{(r)})|| = ||f||_{\infty}$ , since  $T_k^{(r)} \in \mathbb{A}(\mathcal{H}_r)$  for k = 1, 2,

 $\cdots$ , m. To show that  $\{T_k\}_{k=1}^m \in \mathbb{A}_{1,m}^m(\mathcal{H})$ , suppose we are given array  $\{[L_r^{(k)}]_{T_k}\}_{1 \leq r \leq m}$  in  $Q_{T_k}$  for each  $k=1,\cdots,m$ . Let us consider the usual isometric isomorphic weak\* homeomorphism  $\phi_{k,r}$  from  $Q_{T_k}$  onto  $Q_{T_k^{(r)}}$ . Since  $\phi_{k,r}([L_r^{(k)}]_{T_k}) \in Q_{T_k^{(r)}}$ , by definition, for each r, there exist vectors  $x^{(r)}$  and  $\{y_k^{(r)}\}_{k=1}^m$  in  $\mathcal{H}_r$  such that

(9) 
$$\phi_{k,r}([L_r^{(k)}]_{T_k}) = [x^{(r)} \otimes y_k^{(r)}]_{T_k^{(r)}}, \quad 1 \le k \le m.$$

Letting  $\tilde{x} = \bigoplus_{r=1}^{m} x^{(r)}$  and let  $\tilde{w}_r^{(k)}$  be the vector in  $\mathcal{H}$  that has  $y_k^{(r)}$  as r-component and zeros elsewhere, for  $1 \leq r, k \leq m$ . Then  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{w}_r^{(k)} \in \mathcal{H}$  and we have, by lemma 3.2 and (9),

(10) 
$$\phi_{k,r}([L_r^{(k)}]_{T_k}) = [x^{(r)} \otimes y_k^{(r)}]_{T_k^{(r)}}, \quad 1 \le k, r \le m$$
$$= \phi_{k,r}([\tilde{x} \otimes \tilde{w}_r^{(k)}]_{T_k}).$$

So we get

(11) 
$$[L_r^{(k)}]_{T_k} = [\tilde{x} \otimes \tilde{w}_r^{(k)}]_{T_k}$$

for  $1 \leq r \leq m$  and  $1 \leq k \leq m$ . Therefore, we have  $\{T_k\}_{k=1}^m \in \mathbb{A}_{1,m}^m(\mathcal{H})$ .

THEOREM 3.4. Assume  $\{T_k^{(r)}\}_{k=1}^m \in \mathbb{A}_{1,n}^m(\mathcal{H}_r)$  for each  $r=1,2,\cdots,m$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}=\oplus_{r=1}^m\mathcal{H}_r$  and  $T_k=\oplus_{r=1}^mT_k^{(r)}$ . Then  $\{T_k\}_{k=1}^m\in \mathbb{A}_{m,n}^m(\mathcal{H})$ .

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.3.

THEOREM 3.5. Suppose  $\mathcal{H}_w$  is a separable infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space for  $w=1,\cdots,m$ . Suppose also that  $\{T_k^{(w)}\}_{k=1}^m \in \mathbb{A}_{1,n_w}^m(\mathcal{H}_w)(r_w)$  where  $n_w \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $r_w \geq 1$  for all  $w,1 \leq w \leq m$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}=\bigoplus_{w=1}^m \mathcal{H}_w$  and  $T_k=\bigoplus_{w=1}^m T_k^{(w)}$ . Then, we have

$$\{T_k\}_{k=1}^m \in \mathbb{A}_{m,N}^m(\mathcal{H})(r)$$

where  $N = m(n_1 + \cdots + n_m)$  and  $r = \max\{r_w : 1 \le w \le m\}$ .

Proof. By theorem 3.3,  $T_k \in \mathbb{A}(\mathcal{H})$  for all k. To show that  $\{T_k\}_{k=1}^m \in \mathbb{A}_{m,N}^m(\mathcal{H})(r)$ , let s > r and suppose we are given array  $\{[L_{wj}^{(k)}]_{T_k}\}_{1 \le w \le m}^m$  in  $Q_{T_k}$  for each  $k=1,\cdots,m$ . Let us denote  $\phi_{k,w} = \phi_{T_k^{(w)}}^{-1} \circ \phi_{T_k}$ . Then it is obvious that  $\phi_{k,w}$  is an isometric isomorphic weak\* homeomorphism from  $Q_{T_k}$  onto  $Q_{T_k^{(w)}}$ . Since  $\phi_{k,w}([L_{wj}^{(k)}]_{T_k}) \in Q_{T_k^{(w)}}$ , for any  $s > r_w$ , there exist a vector  $x^{(w)}$  and sequence of vectors  $\{y_j^{(k,w)}\}_{j=1,k=1}^{n_w,m}$  in  $\mathcal{H}_w, 1 \le w \le m$  such that

(12) 
$$\phi_{k,w}([L_{wj}^{(k)}]_{T_k}) = [x^{(w)} \otimes y_j^{(k,w)}]_{T_k^{(w)}}$$

for j and  $k, 1 \leq j \leq n_w, 1 \leq w \leq m$  and furthermore,

(13) 
$$||x^{(w)}||^2 \le s \sum_{i=1}^{n_w} ||[L_{wj}^{(k)}]_{T_k}||, \quad 1 \le k \le m,$$

and

(14) 
$$||y_j^{(k,w)}||^2 \le s \sum_{w=1}^m ||[L_{wj}^{(k)}]_{T_k}||, \quad 1 \le k \le m.$$

Now let  $\tilde{x}_w$  be the vector in  $\mathcal{H}$  that has  $x^{(w)}$  as w-component and zeros elsewhere and let  $\tilde{v}_j^{(k)}$  be the vector in  $\mathcal{H}$  that has  $y_j^{(k,w)}$  as w-component and zeros elsewhere, for  $1 \leq j \leq n_w, 1 \leq w, k \leq m$ . Then it follows from Lemma 3.2 and (12) that we have

(15) 
$$\phi_{k,w}([L_{wj}^{(k)}]_{T_k}) = [x^{(w)} \otimes y_j^{(k,w)}]_{T_k^{(w)}} = \phi_{k,w}([\tilde{x}_w \otimes \tilde{v}_i^{(k)}]_{T_k}),$$

and for  $1 \leq j \leq n_w, 1 \leq w, k \leq m$ . So we get

(16) 
$$[L_{wj}^{(k)}]_{T_k} = [\tilde{x}_w \otimes \tilde{v}_j^{(k)}]_{T_k}$$

for  $1 \leq w, k \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n_1 + \cdots + n_m$ . Furthermore, we have

(17) 
$$\|\tilde{x}_w\|^2 = \|x^{(w)}\|^2 \le s \sum_{j=1}^{n_w} \|[L_{wj}^{(k)}]_{T_k}\|$$
$$\le s \sum_{j=1}^N \|[L_{wj}^{(k)}]_{T_k}\|, \quad 1 \le k \le m,$$

and

(18) 
$$\|\tilde{v}_{j}^{(k)}\|^{2} = \|y_{j}^{(k,w)}\|^{2} \le s \sum_{w=1}^{m} \|[L_{wj}^{(k)}]_{T_{k}}\|, \quad 1 \le k \le m.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\{T_k\}_{k=1}^m \in \mathbb{A}_{m,N}^m(\mathcal{H})(r),$$

where  $r = \max\{r_w : 1 \le w \le m\}$ .

#### References

- C. Apostol, H. Bercovici, C. Foias and C. Pearcy, Invariant subspaces, dilation theory, and structure of the predual of a dual algebra I, Funct. Anal. 63 (1985), 369-404.
- H. Bercovici, B. Chevreau, C. Foias and C. Pearcy, Dilation theory and systems of simultaneous equations in the predual of an operator algebra I, Michigan Math. J. 30 (1983), 335-354.
- 3. \_\_\_\_\_\_, Dual algebras with applications to invariant subspaces and dilation theory, C.B.M.S. Regional Conference Series, no. 56, A.M.S., Providence, R. I.
- 4. A. Brown and C. Pearcy, Introduction to operator theory I, Elements of functional analysis, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- B. Chevreau, G. Exner, and C. Pearcy, On the structure of contraction operators III, Michigan Math. J. 36 (1989), 29-62.
- B. Chevreau, and C. Pearcy, On the structure of contraction operators I, J. Funct. Anal., 76 (1988), 1-29.
- On common noncyclic vectors for families of operators, Houston J. of Math. vol. 17, No.4, (1991), 637-650.
- 8. G. Exner and P. Sullivan, Normal operators and the classes  $\mathbb{A}_n$ , J. Operator Theory, 19, (1988), 81-94.
- 9. Hae Gyu Kim, Ph.D. Thesis, Kyungpook National University, 1992.

- 10. I. Jung, Dual operator algebras and the classes  $\mathbb{A}_{m,n}$  I, J. Operator Theory, 27, (1992), 309-323.
- 11. I. B. Jung and C. Y. Park, A note on operators in the classes  $\mathbb{A}_{m,n}$ , Kyungpook Math. J. 28, No. 28 (1988), 173-176.
- I. B. Jung and Y. C. Kim, Dual operator algebras and C<sub>0</sub>-operators, Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society . 27, No. 2, (1990), 173-182.
- B. Sz-Nagy and C. Foias, Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert spaces, North Holland Akademiai Kiado, Amsterdam/Budapest, 1970.

Han Soo Kim
Department of Mathematics
College of Natural Science
Kyungpook National University
Daegu, 702-701, Korea

Hae Gyu Kim Department of Mathematics Korea Naval University Chinhae, 645-797, Korea