

## TENT SPACES OVER LIPSCHITZ DOMAINS WITH APPROACH REGIONS

BYUNG-OH PARK

### 1. Introduction

Several authors have studied the  $L^p$  boundedness of maximal functions defined by means of general subsets. This depends on an atomic decomposition for certain tent spaces. This was proved in the Euclidean case  $\mathbf{R}_+^{n+1}$  by Coifman, Meyer, and Stein[2]. Also, María J. Carro and Javier Soria have studied the tent spaces over general approach regions and their atomic decomposition.

In this paper, we are going to define a tent spaces over Lipschitz domains with approach regions. Also, duality and atomic decomposition of tent spaces generalize the earlier results.([1],[2])

This purpose of the present paper is to show that every element of the tent spaces  $\mathbf{T}_\Omega^p(\mathcal{L})(0 < p \leq 1)$  can be decomposed into particles which are called "atoms"[Thm 1] and the dual space of  $\mathbf{T}_\Omega^p(\mathcal{L})(0 < p \leq 1)$  is the space of Carleson measure[Thm 2].

### 2. Preliminaries

A real valued function  $\phi$  defined on  $R^n$  is said to be a *Lipschitz function* if there exists a constant  $M$  such that  $|\phi(x) - \phi(y)| \leq M|x - y|$  for all  $x, y \in R^n$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the set

$$\mathcal{L} = \{(y, t) \in R^n \times R : \phi(y) < t\}$$

Then  $\mathcal{L}$  is called a *Lipschitz domain* determined by  $\phi$ . The boundary of  $\mathcal{L}$  will be denoted by  $\partial\mathcal{L}$ . For  $\tilde{x} = (x, \phi(x)) \in \partial\mathcal{L}$ , let  $\pi$  be the projection of  $\partial\mathcal{L}$  onto  $R^n$  given by  $\pi(\tilde{x}) = x$ . A set  $U \subset \partial\mathcal{L}$  is said to be *open* if  $\pi(U)$  is open in  $R^n$ . Also, we will denote  $ds$  by the area measure on  $\partial\mathcal{L}$ .

---

Received June 1, 1995.

Let  $\Omega = \{\Omega_{\tilde{x}}\}_{\tilde{x} \in \partial\mathcal{L}}$  be a collection of measurable subset, where  $\Omega_{\tilde{x}} \subset \mathcal{L}$ . For a measurable function  $f$  on  $\mathcal{L}$ . We define the *maximal function* of  $f$  with respect to  $\Omega$  as

$$\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}^{\infty}(f)(\tilde{x}) = \sup_{(y,t) \in \Omega_{\tilde{x}}} |f(y,t)|.$$

We will always assume that  $\Omega$  is choosen so that  $\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}^{\infty}(f)$  is a measurable function. We also define the *tent space*  $T_{\Omega}^p(\mathcal{L})$  is defined as the spaces of functions  $f$  so that  $\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}^{\infty}(f) \in L^p(\partial\mathcal{L}, ds)$ , where  $p$  is finite and with norm  $\|f\|_{T_{\Omega}^p} = \|\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}^{\infty}(f)\|_{L^p(\partial\mathcal{L})}$ .

Suppose  $\Omega = \{\Omega_{\tilde{x}}\}_{\tilde{x} \in \partial\mathcal{L}}$ , where  $F$  is any subset of  $\partial\mathcal{L}$ . We define the *tent* over  $F$ , with respect to  $\Omega$  as

$$\widehat{F}_{\Omega} = \mathcal{L} \setminus \cup_{\tilde{x} \notin F} \Omega_{\tilde{x}}.$$

We also set  $\Omega_{\tilde{x}}(t) = \{\tilde{y} \in \partial\mathcal{L} : (y,t) \in \Omega_{\tilde{x}}\}$ . For a measure  $\mu$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ , we say  $\mu$  is an  $(\Omega, \beta)$ -Carleson measure ( $\beta \geq 1$ ) and write  $\mu \in V_{\Omega}^{\beta}$  if

$$\|\mu\|_{V_{\Omega}^{\beta}} = \sup_{Q \subset \partial\mathcal{L}} \frac{|\mu|(\widehat{Q}_{\Omega})}{|Q|^{\beta}} < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes  $Q \subset \partial\mathcal{L}$ . Some relevant definitions and results are given in [1],[2] and [4]. Throughout this paper, points on  $\partial\mathcal{L}$  will be denoted by  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \dots$ , etc.

### 3. Duality and atomic decomposition of $T_{\Omega}^p(\mathcal{L})$ ( $0 < p \leq 1$ ) space

LEMMA 1. suppose  $F \subset \partial\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\Omega = \{\Omega_{\tilde{x}}\}_{\tilde{x} \in \partial\mathcal{L}}$  are as above. Then

- (i)  $\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}^{\infty}(\chi_{\widehat{F}_{\Omega}})(\tilde{x}) \leq \chi_F(\tilde{x})$  for all  $\tilde{x} \in \partial\mathcal{L}$ .
- (ii)  $\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}^{\infty}(\chi_{\widehat{F}_{\Omega}})(\tilde{x}) = \chi_F(\tilde{x})$  if and only if  $\Omega_{\tilde{x}} \cap \widehat{F}_{\Omega} \neq \emptyset$  for all  $\tilde{x} \in F$ .
- (iii) If  $\Omega$  is a symmetric family (that is, if  $\tilde{x} \in \Omega_{\tilde{y}}(t)$  then  $\tilde{y} \in \Omega_{\tilde{x}}(t)$ ), we have that

$$\widehat{F}_{\Omega} = \{(\tilde{y}, t) \in \mathcal{L} : \Omega_{\tilde{y}}(t) \subset F\}.$$

*Proof.* (i) Observe that

$$(3.1) \quad \chi_{\widehat{F_\Omega}}(\tilde{y}, t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (\tilde{y}, t) \notin \Omega_{\tilde{z}} \text{ for all } \tilde{z} \notin F \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Suppose  $\tilde{x} \notin F$ . If  $(\tilde{y}, t) \in \Omega_{\tilde{x}}$ , then we have  $\chi_{\widehat{F_\Omega}}(\tilde{y}, t) = 0$  (by (3.1)) and this shows (i).

(ii)  $A_\Omega^\infty(\chi_{\widehat{F_\Omega}})(\tilde{x}) = \chi_F(\tilde{x})$  if and only if for all  $\tilde{x} \in F$ ,  $A_\Omega^\infty(\chi_{\widehat{F_\Omega}})(\tilde{x}) = 1$  if and only if there exists  $(\tilde{y}, t) \in \Omega_{\tilde{x}}$  such that  $(y, t) \in \widehat{F_\Omega}$  if and only if  $\Omega_{\tilde{x}} \cap \widehat{F_\Omega} \neq \emptyset$

(iii) That  $(y, t) \in \widehat{F_\Omega}$  means that  $y \notin \Omega_{\tilde{x}}(t)$ , for all  $\tilde{x} \notin F$ , which, by symmetry, is equivalent to saying that for all  $\tilde{x} \notin F$ ,  $\tilde{x} \notin \Omega_{\tilde{y}}(t)$ ; that is,  $\Omega_{\tilde{y}}(t) \subset F$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a Lipschitz domain in  $R_+^{n+1}$ . A measurable function  $a : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow R$  is a  $T_\Omega^p$ -atom if there exists a ball  $Q \subset \partial\mathcal{L}$  such that  $\text{supp } a \subset \widehat{Q_\Omega}$ , and  $\|a\|_\infty \leq |Q|^{-\frac{1}{p}}$ . We restrict ourselves to the case  $n = 1$ , but a similar proof also works in any other dimension.

**THEOREM 1.** If  $\Omega = \{\Omega_{\tilde{x}}\}_{\tilde{x} \in \partial\mathcal{L}}$  is a symmetric family of sets, such that  $\Omega_{\tilde{x}}(t)$  is an open for all  $(\tilde{x}, t) \in \mathcal{L} \subset R_+^2$ , then, for  $0 < p \leq 1$ ,  $f \in T_\Omega^p$  if and only if

$$(3.2) \quad f \equiv \sum_j \lambda_j a_j,$$

where  $a_j$  is a  $T_\Omega^p$ -atom and  $\sum_j |\lambda_j|^p < \infty$ .

Moreover,  $\|f\|_{T_\Omega^p} \approx \inf\{(\sum_j |\lambda_j|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}\}$ , where the infimum is taken over all sequences satisfying (3.2).

*Proof.* We first show that  $\|\cdot\|_{T_\Omega^p}$  is always a  $p$ -norm, for  $0 < p \leq 1$  and hence if  $f \equiv \sum_j \lambda_j a_j$ , then  $\|f\|_{T_\Omega^p}^p \leq \sum_j |\lambda_j|^p \|a_j\|_{T_\Omega^p}^p$ . But by the previous Lemma:

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_j\|_{T_\Omega^p}^p &= \int_{\partial\mathcal{L}} (A_\Omega^\infty(a_j)(\tilde{x}))^p ds \\ &\leq \int_{\partial\mathcal{L}} \|a_j\|_\infty^p (A_\Omega^\infty(\chi_{\widehat{Q_{j,\Omega}}})(\tilde{x}))^p ds \\ &\leq \|a_j\|_\infty^p \int_{\partial\mathcal{L}} \chi_{Q_j}(\tilde{x}) ds \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

hence,  $\|f\|_{T_\Omega^p}^p \leq \sum_j |\lambda_j|^p$ . For the converse we need the following observation: If  $f \in T_\Omega^p$  and  $\lambda > 0$  then  $\{\tilde{x} : A_\Omega^\infty(f)(x) > \lambda\}$  is an open set. In fact, if  $A_\Omega^\infty(f)(\tilde{x}) > \lambda$ , then there exists a point  $(z, t) \in \Omega_{\tilde{x}}$  so that  $|f(z, t)| > \lambda$ . By hypothesis,  $\tilde{x} \in \Omega_{\tilde{z}}(t)$  and there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that if  $\tilde{y} \in B(\tilde{x}, \epsilon)$  then  $\tilde{y} \in \Omega_{\tilde{z}}(t)$ . Again, by symmetry,  $(z, t) \in \Omega_{\tilde{y}}$  and so  $A_\Omega^\infty(f) > \lambda$  if  $\tilde{y} \in B(\tilde{x}, \epsilon)$ . Set now  $M_k = \{\tilde{x} \in \partial\mathcal{L} : A_\Omega^\infty(f) > 2^k\}$  and write  $M_k = \cup_{j \in Z} B_j^k$  by Whitney decomposition ([3], [5]). Since  $f \in T_\Omega^p$ ,  $B_j^k$  is bounded for all  $j, k \in Z$ . Set  $a_{j,k} \equiv \lambda_{j,k}^{-1} f(\chi_{\widehat{B_{j,\Omega}^k}} - \sum_{B_l^{k+1} \subset B_j^k} \chi_{\widehat{B_{l,\Omega}^{k+1}}})$ , where  $\lambda_{j,k} = 2^{k+1} s(B_j^k)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ . It is clear that  $\text{supp } a_{j,k} \subset \widehat{B_{j,\Omega}^k}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j,k} |\lambda_{j,k}|^p &= \sum_k 2^{p(k+1)s(M_k)} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{T_\Omega^p}^p < \infty \end{aligned}$$

and so it remains to show that  $f \equiv \sum_{j,k} \lambda_{j,k} a_{j,k}$  and  $\|a_{j,k}\|_\infty \leq s(B_j^k)^{-\frac{1}{p}}$ . Let  $(x, t) \in \widehat{B_{j,\Omega}^k}$  and suppose  $|f(x, t)| > 2^{k+1}$ . If  $\tilde{y} \in \Omega_{\tilde{x}}(t)$ , then  $(x, t) \in \Omega_{\tilde{y}}$  and hence  $\tilde{y} \in M_{k+1}$ . Therefore  $\Omega_{\tilde{x}}(t) \subset M_{k+1}$  and there exists a unique  $l \in Z$  so that  $\Omega_{\tilde{x}}(t) \subset B_l^{k+1}$ . Since  $\Omega_{\tilde{x}}(t) \subset B_j^k$  then  $B_l^{k+1} \subset B_j^k$ . Thus,

$$\chi_{\widehat{B_{j,\Omega}^k}}(x, t) - \sum_{B_r^{k+1} \subset B_j^k} \chi_{\widehat{B_{r,\Omega}^{k+1}}}(x, t) = 0.$$

Therefore, for all  $(x, t) \in \widehat{B_{j,\Omega}^k}$ ,  $|a_{j,k}(x, t)| \leq 2^{-(k+1)s(B_j^k)^{-\frac{1}{p}}} 2^{k+1} = s(B_j^k)^{-\frac{1}{p}}$ .

Finally, if  $(x, t) \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $2^l < |f(x, t)| < 2^{l+1}$  then  $\Omega_{\tilde{x}}(t) \subset M_l$ . Let  $K \in Z$  be the greatest integer satisfying  $\Omega_{\tilde{x}}(t) \subset M_K$  (since  $A_\Omega^\infty(f)(\tilde{x}) < \infty$ ,  $\tilde{x} \in \partial\mathcal{L}$ ). Let  $s \in Z$  so that  $\Omega_{\tilde{x}}(t) \subset B_s^K$ . We want to show that if

$$g_{j,k}(x, t) = \chi_{\widehat{B_{j,\Omega}^k}}(x, t) - \sum_{B_r^{k+1} \subset B_j^k} \chi_{\widehat{B_{r,\Omega}^{k+1}}}(x, t)$$

then  $\sum_{j,k} g_{j,k}(x, t) = 1$ . If  $\Omega_{\tilde{x}}(t) \subset B_j^k$ , then  $k \leq K$ . Suppose that  $k < K$  and  $(x, t) \in \widehat{B_{j,\Omega}^k}$ , then  $B_s^K \subset B_r^{k+1} \subset B_j^k$  for some  $r \in Z$

and hence  $g_{j,k}(x,t) = 0$ . If  $(x,t) \in \widehat{B_{j,\Omega}^K}$  then clearly  $j = s$  and  $g_{j,K}(x,t) = 1$ .

**THEOREM 2.** Suppose  $\Omega$  is a symmetric family and  $\{\tilde{x} \in \partial\mathcal{L} : \Omega_{\tilde{x}} \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite measure. where  $K$  is compact set in  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}_+^2$ . For  $0 < p \leq 1$ , the dual space of  $T_\Omega^p$  is the space of  $V_\Omega^{\frac{1}{p}}$ -Carleson measure. more presisely, the pairing

$$(f, d\mu) \longrightarrow \int_{\mathcal{L}} f(x,t) d\mu(x,t)$$

with  $f$  ranging over functions which are in  $T_\Omega^p$  and are continuous in  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $d\mu$  over Carleson measures, relizes the duality of  $T_\Omega^p$  with Carleson measures  $V_\Omega^{\frac{1}{p}}$ . That is,  $(T_\Omega^p)^* = V_\Omega^{\frac{1}{p}}$

**Proof.** Let  $f \in T_\Omega^p$  and  $\mu \in V_\Omega^{\frac{1}{p}}$ , and write  $f \equiv \sum_j \lambda_j a_j$  as Theorem 1. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathcal{L}} f(x,t) d\mu(x,t) \right| &\leq \sum_j |\lambda_j| \int_{\widehat{B_{j,\Omega}}} |a(x,t)| d\mu(x,t) \\ &\leq \sum_j |\lambda_j| \|a_j\|_\infty |\mu|(\widehat{B_{j,\Omega}}) \\ &\leq \sum_j |\lambda_j| s(B_j)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|\mu\|_{V_\Omega^{\frac{1}{p}}} s(B_j)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_j |\lambda_j|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|\mu\|_{V_\Omega^{\frac{1}{p}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, a bounded functional on  $T_\Omega^p(\mathcal{L})$  gives bounded linear functional on  $\mathcal{C}(K)$  which is the space of continuous function on compact set  $K$ ,  $K$  ranges over the compact subset of  $\mathcal{L}$ . This induces a measure  $d\mu$  on  $\mathcal{L}$ . To show  $d\mu$  is a Carleson measure. Write  $d\mu = \eta d|\mu|$  and put  $f(x,t) = \bar{\eta} \chi_{(\widehat{Q})}$ . Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of continuous functions with compact support which converges to  $f = \bar{\eta} \chi_{\widehat{Q}}$  in the sence of  $T_\Omega^p(\mathcal{L})$ -norm convergence. Since  $A_\Omega^\infty(f) = \chi_Q$ , by the continuity of the liner functional we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\widehat{Q_\Omega}} d|\mu| &\leq \left| \int_{\widehat{Q_\Omega}} \bar{\eta} d\mu \right| = \left| \int_{\widehat{Q_\Omega}} f d\mu \right| \\ &\leq \|A_\Omega^\infty(f)\|_{L^p(\partial\mathcal{L}, ds)}^{\frac{1}{p}} = C s(Q)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

## References

- [1] María J. Carro and Javier Soria, *Tent spaces over general approach regions and pointwise estimates*, Pacific. J. Math **163** (1994), 217–235.
- [2] R.R. Coifman, Y. Meyer and E. M. Stein, *Some new function spaces and there applications to harmonic analysis*, J. Func. Anal. **62** (1985), 304–355.
- [3] José Garcia and José L. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted norm inequalities and related topics*, North-Holland-Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, 1985.
- [4] C.E. Keing, *Weighted  $H^p$  spaces in Lipschitz domains*, Amer. J. Math **102** (1980), 129–163.
- [5] E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Priceton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1970.

Department of Mathematics  
Kyungpook National University  
Taegu, 702-701, Korea