THE CHOQUET Φ -INTEGRAL WITH RESPECT TO NON-MONOTONIC FUZZY Φ -MEASURES

LEE-CHAE JANG AND JOONG-SUNG KWON

1. Introduction

In T. Murofushi, M. Sugeno and M.Machida ([1],[2],[3]), L.M. De Campos and M. Jorge Bolaños[4], they discussed some properties of the Choquet integral with respect to non-monotonic fuzzy measures. Furthermore, T.Muroshi, M.Sugeno and M.Machida[5] investigated the Choquet integral with respect to non-monotonic fuzzy measures of bounded variation. In addition, L.Jang and J. Kwon [6] studied some properties of non-monotonic fuzzy measures of Φ -bounded variation.

In this paper, we introduce the concept of non-monotonic fuzzy measures of Φ -bounded variation, where $\Phi = \{\phi_n\}$ is a sequence of increasing convex functions, defined on the nonnegative real numbers, such that $\phi_n(0) = 0$ and $\phi_n(x) > 0$ for x > 0 and $n = 1, 2, \cdots$. We say that Φ is a Φ^* -sequence if and only if $\phi_{n+1}(x) \leq \phi_n(x)$ for all n and x, and a Φ -sequence if in addition $\sum_n \phi_n(x)$ diverges for x > 0. These definitions were introduced in M. Schramn [7]. Throughout this paper we assume that (X, \mathcal{F}) is a measurable space.

In section 2, we will define a non-monotonic fuzzy Φ -measure and the Choquet Φ -integral. In section 3, we discuss some properties of the Choquet Φ -integral with respect to non-monotonic fuzzy Φ -measures.

Received June 28, 1995.

2. Definitions and Preliminaries.

The fuzzy measure and fuzzy integral, defined on a classical σ -algebra, were introduced by M. Sugeno [8].

DEFINITION 2.1 ([5],[6]). A fuzzy measure on (X,\mathcal{F}) is a real-valued set function $\lambda: \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying

- (i) $\lambda(\phi) = 0$
- (ii) $\lambda(A) \leq \lambda(B)$ whenever $A \subset B$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ where $R^+ = [0, \infty)$, the set of nonnegative real numbers.

Note that in this paper, we do not deal with fuzzy measures λ for which $\lambda(X) = \infty$. In T. Murofuschi, M.Sugeno and M. Machida [5], they discussed non-monotonic fuzzy measures, which are set functions without monotonicity.

DEFINITION 2.2 ([5],[6]). A non-monotonic fuzzy measure on (X, \mathcal{F}) is a real-valued set function $\lambda : \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying $\lambda(\phi) = 0$.

Let $\Phi = {\phi_n}$ be either a Φ^* -sequence or a Φ -sequence. In [6], the total Φ -variation $\Phi V(\mu)$ of μ on X is defined by

$$\Phi V(\mu) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i |\mu(A_i) - \mu(A_{i-1})| \middle| \phi = A_0 \subset \cdots \subset A_n = X, \right\},\,$$

where $\{A_i\}_{i=0}^n \subset \mathcal{F}$. A real-valued set function μ is said to be of Φ -bounded variation if and only if $\Phi V(\mu) < \infty$. We remark that if $\Phi = \{\phi_n\}$ is the uniformly equicontinuous on \mathbf{R} , that is, there is a positive constant M, independent of $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$, such that

$$|\phi_n(x) - \phi_n(y)| \le M|x - y|$$

then, the Proposition 2.5([6]) implies that a monotonic fuzzy measure λ is of Φ -bounded variation. We denote the set of monotonic fuzzy measures on (X, \mathcal{F}) by $FM(X, \mathcal{F})$ and the set of non-monotonic fuzzy measures of Φ -bounded variation on (X, \mathcal{F}) by $\Phi BV(X, \mathcal{F})$. Then, the Theorem 2.9([6]) implies that $\Phi BV(X, \mathcal{F})$ is a real Banach space with $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi}$, where

$$\|\mu\|_{\Phi} = \inf\{k > 0 : \Phi V\left(\frac{\mu}{k}\right) \le 1\}$$

for every $\mu \in \Phi BV(X, \mathcal{F})$.

DEFINITION 2.3 ([6]). For every $\mu \in \Phi BV(X, \mathcal{F})$, we define

$$|\mu|_{\Phi}(A) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(|\mu(A_{i}) - \mu(A_{i-1})|) \middle| \phi = A_{0} \subset \cdots \subset A_{n} = A \right\}$$

$$\mu_{\Phi}^{+}(A) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}([\mu(A_{i}) - \mu(A_{i-1})]^{+}) \middle| \phi = A_{0} \subset \cdots \subset A_{n} = A \right\}$$

$$\mu_{\Phi}^{-}(A) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}([\mu(A_{i}) - \mu(A_{i-1})]^{-}) \middle| \phi = A_{0} \subset \cdots \subset A_{n} = A \right\}$$

where $\{A_i\}_{i=0}^n \subset \mathcal{F}$, $[r]^+ = \max\{r,0\}$ and $[r]^- = \max\{-r,0\}$. We call $|\mu|_{\Phi}$, μ_{Φ}^+ , and μ_{Φ}^- , the total Φ -variation, positive total Φ -variation, negative total Φ -variation of μ , respectively.

DEFINITION 2.4 ([6]). Let Φ be either Φ^* -sequence or Φ -sequence and let μ be a non-monotonic fuzzy measure on (X, \mathcal{F}) of Φ -bounded variation. Then μ_{Φ} is defined by

$$\mu_{\Phi}(A) = \mu_{\Phi}^{+}(A) - \mu_{\Phi}^{-}(A)$$
, for every $A \in \mathcal{F}$

In this case, we say that μ_{Φ} is a non-monotonic fuzzy Φ -measure on (X, \mathcal{F}) .

3. Characterizations of Choquet Φ-integrals.

T.Murofushi, M.Sugeno and M.Machida[5] introduced the Choquet integral with respect to non-monotonic fuzzy measures. And also, they discussed the Choquet integral with respect to non-monotonic fuzzy measures of bounded variation. In this section, we define the Choquet Φ -integral with respect to non-monotonic fuzzy Φ -measures and investigate some characterizations of the Choquet Φ -integral.

DEFINITION 3.1. The Choquet Φ -integral of a measurable function $f: X \to \mathbf{R}$ with respect to a non-monotonic fuzzy Φ -measure μ_{Φ} is defined by

$$(C)\int f d\mu_{\Phi} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu_{\Phi f}(r) dr$$

whenever the integral in the right-hand side exists. Here, $\mu_{\Phi f}(r)$ is defined by

$$\mu_{\Phi f}(r) = \begin{cases} \mu_{\Phi}(\{x \mid f(x) > r\}), & \text{for } r \ge 0\\ \mu_{\Phi}(\{x \mid f(x) > r\}) - \mu_{\Phi}(X), & \text{for } r < 0. \end{cases}$$

We note that $\mu_{\Phi f}^+$ and $\mu_{\Phi f}^-$ are defined by

$$\mu_{\Phi f}^{+}(r) = \begin{cases} \mu_{\Phi}^{+}(\{x \mid f(x) > r\}), & \text{for } r \ge 0\\ \mu_{\Phi}^{+}(\{x \mid f(x) > r\}) - \mu_{\Phi}^{+}(X), & \text{for } r < 0. \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mu_{\Phi f}^-(r) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mu_{\Phi}^-(\{x \mid f(x) > r\}), & \text{for } r \geq 0 \\ \mu_{\Phi}^-(\{x \mid f(x) > r\}) - \mu_{\Phi}^-(X), & \text{for } r < 0. \end{array} \right.$$

respectively. Since $\mu_{\Phi}(A) = \mu_{\Phi}^{+}(A) - \mu_{\Phi}^{-}(A)$ for each $A \in \mathcal{F}$, it is easy to show that

$$(C)\int fd\mu_{\Phi} = (C)\int fd\mu_{\Phi}^{+} - (C)\int fd\mu_{\Phi}^{-}.$$

A measurable function f is called Φ -integrable on X if the Choquet Φ -integral of f exists and its value is finite.

PROPOSITION 3.2. Let $\phi_n(x) = x$ for all n and let $f: X \to \mathbf{R}$ be a measurable function. Then we have

$$(C)\int fd\mu_{\Phi}=(C)\int fd\mu$$

where $(C) \int f d\mu$ is the Choquet integral of f with respect to a non-monotonic fuzzy measure μ .

PROOF. Since $\phi_n(x) = x$ for all n,

$$\mu_{\Phi f}(r) = \begin{cases} \mu_{\Phi}(\{x \mid f(x) > r\}), & \text{for } r \ge 0 \\ \mu_{\Phi}(\{x \mid f(x) > r\}) - \mu_{\Phi}(X), & \text{for } r < 0. \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \mu(\{x \mid f(x) > r\}), & \text{for } r \ge 0 \\ \mu(\{x \mid f(x) > r\}) - \mu(X), & \text{for } r < 0. \end{cases}$$

$$= \mu_{f}(r) & \text{for each } r \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Hence, we have

$$(C) \int f d\mu_{\Phi} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu_{\Phi} f(r) dr$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu_{f}(r) dr = (C) \int f d\mu.$$

From Proposition 3.2, we note that if $\phi_n(x) = x$ for all n, then a measurable function f is Φ -integrable if and only if it is integrable.

PROPOSITION 3.3. If μ_{Φ} , ν_{Φ} are non-monotonic fuzzy Φ -measures, if a and b are real numbers, and if f is a measurable function, then

$$(C)\int fd(a\mu_{\Phi}+b\nu_{\Phi})=a(C)\int fd\mu_{\Phi}+b(C)\int fd\nu_{\Phi}$$

PROOF. If $r \geq 0$, then we have

$$(a\mu_{\Phi} + b\nu_{\Phi})_f(r) = (a\mu_{\Phi} + b\nu_{\Phi})(\{x \mid f(x) > r\})$$

$$= a\mu_{\Phi}(\{x \mid f(x) > r\}) + b\nu_{\Phi}(\{x \mid f(x) > r\})$$

$$= (a\mu_{\Phi})_f(r) + (b\nu_{\Phi})_f(r)$$

If r < 0, then we have

$$(a\mu_{\Phi} + b\nu_{\Phi})_{f}(r)$$

$$= (a\mu_{\Phi} + b\nu_{\Phi})(\{x \mid f(x) > r\}) - (a\mu_{\Phi} + b\nu_{\Phi})(X)$$

$$= a\left[\mu_{\Phi}(\{x \mid f(x) > r\}) - \mu_{\Phi}(X)\right] + b\left[\nu_{\Phi}(\{x \mid f(x) > r\}) - \nu_{\Phi}(X)\right]$$

$$= (a\mu_{\Phi})_{f}(r) + (b\nu_{\Phi})_{f}(r)$$

Hence, for all $r \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$(a\mu_{\Phi} + b\nu_{\Phi})_f(r) = a\mu_{\Phi}f(r) + b\nu_{\Phi}f(r).$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{split} &(C)\int fd(a\mu_{\Phi}+b\nu_{\Phi})\\ &=(C)\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(a\mu_{\Phi}+b\nu_{\Phi})_f(r)dr\\ &=(C)\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}a\mu_{\Phi}f(r)+b\nu_{\Phi}f(r)dr\\ &=a(C)\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mu_{\Phi}f(r)dr+b\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\nu_{\Phi}f(r)dr\\ &=a(C)\int fd\mu_{\Phi}+b(C)\int fd\nu_{\Phi}. \end{split}$$

Now the following are some properties of the Choquet Φ -integral.

PROPOSITION 3.4. For every $A \in \mathcal{F}$, $(C) \int 1_A d\mu_{\Phi} = \mu_{\Phi}(A)$. PROOF. Assume that $r \geq 0$. If $r \geq 1$, then we have

$$\mu_{\Phi}(\{x \mid 1_A(x) > r\}) = \mu_{\Phi}(\phi) = 0$$

If $0 \le r < 1$, then we have

$$\mu_{\Phi}(\{x \mid 1_A(x) > r\}) = \mu_{\Phi}(A).$$

Assume that r < 0. Then we have

$$\mu_{\Phi}(\{x \mid 1_A(x) > r\}) = \mu_{\Phi}(X).$$

And hence, we have

$$\mu_{\Phi}(\{x \mid 1_A(x) > r\}) - \mu_{\Phi}(X) = 0$$
, for each $r < 0$.

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{split} (C) \int 1_A d\mu_{\Phi} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu_{\Phi 1_A}(r) d\mu \\ &= \int_{0}^{1} \mu_{\Phi 1_A}(r) dr = \int_{0}^{1} \mu_{\Phi}(A) dr = \mu_{\Phi}(A). \end{split}$$

Let us consider the Choquet Φ -integral of a nonnegative simple function. Every nonnegative simple function f on X can be represented by

(3.1)
$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i 1_{D_i}$$

where $0 \le a_1 < \dots < a_n < \infty$, $D_i \cap D_j = \phi$ for $i \ne j$ and $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n D_i$.

PROPOSITION 3.5. Let f be a nonnegative simple function as in (3.1). Then

$$(C) \int f d\mu_{\Phi} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i - a_{i-1}) \mu_{\Phi}(A_i)$$

where $A_i = \bigcup_{k=i}^n D_k$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $a_0 = 0$.

PROOF. If $r < 0 = a_0$,

$$\mu_{\Phi f}(r) = \mu_{\Phi}(\{x \mid f(x) > r\}) - \mu_{\Phi}(X) = \mu_{\Phi}(X) - \mu_{\Phi}(X) = 0.$$

If $a_{i-1} < r < a_i$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then

$$\mu_{\Phi}f(r) = \mu_{\Phi}(\{x \mid f(x) > r\}) = \mu_{\Phi}(\cup_{k=i}^{n} D_{k}) = \mu_{\Phi}(A_{i}),$$

since $A_i = \bigcup_{k=i}^n D_k$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Therefore, we obtain

$$(C) \int f d\mu_{\Phi} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu_{\Phi}f(r)dr$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \mu_{\Phi}f(r)dr$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{a_{i-1}}^{a_{i}} \mu_{\Phi}f(r)dr + \int_{a_{n}}^{\infty} \mu_{\Phi}f(r)dr$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{a_{i-1}}^{a_{i}} \mu_{\Phi}(A_{i})dr$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_{i} - a_{i-1})\mu_{\Phi}(A_{i}).$$

We denote by $B(X, \mathcal{F})$ the set of bounded measurable functions on (X, \mathcal{F}) . Then $B(X, \mathcal{F})$ is a real Banach space with respect to the norm defined by

$$||f|| = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|.$$

And also, we denote by $B^+(X, \mathcal{F})$ the set of nonnegative bounded measurable functions on (X, \mathcal{F}) .

PROPOSITION 3.6. If $f \in B^+(X, \mathcal{F})$ and a is any nonnegative real number, then

$$(C) \int af d\mu_{\Phi} = a(C) \int f d\mu_{\Phi}.$$

PROOF. If a = 0, then af(x) = 0 for each $x \in X$. So, we have

$$\mu_{\Phi(af)}(r) = \begin{cases} \mu_{\Phi}(\{x \mid af(x) > r\}), & \text{for } r \ge 0 \\ \mu_{\Phi}(\{x \mid af(x) > r\}) - \mu_{\Phi}(X), & \text{for } r < 0. \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \mu(\phi), & \text{for } r \ge 0 \\ \mu(X) - \mu(X), & \text{for } r < 0. \end{cases}$$

$$= 0 & \text{for each } r \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Hence

$$(C)\int afd\mu_{\Phi}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mu_{\Phi(af)}(r)dr=0=a(C)\int fd\mu_{\Phi}.$$

If a > 0, we have

$$\mu_{\Phi(af)}(r) = \begin{cases} \mu_{\Phi}(\{x \mid af(x) > r\}), & \text{for } r \ge 0 \\ \mu_{\Phi}(\{x \mid af(x) > r\}) - \mu_{\Phi}(X), & \text{for } r < 0. \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \mu_{\Phi}(\{x \mid f(x) > \frac{r}{a}\}), & \text{for } r \ge 0 \\ \mu_{\Phi}(\{x \mid f(x) > \frac{r}{a}\}) - \mu_{\Phi}(X), & \text{for } r < 0. \end{cases}$$

$$= \mu_{\Phi f}\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)$$

Hence

$$(C) \int af d\mu_{\Phi} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu_{\Phi(af)}(r) dr$$

$$= a \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu_{\Phi f}(\frac{r}{a}) d\frac{r}{a}$$

$$= a \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu_{\Phi f}(s) ds$$

$$= a(C) \int f d\mu_{\Phi}$$

where $s = \frac{r}{a}$.

PROPOSITION 3.7. If $f \in B^+(X, \mathcal{F})$, then

$$(C)\int f d\mu_{\Phi} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \mu_{\Phi}f(r)dr.$$

PROOF. For r < 0,

$$\mu_{\Phi f}(r) = \mu_{\Phi} \{ x \in X \mid f(x) > r \} - \mu_{\Phi}(X)$$

= $\mu_{\Phi}(X) - \mu_{\Phi}(X) = 0$

Hence

$$(C) \int f d\mu_{\Phi} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu_{\Phi} f(r) dr$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \mu_{\Phi} f(r) dr + \int_{-\infty}^{0} \mu_{\Phi} f(r) dr$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \mu_{\Phi} f(r) dr$$

PROPOSITION 3.8. If $f, g \in B^+(X, \mathcal{F})$ and $f(x) \leq g(x)$ for every $x \in X$, then

$$(C)\int f d\mu_{\Phi}^{+} \leq (C)\int g d\mu_{\Phi}^{+}.$$

PROOF. For each $r \geq 0$, we put

$$A^r = \{x \in X \mid f(x) > r\} \text{ and } B^r = \{x \in X \mid g(x) > r\}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{split} & \mu_{\Phi f}^{+}(r) \\ &= \mu_{\Phi}^{+}\{x \in X \mid f(x) > r\} \\ &= \mu_{\Phi}^{+}(A^{r}) \\ &= \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}([\mu(A_{i}^{r}) - \mu(A_{i-1}^{r})]^{+}) \middle| \phi = A_{0}^{r} \subset \cdots \subset A_{n}^{r} = A^{r} \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}([\mu(A_{i}^{r}) - \mu(A_{i-1}^{r})]^{+}) \middle| \phi = A_{0}^{r} \subset \cdots \subset A_{n}^{r} = B^{r} \right\} \\ &= \mu_{\Phi g}^{+}, \end{split}$$

where $\{A_i^r\}_{i=0}^n \subset \mathcal{F}$. Therefore, we have

$$(C)\int f d\mu_{\Phi}^{+} \leq (C)\int g\mu_{\Phi}^{+}.$$

We remark that

(i) by the similarity of the proof of the proposition 3.8, it is easy to show that

$$(C) \int f d\mu_{\Phi}^{-} \leq (C) \int g \mu_{\Phi}^{-}.$$

under the same hypotheses;

- (ii) in general, it is not true that $(C) \int f d\mu_{\Phi} \leq (C) \int g \mu_{\Phi}$, whenever $f, g \in B^+(X, \mathcal{F})$ and $f(x) \leq g(x)$ for every $x \in X$;
- (iii) from the proposition 3.6, the Choquet Φ -integral functional on $B^+(X,\mathcal{F})$ satisfies positively homogeneous. Here, the definition of positively homogeneous was introduced by T. Murofushi, M. sugeno and M. Machida [5].

References

- [1]. T. Murofushi, M. Sugeno and M. Machida, An interpretation of fuzzy measures and the Choquet integral as integral with respect to a fuzzy measure, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 29 (1989), 201-227.
- [2]. T. Murofushi, M. Sugeno and M. Machida, Some quantities represented by the Choquet integral, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 56 (1993), 229-235.
- [3]. T. Murofushi, M. Sugeno and M. Machida, Fuzzy t-conorm integral generalization of Sugeno integral and Choquet integral, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 42 (1991), 57-71.
- [4]. L.M.de Campos and M.Jorge Bolaños, Characterization and comparison of Sugeno and Choquet integrals, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 52 (1992), 61-67.
- [5]. T. Murofushi, M. Sugeno and M.Machida, Non-monotonic fuzzy measures and the Choquet integral, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 64 (1994), 73-86.
- [6]. L. Jang and J. Kwon, On non-monotonic fuzzy measures of Φ -bounded variation, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, submitted.
- [7]. M. Schramn, Function of Φ-bounded variation and Riemann-Stieltjes integration, Trans. A.M.S. 287 (1985), 49-63.

[8]. M. Sugeno, Theory of fuzzy integrals and its applications, Thesis, Tokyo Institute of Technology (1974).

Lee-Chae Jang Department of Applied Mathematics Kon-Kuk University Choongju, 380-701, Korea

Joong-Sung Kwon Department of Mathematics Sun-Moon University Asankun, 337-840, Korea