THE OPERATOR AND PROBABILITY ON A HYPERGROUP

MYEONG-HWAN KIM AND JAE-WON LEE

1. Notations and Preliminaries

Let K be a locally compact—hypergroup introduced by *convo* in [10]. For a Borel function f on K, f^- is defined through involution and complex conjugation at the same time, and the mapping $x \to x^-$ is called an involution of K. The Dirac measure in a point $x \in K$ will be abbreviated by ε_x . Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ be the Banach *-algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} , and I the identity operator. We shall say that U is a representation of K on \mathfrak{H} if the following four conditions are satisfied:

- (1) The mapping $\mu \to U_{\mu}$ is a *-homomorphism from $\mathfrak{M}(K)$ into $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ where $\mathfrak{M}(K)$ is the Banach algebra under the convolution of all finite regular Borel measures on K.
- (2) If $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(K)$ then $||U_{\mu}|| \leq ||\mu||$.
- (3) $U_{\varepsilon_x} = I$.
- (4) If $a, b \in \mathfrak{H}$ then the mapping $\mu \to \langle U_{\mu} a, b \rangle$ is continuous on $\mathfrak{M}^+(K)$ with respect to the cone topology.

We shall write U_x for U_{ε_x} for $x \in K$. By condition (4), if $a, b \in \mathfrak{H}$ then the mapping $x \to \langle U_x a, b \rangle$ is bounded and continuous, and

$$\langle U_{\mu}a, b \rangle = \int_{K} \langle U_{x}a, b \rangle \mu(dx)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}^1(K)$, where $\mathfrak{M}^1(K)$ is the space of probability measures on K furnished with the weak topology.

Let χ be continuous and not indentically zero, complex-valued function on K with the property $\chi(x*y) = \chi(x)\chi(y)$ for all $x,y \in K$. We denote the set of all such functions by $\mathfrak{X}(K)$ and the set of all χ in $\mathfrak{X}(K)$ where are bounded will be denoted by $\mathfrak{X}_b(K)$. In particular,

Received June 30, 1995.

 $\chi \in \mathfrak{X}_b(K)$ with $|\chi(x)| = 1$, $x \in K$, is called a *character* of K. For χ in $\mathfrak{X}_b(K)$, let F_{χ} be defined on $\mathfrak{M}(K)$ by

$$F_{\chi}(\mu) = \int_{K} \overline{\chi} d\mu \,,$$

and \widehat{K} be the set of all χ in $\mathfrak{X}_b(K)$ such that

$$\chi(x^-) = \overline{\chi(x)}$$

for x in K. Moreover a function χ on K will be called a normalized character if $\chi = \frac{1}{n}T$ where T is the trace function of an irreducible unitary representation of K on an n-dimensional Hilbert space. Recall that a function is a normalized character if and only if χ is continuous and

$$\chi(x)\chi(y) = \int_{K} \chi(t^{-}xty)\omega(dt)$$

for all $x,y \in K$, where ω is the normalized Haar measure. For $x \in K$ the conjugacy class of x is defined by $x^G = \{t^-xt \mid t \in K\}$ and if H is the hypergroup of conjugacy classes for K, the characters of H are in one-to-one correspondence with the normalized character χ_ρ of representation ρ in \mathcal{R} . We denote by $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathbf{c}}$, $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathbf{u}}$, and $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathbf{co}}$, respectively, the algebras of continuous, unformly continuous, and continuous with compact support; by $\mathfrak{F}_{\gamma}(\chi)$, $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathbf{z}}(K)$, respectively, the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathbf{u}}$ consisting of representative functions associated with a continuous irreducible unitary representation (we will denote the totality of all such representations by \mathcal{R}), subalgebra of $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathbf{c}}$ consisting of the central functions, i.e., $f(x) = f(yxy^-)$ for all x,y in K. Also $\int_{K/Z} dx$ denotes the normalized Haar integral on K/Z, and $\int_K dx$ is a left invariant Haar integral on K; ω_K denotes the Haar measure on K.

Finally, Dunkl [3] defines the center Z=Z(K) of a hypergroup K as the set of all x in K such that $\operatorname{supp}(\varepsilon_x*\varepsilon_y)$ is a singleton for each $y\in K$. Jewett [10] defines the maximal subgroup G(K) of K as the set of all x in K such that $\operatorname{supp}(\varepsilon_x*\varepsilon_{x^-})=\{e\}$. If K/Z is compact, then a locally compact hypergroup K is called *central* or Z-hypergroup. Such hypergroups arise natually as double coset spaces of compact subgroups of Z-groups.

If f is a Borel function on K and $x, y \in K$ then we define $f(x*y) = f^y(x) = \int_K f(z) d\varepsilon_x * \varepsilon_y(z)$ if this integral exists, and we will denote it by f(xy). For any $[0, \infty]$ -valued function f, g and left invariant Haar measure m, the convolution f*g of f and g is defined on K by $(f*g)(x) = \int_K f(x*y)g(y^{-1})m(dy)$.

2. Representation

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let K be a Z-hypergroup and let ρ be a continuous irreducible unitary representation of K on the complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Then

(1) For each choice of u, v, u', v' in \mathcal{H} the function defined by

$$x \to <\rho_x u, v > \overline{<\rho_x u', v'>}$$

(where $x \in K$ and - denotes complex conjugation) is constant on cosets of Z and is in $\mathfrak{F}_{c}(K/Z)$.

(2) To ρ corresponds a positive real number $c_{\rho} = \int_{K/Z} |\langle \rho_x u, v \rangle|^2 d\dot{x}$, where u and v are any vector in \mathcal{H} of norm 1, and

$$\int_{K/Z} <\rho_x u, v> \overline{<\rho_x u', v'>} d\dot{x} = c_\rho < u, u'> \overline{< v, v'>}$$

for all u, v, u', v' in \mathcal{H}

Proof. Let $z \in Z$. Since ρ is a irreducible unitary representation of K, $\rho_x \rho_z = \rho_z \rho_x$ for each x in K and $\rho_z = \lambda(z)I$ where $\lambda(z) \in C$, $|\lambda(z)|^2 = 1$ and I is the identity on \mathcal{H} . Since ρ is continuous, and

$$< \rho_z \rho_x u, v > \overline{< \rho_z \rho_x u', v' >} = \lambda(z) < \rho_x u, v > \lambda(z) \overline{< \rho_x u', v' >}$$

$$= < \rho_x u, v > \overline{< \rho_x u', v' >},$$

the function in (1) is a constant on cosets of Z, and is in $\mathfrak{F}_{c}(K/Z)$. Now consider the function

$$\phi(u, v, u', v') = \int_{K/Z} \langle \rho_x u, v \rangle \overline{\langle \rho_x u', v' \rangle} d\dot{x}.$$

For fixed u, u', this function is linear in v' and conjugate linear in v. Moreover, $|\phi(u, v, u', v')| \leq ||u|| ||v|| ||u'|| ||v'||$ because of the unitarity of ρ . Hence we can represent $\phi(u, v, u', v')$ by a bounded operator. Specifically, there exists a family $B_{u,u'}$ of bounded operators on \mathcal{H} satisfying $\langle B_{u,u'}v', v \rangle = \phi(u, v, u', v')$. Then

$$< B_{u,u'} \rho_y v', v > = \int_{K/Z} < \rho_{yx} u, v > \overline{< \rho_{yx} u', v' >} d\dot{x}$$

$$= \int_{K/Z} < \rho_{yx} u, v > \overline{< \rho_{x} u', v' >} d\dot{x}$$
by the invariance of the Haar integral
$$= \int_{K/Z} < \rho_{x} u, \rho_{y}^{*} v > \overline{< \rho_{x} u', v' >} d\dot{x}$$

$$= < B_{u,u'} v', \rho_{y}^{*} v >$$

$$= < \rho_{y} B_{u,u'} v', v >$$

for all $y \in K$ and $u, v, u', v' \in \mathcal{H}$. Hence $B_{u,u'}\rho_y = \rho_y B_{u,u'}$ for all $y \in K$ and $u, u' \in \mathcal{H}$, so that by Schur's lemma $B_{u,u'} = \lambda(u, u')I, \lambda(u, u') \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence $\phi(u, v, u', v') = \langle B_{u,u'}v', v \rangle = \lambda(u, u') \langle v', v \rangle$. On the other hand, since ρ is unitary,

$$<\rho_x u, v> \overline{<\rho_x u', v'>} = < u, \rho_{x^{-1}} v> \overline{< u', \rho_{x^{-1}} v'>}$$

and hence

$$< B_{u,u'}v', v> = \int_{K/Z} < u, \rho_{x^{-1}}v > \overline{< u', \rho_{x^{-1}}v' >} d\dot{x}.$$

Moreover since K/Z is unimodular

$$\begin{split} \int_{K/Z} < u, \rho_{x^{-1}} v > \overline{< u', \rho_{x^{-1}} v' >} d\dot{x} &= \int_{K/Z} < u, \rho_{x} v > \overline{< u', \rho_{x} v' >} d\dot{x} \\ &= < B_{v,v'} u', u > \\ &= \lambda(v, v') < u, u' > . \end{split}$$

We conclude that $\lambda(u, u') < v', v >= \lambda(v, v') < u, u' >$. So $\lambda(v, v)$ is constant on ||v|| = 1 and does not depend on v. If we denote $\lambda(v, v)$

by c_{ρ} , then $\lambda(u, u') = c_{\rho} < u, u' >$ and

$$\begin{split} \int_{K/Z} <\rho_x u, v> \overline{<\rho_x u', v'>} d\dot{x} &= c_\rho < u, u'> < v', v> \\ &= c_\rho < u, u'> \overline{< v, v'>}, \end{split}$$

and if $u=u',\,v=v'$ and $\|u\|=\|v\|=1,$ we obtain $c_\rho=\int_{K/Z}|<\rho_x u,v>|^2d\dot x.$

Let $(\mu_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a convolution semigroup in $\mathfrak{M}^1(K)$. If we define $\psi_x(u) = \langle \rho_x u, u \rangle$, then ψ_x will be a corresponding quadratic form of $(\mu_t)_{t\geq 0}$. Now we recall that $\mathcal{P}(K)$ is the cone of all bounded continuous positive definite functions on K. Let $\mathcal{P}^1(K)$ be the convex set of $\phi \in \mathcal{P}(K)$ such that $\phi(e) = \|\phi\|_{\infty} = 1$, and let, further, π_{ϕ} be the corresponding representation to $\phi \in \mathcal{P}^1(K)$. Then we have a relation $|\phi(yx) - \phi(x)| \leq 2(1 - \operatorname{Re}\phi(y^-))$ for $\phi \in \mathcal{P}^1(K)$ and $x, y \in K$, and the canonical mapping $\phi \to \pi_{\phi}$ from $\exp(K)$ onto K is open and continuous, where $\exp(K)$ is the set of extreme points of $\mathcal{P}^1(K)$ endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subset of K (pp94-95, [7]).

THEOREM 2.2. Let K be a Z-hypergroup. If ρ is a continuous irreducible unitary representation of K, then ρ is finite dimensional, and the dimension function $\rho \to d_{\rho}$ is continuous, locally bounded on \widehat{K} .

Proof. Let $\{v_i|i\in I\}$ be a maximal orthogonal family in \mathcal{H} . For any integer n, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} | < \rho_x v_i, v_1 > |^2 \le \sum_{i \in I} | < \rho_x v_i, v_1 > |^2,$$

thus by proposition 2.1,

$$\int_{K/Z} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\langle \rho_x v_i, v_1 \rangle|^2 d\dot{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{K/Z} |\langle \rho_x v_i, v_1 \rangle|^2 d\dot{x}$$
$$= nc_{\rho} \le \int_{K/Z} \sum_{i \in I} |\langle \rho_x v_i, v_1 \rangle|^2 d\dot{x}.$$

Since ρ is unitary, $\{\rho_x v_i\}$, for every x, is also a maximal orthogonal family in \mathcal{H} . Moreover we have

$$||v_1||^2 = \sum_{i \in I} |\langle \rho_x v_i, v_1 \rangle|^2 = 1$$

by Parseval's equation. Hence $nc_{\rho} \leq 1$ and since c_{ρ} is a positive real number, n is a bounded integer. So, ρ has a finite dimension. Let us denote by d_{ρ} the dimension of ρ . Since K/Z is compact it follows that $C = \bigcup_{x \in K} \{x\} * \{x^-\}$ is a compact subset of K(2.5D, 2.5F, 3.2C, [10]), and we can set K = CZ (proposition 4.3, [16]). Let $\phi_0 \in \exp^{-1}(K)$ and $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then the set

$$W = \{ \pi_{\phi} | \phi \in \text{ex} \mathcal{P}^1(K), |\phi(x) - \phi_0(x)| < \epsilon \quad \text{for all } x \in C \}$$

is an open neighborhood of π_{ϕ} on \widehat{K} . Since

$$|\phi(x) - \phi_0(x)| = |\langle \pi_{\phi}(x)u, u \rangle - \langle \pi_{\phi_0}(x)u_0, u_0 \rangle|$$

for some $u_0 \in \mathcal{H}_{\rho_0}$, $||u_0|| = 1$, and $u \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi_{\phi}}$, $||u_{\phi}|| = 1$, we get

$$\left| \int_{K/Z} \left(\|\pi_{\phi_0}(x)u_0\|^2 - \|\pi_{\phi}(x)u\|^2 \right) d\dot{x} \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{K/Z} \left| < \pi_{\phi_0}(x * x^{-1})u_0, u_0 > - < \pi_{\phi}(x * x^{-1})u, u > |d\dot{x}| \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{K/Z} \left(\int_K \left| < \pi_{\phi_0}(y)u_0, u_0 > - < \pi_{\phi}(y)u, u > |d\varepsilon_x * \varepsilon_{x^{-1}}(y) \right) d\dot{x} \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{K/Z} \int_K \epsilon d\varepsilon_x * \varepsilon_{x^{-1}}(y) d\dot{x} = \epsilon$$

for all $\pi_{\phi} \in W$. Thus $\rho \to \int_{K/Z} \|\rho_x u\|^2 d\dot{x}$ is continuous. On the other hand if $\{v_1, \cdots, v_{d_{\rho}}\}$ is an orthogonal basis of \mathcal{H} , then we have, as the above, $c_{\rho}d_{\rho} \leq 1$. Since $\rho \to c_{\rho}$ is continuous $\rho \to d_{\rho}$ is locally bounded and continuous.

From the theorem 2.2, if $\{v_1, \dots, v_{d_{\rho}}\}$ is an orthogonal basis of \mathcal{H} , then for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, d_{\rho}$, we have

$$d_{\rho}c_{\rho} = \sum_{i=1}^{d_{\rho}} \int_{K/Z} |\langle \rho_{x}v_{i}, v_{1} \rangle|^{2} d\dot{x} = \int_{K/Z} \sum_{i=1}^{d_{\rho}} |\langle \rho_{x}v_{i}, v_{1} \rangle|^{2} d\dot{x}.$$

By the Parseval's equation, we obtain that $d_{\rho}c_{\rho}=1$. hence we see from this fact and proposition 2.1 that

$$\int_{K/Z} | < \rho_x u, v > |^2 d\dot{x} = d_{\rho}^{-1} \quad \text{for any } u, v \in \mathcal{H} \text{ and } ||u|| = ||v|| = 1$$

and for each u, v, u', v' in \mathcal{H} ,

$$\int_{K/Z} <\rho_x u, v> \overline{<\rho_x u', v'>} d\dot{x} = d_{\rho}^{-1} < u, u'> \overline{< v, v'>}.$$

If $\rho = \pi_{\phi} \in \widehat{K}$, $\phi \in \exp^{1}(K)$, then $\rho_{z} = \phi(z)I$ for all $z \in Z$ and $\phi|Z \in \widehat{Z}$ where \widehat{Z} denotes the character group of Z. We therefore have a canonical mapping $r : \widehat{K} \to \widehat{Z}$ defined by $\pi_{\phi} \to \phi|Z$. This mapping is continuous, open and surjective ([4],[7]). Moreover we can easily see that if $\rho_{x} = (\rho_{ij}(x))$ is the matrix representation of ρ relative to the above basis, then

$$\int_{K/Z} \rho_{li}(x) \overline{\rho_{jk}(x)} d\dot{x} = d_{\rho}^{-1} \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl}, \quad \text{for} \quad i, j, k, l = 1, 2, \cdots, d_{\rho},$$

on the other words.

$$\int_{K/Z} <\rho_x v_l, v_i > \overline{<\rho_x v_j, v_k>} d\dot{x} = d_\rho^{-1} \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl}$$

and in particular $\int_{K/Z} |\chi_{\rho}|^2 d\dot{x} = 1([4],[7]).$

References

- R. J. Blattner, On the induced representations, Amer. J. Math. 83 (1961), 79-98.
- A. K. Chilana and K. A. Ross, Spectral synthesis in hypergroups, Pacific J. Math. 76 (1978), 313-328.
- C. F. Dunkl, The measure algebra of a locally compact hypergroup, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 179 (1973), 331-348.
- S. Grosser and M. Moskowitz, Representation theory of central topological groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 129 (1967), 361-390.
- 5. S. Grosser and M. Moskowitz, Harmonic analysis on central topological groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 156 (1971), 419-454.

- 6. S. Grosser and M. Moskowitz, Compactness conditions in topological groups, Journal für Mathematik 246 (1971), 1-40.
- 7. W. Hauenschild, E. Kaniuth and A. Kumar, Harmonic analysis on central hypergroups and induced representations, Pacific J. Math 110 (1984), 83-112.
- 8. H. Heyer, Probability measures on locally compact groups, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg- New York, 1977.
- 9. ———, Probability measures on almost periodic groups, Symposia Math. 21 (1977), 281-311.
- 10. R. I. Jewett, Spaces with an abstract convolution of measures,, Advaces in Math 18 (1975), 1-101.
- 11. A. Kumar and S. I. Singh, Counterexamples in spectral synthesis on hypergroups, Rendiconti di Mathematica, Series VII (Roma) 8 (1988), 329-338.
- R. Lasser, Fourier-Stieltjes transforms on hypergroups, Analysis 2 (1982), 281–303
- 13. ———, Almost periodic functions on hypergroups, Math. Ann 252 (1980), 183-196.
- 14. M. A. Naimark, *Normed rings*, GITTL, Moscow, 1956; English transl., Noordhoff, Groningen, 1959.
- 15. K. A. Ross, Hypergroups and centers of measure algebras, Symposia Mathematica 22 (1977), 189-203.
- 16. ———, Centers of hypergroups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc 243 (1978), 251-269.
- 17. M. Vogel, Harmonic analysis and spectral synthesis in central hypergroups, Math. Ann. 281 (1988), 369-385.

Myeong-Hwan Kim Department of Mathematics Kangweon National University Chuncheon, 200-701, Korea

Jae-Won Lee Department of Mathematics Sung Kyun Kwan University Suwon, 440-746, Korea