

SMOOTH FUZZY CLOSURE AND TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

YONG CHAN KIM

ABSTRACT. We will define a smooth fuzzy closure space and a subspace of it. We will investigate relationships between smooth fuzzy closure spaces and smooth fuzzy topological spaces. In particular, we will show that a subspace of a smooth fuzzy topological space can be obtained by the subspace of the smooth fuzzy closure space induced by it.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

R.N. Hazra et al. [6] introduced the concept of gradations of openness as an extension of Chang's fuzzy topology [1]. It has been developed in many directions [2,3,4,5,9].

In this paper, we will define a smooth fuzzy closure space and a subspace of it in view of K.C. Chattopadhyay et al. [3] as an extension of the definition of A.S. Masshour et al.[8]. Also, we will study relationships between smooth fuzzy closure spaces and smooth fuzzy topological spaces. In particular, we will show that a subspace of a smooth fuzzy topological space can be obtained by the subspace of the smooth fuzzy closure space induced by it.

In this paper, let X be a nonempty set, $I = [0, 1]$ and $I_0 = (0, 1]$.

A *fuzzy set* in X is a function $\mu : X \rightarrow I$ and I^X will denote the family of all fuzzy sets in X .

For a family of fuzzy sets $\{\mu_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$ in X , we define

$$\left(\bigvee_{i \in \Lambda} \mu_i\right)(x) = \sup_{i \in \Lambda} \mu_i(x), \quad \left(\bigwedge_{i \in \Lambda} \mu_i\right)(x) = \inf_{i \in \Lambda} \mu_i(x).$$

Received June 23, 1998.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A40.

Key words and phrases: smooth fuzzy closure spaces, smooth fuzzy topological space.

For $\mu, \nu \in I^X$, we define $\mu \leq \nu$ iff $\mu(x) \leq \nu(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

A fuzzy point x_t , $t \in I_0$, is an element of I^X such that

$$x_t(y) = \begin{cases} t, & \text{if } y = x, \\ 0, & \text{if } y \neq x. \end{cases}$$

A fuzzy point $x_t \in \lambda$ iff $t \leq \lambda(x)$.

If $A \subset X$, we define the characteristic function χ_A on X by

$$\chi_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in A, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin A. \end{cases}$$

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function, $\mu \in I^X$ and $\nu \in I^Y$. We define

$$f(\mu)(y) = \begin{cases} \sup\{\mu(x) \mid x \in f^{-1}(\{y\})\}, & \text{if } f^{-1}(\{y\}) \neq \emptyset, \\ 0, & \text{if } f^{-1}(\{y\}) = \emptyset, \end{cases}$$

and $f^{-1}(\nu)(x) = \nu(f(x))$ for all $x \in X$.

We denote $\tilde{0}(x) = 0$, $\tilde{1}(x) = 1$ for all $x \in X$.

LEMMA 1.1. [5] If $f : X \rightarrow Y$, then we have the following properties for direct and inverse image of fuzzy sets under mappings: for $\mu, \mu_i \in I^X$ and $\nu, \nu_i \in I^Y$,

- (1) $\nu \geq f(f^{-1}(\nu))$ with equality if f is surjective,
- (2) $\mu \leq f^{-1}(f(\mu))$ with equality if f is injective,
- (3) $f^{-1}(\tilde{1} - \nu) = \tilde{1} - f^{-1}(\nu)$,
- (4) $f(\tilde{1} - \mu) = \tilde{1} - f(\mu)$ if f is bijective,
- (5) $f^{-1}(\bigvee_{i \in \Lambda} \nu_i) = \bigvee_{i \in \Lambda} f^{-1}(\nu_i)$,
- (6) $f^{-1}(\bigwedge_{i \in \Lambda} \nu_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Lambda} f^{-1}(\nu_i)$,
- (7) $f(\bigvee_{i \in \Lambda} \mu_i) = \bigvee_{i \in \Lambda} f(\mu_i)$,
- (8) $f(\bigwedge_{i \in \Lambda} \mu_i) \leq \bigwedge_{i \in \Lambda} f(\mu_i)$ with equality if f is injective.

2. Smooth fuzzy topological spaces and smooth fuzzy closure spaces

DEFINITION 2.1. [6,4] A function $\mathcal{T} : I^X \rightarrow I$ is called a *smooth fuzzy topology* on X if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$(O1) \mathcal{T}(\tilde{0}) = \mathcal{T}(\tilde{1}) = 1,$$

$$(O2) \mathcal{T}(\mu_1 \wedge \mu_2) \geq \mathcal{T}(\mu_1) \wedge \mathcal{T}(\mu_2), \text{ for any } \mu_1, \mu_2 \in I^X,$$

$$(O3) \mathcal{T}(\bigvee_{i \in \Lambda} \mu_i) \geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Lambda} \mathcal{T}(\mu_i), \text{ for any family } \{\mu_i \mid i \in \Lambda\} \subseteq I^X.$$

The pair (X, \mathcal{T}) is called a *smooth fuzzy topological space*.

Let \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 be smooth fuzzy topologies on X , We say that \mathcal{T}_1 is *finer* than \mathcal{T}_2 (\mathcal{T}_2 is *coarser* than \mathcal{T}_2) iff $\mathcal{T}_2(\lambda) \leq \mathcal{T}_1(\lambda)$, for all $\lambda \in I^X$.

DEFINITION 2.2. [4] Let \mathcal{T} be a smooth fuzzy topology on X and a function $\mathcal{F} : I^X \rightarrow I$ defined by $\mathcal{F}(\mu) = \mathcal{T}(\tilde{1} - \mu)$ for all $\mu \in I^X$. Then \mathcal{F} is called a *smooth fuzzy cotopology* on X .

DEFINITION 2.3. A function $C : I^X \times I_0 \rightarrow I^X$ is called a *smooth fuzzy closure operator* on X if it satisfies the following conditions: for each $\lambda, \mu \in I^X$, $r, s \in I_0$,

$$(C1) C(\tilde{0}, r) = \tilde{0}, C(\tilde{1}, r) = \tilde{1},$$

$$(C2) \lambda \leq C(\lambda, r),$$

$$(C3) \text{ if } \lambda \leq \mu, \text{ then } C(\lambda, r) \leq C(\mu, r),$$

$$(C4) C(\lambda \vee \mu, r) = C(\lambda, r) \vee C(\mu, r),$$

$$(C5) \text{ if } r \leq s, \text{ then } C(\lambda, r) \leq C(\lambda, s).$$

The pair (X, C) is called a *smooth fuzzy closure space*.

A smooth fuzzy closure space (X, C) is called *topological* if

$$(C6) C(C(\lambda, r), r) = C(\lambda, r), \text{ for all } \lambda \in I^X, r \in I_0.$$

Let C_1 and C_2 be smooth fuzzy closure operators on X , We say that C_1 is *finer* than C_2 (C_2 is *coarser* than C_1) iff $C_1(\lambda, r) \leq C_2(\lambda, r)$, for all $\lambda \in I^X$, $r \in I_0$.

EXAMPLE 1. Define $C^0, C^1 : I^X \times I_0 \rightarrow I^X$ as follows:

$$C^0(\lambda, r) = \begin{cases} \tilde{0}, & \text{if } \lambda = \tilde{0}, r \in I_0, \\ \tilde{1}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and $C^1(\lambda, r) = \lambda$, for all $\lambda \in I^X$, $r \in I_0$. Then C^0 is the coarsest smooth fuzzy closure operator on X and C^1 is the finest one on X . \square

THEOREM 2.4. [3] Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a smooth fuzzy topological space. For each $r \in I_0, \lambda \in I^X$, we define an operator $C_{\mathcal{T}} : I^X \times I_0 \rightarrow I^X$ as follows:

$$C_{\mathcal{T}}(\lambda, r) = \bigwedge \{ \mu \mid \mu \geq \lambda, \mathcal{F}(\mu) \geq r \}.$$

Then it satisfies the following properties: for each $\lambda, \mu \in I^X$, $r, s \in I_0$,

- (1) $C_{\mathcal{T}}(\bar{0}, r) = \bar{0}$, $C_{\mathcal{T}}(\bar{1}, r) = \bar{1}$,
- (2) $\lambda \leq C_{\mathcal{T}}(\lambda, r)$,
- (3) if $\lambda \leq \mu$, then $C_{\mathcal{T}}(\lambda, r) \leq C_{\mathcal{T}}(\mu, r)$,
- (4) $C_{\mathcal{T}}(\lambda \vee \mu, r) = C_{\mathcal{T}}(\lambda, r) \vee C_{\mathcal{T}}(\mu, r)$,
- (5) if $r \leq s$, then $C_{\mathcal{T}}(\lambda, r) \leq C_{\mathcal{T}}(\lambda, s)$,
- (6) $C_{\mathcal{T}}(C_{\mathcal{T}}(\lambda, r), r) = C_{\mathcal{T}}(\lambda, r)$,
- (7) if $r = \bigvee \{s \in I_0 \mid C_{\mathcal{T}}(\lambda, s) = \lambda\}$, then $C_{\mathcal{T}}(\lambda, r) = \lambda$.

THEOREM 2.5. [3] Let (X, C) be a smooth fuzzy closure space. Define the function $\mathcal{F}_C : I^X \rightarrow I$ on X by

$$\mathcal{F}_C(\lambda) = \bigvee \{r \in I_0 \mid C(\lambda, r) = \lambda\}.$$

Then:

- (1) \mathcal{F}_C is a smooth fuzzy cotopology on X .
- (2) We have $C = C_{\mathcal{T}_C}$ iff (X, C) satisfies the following conditions:
 - (a) It is a topological smooth fuzzy closure space.
 - (b) If $r = \bigvee \{s \in I_0 \mid C(\lambda, s) = \lambda\}$, then $C(\lambda, r) = \lambda$.

EXAMPLE 2. Let C^0, C^1 be smooth fuzzy closure operators on X defined by Example 1. Then C^0, C^1 induce the following smooth fuzzy cotopologies on X : for all $\lambda \in I^X$,

$$\mathcal{F}_{C^0}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0} \text{ or } \bar{1}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and $\mathcal{F}_{C^1}(\lambda) = 1$. Therefore \mathcal{T}_{C^0} is the coarsest smooth fuzzy topology on X and \mathcal{T}_{C^1} is the finest one on X . \square

The following example is that we have $C \neq C_{\mathcal{T}_C}$ if (X, C) does not satisfy the condition (a) of Theorem 2.5(2).

EXAMPLE 3. Define $C : I^X \times I_0 \rightarrow I^X$ as follows:

$$C(\lambda, r) = \begin{cases} \bar{0}, & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0}, r \in I_0, \\ \chi_{\{x,y\}}, & \text{if } \lambda = x_t \in \chi_{\{x,y\}}, r \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \chi_{\{z\}}, & \text{if } \lambda = z_s \in \chi_{\{z\}}, r \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \bar{1}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then (X, C) is a smooth fuzzy closure space. Since $C(x_t, \frac{1}{3}) = \chi_{\{x,y\}}$ and $C(\chi_{\{x,y\}}, \frac{1}{3}) = \tilde{1}$, we have

$$C(C(x_t, \frac{1}{3}), \frac{1}{3}) \neq C(x_t, \frac{1}{3}).$$

Hence (X, C) is not a topological smooth fuzzy closure space.

From Theorem 2.5, we can obtain the smooth fuzzy cotopology \mathcal{F}_C on X induced by (X, C) as follows:

$$\mathcal{F}_C(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \lambda = \tilde{0} \text{ or } \tilde{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \lambda = \chi_{\{z\}}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From Theorem 2.4, we have the smooth fuzzy closure operator $C_{\mathcal{T}_C}$ on X induced by (X, \mathcal{T}_C) as follows:

$$C_{\mathcal{T}_C}(\lambda, r) = \begin{cases} \tilde{0}, & \text{if } \lambda = \tilde{0}, r \in I_0, \\ \chi_{\{z\}}, & \text{if } \lambda = z_s \in \chi_{\{z\}}, r \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \tilde{1}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence $C \neq C_{\mathcal{T}_C}$. □

The following example is that we have $C \neq C_{\mathcal{T}_C}$ if (X, C) does not satisfy the condition (b) of Theorem 2.5(2).

EXAMPLE 4. For $\mu \in I^X$ such that $\mu \neq \tilde{0}, \tilde{1}$, we define a smooth fuzzy closure operator $C : I^X \times I_0 \rightarrow I^X$ as follows:

$$C(\lambda, r) = \begin{cases} \tilde{0}, & \text{if } \lambda = \tilde{0}, r \in I_0, \\ \mu, & \text{if } \tilde{0} \neq \lambda \leq \mu, r < \frac{1}{2}, \\ \tilde{1}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then (X, C) is a topological smooth fuzzy closure space.

Hence \mathcal{F}_C is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_C(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \lambda = \tilde{0} \text{ or } \tilde{1} \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \lambda = \mu \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $C(\mu, r) = \mu$ for all $r < \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\bigvee \{r \in I_0 \mid C(\mu, r) = \mu\} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{but } C(\mu, \frac{1}{2}) = \tilde{1}.$$

On the other hand, we have $C_{\mathcal{T}_C}(\mu, \frac{1}{2}) = \mu$. Hence $C \neq C_{\mathcal{T}_C}$. \square

THEOREM 2.6. *Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a smooth fuzzy topological space. Let $(X, C_{\mathcal{T}})$ be a smooth fuzzy closure space. Then $\mathcal{F}_{C_{\mathcal{T}}}$ is a smooth fuzzy cotopology on X such that*

$$\mathcal{F}_{C_{\mathcal{T}}} = \mathcal{F}, \quad \text{that is, } \mathcal{T}_{C_{\mathcal{T}}} = \mathcal{T}$$

where $\mathcal{F}(\mu) = \mathcal{T}(\tilde{1} - \mu)$, for all $\mu \in I^X$.

Proof. From Theorem 2.5, $\mathcal{F}_{C_{\mathcal{T}}}$ is a smooth fuzzy cotopology on X . We only show that $\mathcal{F}_{C_{\mathcal{T}}} = \mathcal{F}$.

Suppose that there exists $\lambda \in I^X$ such that

$$\mathcal{F}_{C_{\mathcal{T}}}(\lambda) > \mathcal{F}(\lambda).$$

From the definition of $\mathcal{F}_{C_{\mathcal{T}}}$ there exists $r_0 \in I_0$ with $C_{\mathcal{T}}(\lambda, r_0) = \lambda$ such that

$$\mathcal{F}_{C_{\mathcal{T}}}(\lambda) \geq r_0 > \mathcal{F}(\lambda).$$

On the other hand, since $C_{\mathcal{T}}(\lambda, r_0) = \lambda$, we have $\mathcal{F}(\lambda) \geq r_0$ from the definition of $C_{\mathcal{T}}$. It is a contradiction. Hence $\mathcal{F}_{C_{\mathcal{T}}} \leq \mathcal{F}$.

Suppose that there exists $\rho \in I^X$ such that

$$\mathcal{F}_{C_{\mathcal{T}}}(\rho) < \mathcal{F}(\rho).$$

Then there exists $r_1 \in I_0$ such that

$$\mathcal{F}_{C_{\mathcal{T}}}(\rho) < r_1 \leq \mathcal{F}(\rho).$$

On the other hand, since $\mathcal{F}(\rho) \geq r_1$, by the definition of $C_{\mathcal{T}}$ we have

$$C_{\mathcal{T}}(\rho, r_1) = \bigwedge \{\mu \mid \mu \geq \rho, \mathcal{F}(\mu) \geq r_1\} = \rho.$$

Hence $\mathcal{F}_{C_{\mathcal{T}}}(\rho) \geq r_1$. It is a contradiction. Hence $\mathcal{F}_{C_{\mathcal{T}}} \geq \mathcal{F}$. \square

DEFINITION 2.7. Let (X, \mathcal{T}_1) and (Y, \mathcal{T}_2) be smooth fuzzy topological spaces. A function $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_1) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is called smooth continuous if $\mathcal{T}_2(\mu) \leq \mathcal{T}_1(f^{-1}(\mu))$ for all $\mu \in I^Y$.

REMARK. A function $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_1) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is smooth continuous if $\mathcal{F}_2(\nu) \leq \mathcal{F}_1(f^{-1}(\nu))$ for all $\nu \in I^Y$ because $f^{-1}(\bar{1} - \nu) = \bar{1} - f^{-1}(\nu)$ from Lemma 1.2 (3).

DEFINITION 2.8. Let (X, C_1) , (Y, C_2) be two smooth fuzzy closure spaces. A function $f : (X, C_1) \rightarrow (Y, C_2)$ is called a C -map if for all $\lambda \in I^X$, $r \in I_0$, $f(C_1(\lambda, r)) \leq C_2(f(\lambda), r)$.

THEOREM 2.9. Let (X, \mathcal{T}_1) and (Y, \mathcal{T}_2) be smooth fuzzy topological spaces. A function $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_1) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is a smooth continuous map iff $f : (X, C_{\mathcal{T}_1}) \rightarrow (Y, C_{\mathcal{T}_2})$ is a C -map.

Proof. Let f be a smooth continuous map. For all $\lambda \in I^X$, $r \in I_0$, from Lemma 1.1 we have the following:

$$C_{\mathcal{T}_2}(f(\lambda), r) = \bigwedge \{ \mu \mid \mu \geq f(\lambda), \mathcal{F}_2(\mu) \geq r \}$$

$$(\text{Since } f^{-1}(\mu) \geq f^{-1}(f(\lambda)) \geq \lambda, \mathcal{F}_1(f^{-1}(\mu)) \geq \mathcal{F}_2(\mu) \geq r,)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \bigwedge \{ f(f^{-1}(\mu)) \mid f^{-1}(\mu) \geq \lambda, \mathcal{F}_2(f^{-1}(\mu)) \geq r \} \\ &\geq f(\bigwedge \{ f^{-1}(\mu) \mid f^{-1}(\mu) \geq \lambda, \mathcal{F}_2(f^{-1}(\mu)) \geq r \}) \\ &\geq f(C_{\mathcal{T}_1}(\lambda, r)). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, we will show that $\mathcal{F}_2(\nu) \leq \mathcal{F}_1(f^{-1}(\nu))$, for all $\nu \in I^Y$.

Suppose that there exists $\nu \in I^Y$ such that

$$\mathcal{F}_2(\nu) > \mathcal{F}_1(f^{-1}(\nu)).$$

Since $\mathcal{F}_{C_{\mathcal{T}_2}} = \mathcal{F}_2$ from Theorem 2.6, by the definition of $\mathcal{F}_{C_{\mathcal{T}_2}}$, there exists $r \in I_0$ with $C_{\mathcal{T}_2}(\nu, r) = \nu$ such that

$$\mathcal{F}_2(\nu) \geq r > \mathcal{F}_1(f^{-1}(\nu)).$$

On the other hand, since f is a C-map, we have

$$f(C_{\mathcal{T}_1}(f^{-1}(\nu), r)) \leq C_{\mathcal{T}_2}(f(f^{-1}(\nu)), r) \leq C_{\mathcal{T}_2}(\nu, r) = \nu.$$

Using Lemma 1.1(2), we have

$$C_{\mathcal{T}_1}(f^{-1}(\nu), r) \leq f^{-1}(f(C_{\mathcal{T}_1}(f^{-1}(\nu), r))) \leq f^{-1}(\nu).$$

Hence $C_{\mathcal{T}_1}(f^{-1}(\nu), r) = f^{-1}(\nu)$ from Definition 2.3(C2). Since $\mathcal{F}_{C_{\mathcal{T}_1}} = \mathcal{F}_1$ from Theorem 2.6, by the definition of $\mathcal{F}_{C_{\mathcal{T}_1}}$, we have $\mathcal{F}_1(f^{-1}(\nu)) \geq r$. It is a contradiction. \square

Using Theorem 2.9, we can easily prove the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.10. *Let (X, C_1) , (Y, C_2) be smooth fuzzy closure spaces. If $f : (X, C_1) \rightarrow (Y, C_2)$ is a C-map, then $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_{C_1}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{T}_{C_2})$ is a smooth continuous map.*

The following example shows that $f : (X, C_1) \rightarrow (Y, C_2)$ is not a C-map but $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_{C_1}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{T}_{C_2})$ is a smooth continuous map.

EXAMPLE 5. Let $X = \{x, y, z\}$ be a set. Define $C_1, C_2 : I^X \times I_0 \rightarrow I^X$ as follows:

$$C_1(\lambda, r) = \begin{cases} \tilde{0}, & \text{if } \lambda = \tilde{0}, r \in I_0, \\ \chi_{\{z\}}, & \text{if } \lambda = z_s, r \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \tilde{1}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$C_2(\lambda, r) = \begin{cases} \tilde{0}, & \text{if } \lambda = \tilde{0}, r \in I_0, \\ \chi_{\{x, y\}}, & \text{if } \lambda = x_t, r \leq \frac{1}{3}, \\ \chi_{\{z\}}, & \text{if } \lambda = z_s, r \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \tilde{1}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the identity function $1 : (X, C_1) \rightarrow (Y, C_2)$ is not a C-map because $\tilde{1} = C_1(x_t, \frac{1}{4}) \not\leq C_2(x_t, \frac{1}{4}) = \chi_{\{x, y\}}$.

On the other hand, from Theorem 2.5, we can obtain the smooth fuzzy topology $\mathcal{T}_{C_1} : I^X \rightarrow I$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{T}_{C_1}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \lambda = \tilde{0} \text{ or } \tilde{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \lambda = \chi_{\{x, y\}}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Also, we have $\mathcal{T}_{C_2} = \mathcal{T}_{C_1}$. Therefore $1 : (X, \mathcal{T}_{C_1}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{T}_{C_2})$ is a smooth continuous map.

THEOREM 2.11. *Let X be a set and (Y, C_1) be a smooth fuzzy closure space. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. For all $\lambda \in I^X$, $r \in I_0$, we define the function $C^f : I^X \times I_0 \rightarrow I^X$ by*

$$C^f(\lambda, r) = f^{-1}(C_1(f(\lambda), r)).$$

Then C^f is the coarsest smooth fuzzy closure operator on X which f is a C-map.

Proof. First, we will show that C^f is the smooth fuzzy closure operator on X

(C1) From the definition of C^f , we easily prove $C^f(\tilde{0}) = \tilde{0}$ and $C^f(\tilde{1}) = \tilde{1}$.

(C2) Since $C^f(\lambda, r) = f^{-1}(C_1(f(\lambda), r)) \geq f^{-1}(f(\lambda)) \geq \lambda$ from Lemma 1.1 (2) and Definition 2.3 (C2), we have $\lambda \leq C^f(\lambda, r)$.

(C3) and (C5) are trivial.

(C4) It is proved from the following:

$$\begin{aligned} C^f(\lambda \vee \mu, r) &= f^{-1}(C_1(f(\lambda \vee \mu), r)) \\ &= f^{-1}(C_1(f(\lambda) \vee f(\mu), r)) && \text{(Lemma 1.1 (7))} \\ &= f^{-1}(C_1(f(\lambda), r) \vee C_1(f(\mu), r)) && \text{((C4))} \\ &= f^{-1}(C_1(f(\lambda), r)) \vee f^{-1}(C_1(f(\mu), r)) && \text{(Lemma 1.1 (5))} \\ &= C^f(\lambda, r) \vee C^f(\mu, r). \end{aligned}$$

Second, from the definition of C^f , since $C^f(\lambda, r) = f^{-1}(C_1(f(\lambda), r))$, we have

$$f(C^f(\lambda, r)) = f(f^{-1}(C_1(f(\lambda), r))) \leq C_1(f(\lambda), r).$$

Hence $f : (X, C^f) \rightarrow (Y, C_1)$ is a C-map.

Finally, if $f : (X, C^*) \rightarrow (Y, C_1)$ is a C-map, then we have

$$f(C^*(\lambda, r)) \leq C_1(f(\lambda), r).$$

It follows that $f^{-1}(f(C^*(\lambda, r))) \leq f^{-1}(C_1(f(\lambda), r))$. Hence

$$C^*(\lambda, r) \leq C^f(\lambda, r). \quad \square$$

From Theorem 2.11, we can define a subspace of a smooth fuzzy closure space.

DEFINITION 2.12. Let (X, C) be a smooth fuzzy closure space and A a subset of X . The pair (A, C^i) is said to be a subspace of (X, C) if C^i is the coarsest smooth fuzzy closure operator on X for which the inclusion map $i : A \rightarrow X$ is a C-map.

THEOREM 2.13. [7] Let X be a set and (Y, \mathcal{T}) be a smooth fuzzy topological space. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function and $\Gamma_\mu = \{\nu \in I^Y \mid f^{-1}(\nu) = \mu\}$. Define by $\mathcal{T}^f : I^X \rightarrow I$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{T}^f(\mu) = \begin{cases} \sup\{\mathcal{T}(\nu) \mid \nu \in \Gamma_\mu\}, & \text{if } \Gamma_\mu \neq \emptyset, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then \mathcal{T}^f is the coarsest smooth fuzzy topology on X for which f is a smooth continuous map.

DEFINITION 2.14. [7] Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a smooth fuzzy topological space and A a subset of X . The pair (A, \mathcal{T}^i) is said to be a subspace of (X, \mathcal{T}) if \mathcal{T}^i is the coarsest smooth fuzzy topology on X for which the inclusion map $i : A \rightarrow X$ is a smooth continuous map.

LEMMA 2.15. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a smooth fuzzy topological space. For each $\lambda \in I^X$, $r, s \in I_0$, we have

$$\bigvee_{s < r} C_{\mathcal{T}}(\lambda, s) = C_{\mathcal{T}}(\lambda, r).$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}_r = \{\mu \in I^X \mid \mathcal{F}(\mu) \geq r\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{r-} = \bigcap_{s < r} \{\mu \in I^X \mid \mathcal{F}(\mu) \geq s\}$. Since $\bigvee_{s < r} C_{\mathcal{T}}(\lambda, s) = \bigwedge \{\mu \in I^X \mid \mu \geq \lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{F}_{r-}\}$ from the definition of $C_{\mathcal{T}}$, we only show that

$$\mathcal{F}_r = \mathcal{F}_{r-}.$$

Since $\mathcal{F}_s \supseteq \mathcal{F}_r$ for $s < r$,

$$\bigcap_{s < r} \{\mu \in I^X \mid \mathcal{F}(\mu) \geq s\} \supseteq \{\mu \in I^X \mid \mathcal{F}(\mu) \geq r\}.$$

Conversely, if $\rho \notin \{\mu \in I^X \mid \mathcal{F}(\mu) \geq r\}$, that is, $\mathcal{F}(\rho) < r$, then there exists $s_0 \in I_0$ such that

$$\mathcal{F}(\rho) < s_0 < r.$$

Hence $\rho \notin \bigcap_{s_0 < r} \{\mu \in I^X \mid \mathcal{F}(\mu) \geq s_0\}$. It follows that

$$\bigcap_{s < r} \{\mu \in I^X \mid \mathcal{F}(\mu) \geq s\} \subseteq \{\mu \in I^X \mid \mathcal{F}(\mu) \geq r\}. \quad \square$$

THEOREM 2.16. Let X be a set and (Y, \mathcal{T}) be a smooth fuzzy topological space. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. For each $\lambda \in I^X$, $r \in I_0$, we define the function $C^f : I^X \times I_0 \rightarrow I^X$ by

$$C^f(\lambda, r) = f^{-1}(C_{\mathcal{T}}(f(\lambda), r)).$$

Then we have the following statements:

- (1) $\mathcal{T}_{C^f} = \mathcal{T}^f$.
- (2) For each $\lambda \in I^X$, $r \in I_0$, $C_{\mathcal{T}^f}(\lambda, r) = f^{-1}(C_{\mathcal{T}}(f(\lambda), r))$.
- (3) (a) (X, C^f) is a topological smooth fuzzy closure space.
 (b) If $r = \bigvee \{s \in I_0 \mid C^f(\lambda, s) = \lambda\}$, then $C^f(\lambda, r) = \lambda$.

Proof. (1) Suppose there exists $\lambda \in I^X$ such that

$$\mathcal{T}_{C^f}(\lambda) > \mathcal{T}^f(\lambda).$$

By the definition of \mathcal{T}_{C^f} , there exists $r_0 \in I_0$ such that $C^f(\bar{1} - \lambda, r_0) = \bar{1} - \lambda$ and

$$\mathcal{T}_{C^f}(\lambda) \geq r_0 > \mathcal{T}^f(\lambda).$$

From the definition of C^f , it implies $f^{-1}(C_{\mathcal{T}}(f(\bar{1} - \lambda), r_0)) = \bar{1} - \lambda$. Hence

$$\lambda = \bar{1} - f^{-1}(C_{\mathcal{T}}(f(\bar{1} - \lambda), r_0)) = f^{-1}(\bar{1} - C_{\mathcal{T}}(f(\bar{1} - \lambda), r_0)).$$

From the definition of \mathcal{T}^f , we have

$$\mathcal{T}^f(\lambda) \geq \mathcal{T}(\bar{1} - C_{\mathcal{T}}(f(\bar{1} - \lambda), r_0)) \geq r_0,$$

because $C_{\mathcal{T}}$ is a topological smooth fuzzy closure space from Theorem 2.4(6) and $\mathcal{T}_{C_{\mathcal{T}}} = \mathcal{T}$ from Theorem 2.6. It is a contradiction. Therefore we have

$$\mathcal{T}_{C^f} \leq \mathcal{T}^f.$$

Suppose there exists $\mu \in I^X$ such that

$$\mathcal{T}_{C^f}(\mu) < \mathcal{T}^f(\mu).$$

From the definition of \mathcal{T}^f , there exists $\nu \in I^Y$ such that $f^{-1}(\nu) = \mu$ and

$$\mathcal{T}_{C^f}(\mu) < \mathcal{T}(\nu) \leq \mathcal{T}^f(\mu).$$

□

From the definition of \mathcal{T} , there exists $r_1 \in I_0$ such that $C_{\mathcal{T}}(\tilde{1} - \nu, r_1) = \tilde{1} - \nu$ and

$$\mathcal{T}_{C^f}(\mu) < r_1 \leq \mathcal{T}(\nu).$$

Hence we have the followings:

$$\begin{aligned} C^f(\tilde{1} - \mu, r_1) &= f^{-1}(C_{\mathcal{T}}(f(\tilde{1} - \mu), r_1)) \\ &= f^{-1}(C_{\mathcal{T}}(f(\tilde{1} - f^{-1}(\nu)), r_1)) \quad (\text{since } f^{-1}(\nu) = \mu) \\ &= f^{-1}(C_{\mathcal{T}}(f(f^{-1}(\tilde{1} - \nu)), r_1)) \quad (\text{by Lemma 1.1}) \\ &\leq f^{-1}(C_{\mathcal{T}}(\tilde{1} - \nu, r_1)) \quad (\text{by Lemma 1.1}) \\ &= f^{-1}(\tilde{1} - \nu) \\ &= \tilde{1} - f^{-1}(\nu) = \tilde{1} - \mu. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $C^f(\tilde{1} - \mu, r_1) = \tilde{1} - \mu$ from Definition 2.2 (C2). Therefore $\mathcal{T}_{C^f}(\mu) \geq r_1$. It is a contradiction.

(2) From Theorem 3.4, we have

$$C_{\mathcal{T}^f}(\lambda, r) = \bigwedge \{ \mu \mid \mu \geq \lambda, \mathcal{T}^f(\mu) \geq r \}$$

and using Lemma 1.1(6),

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(C_{\mathcal{T}}(f(\lambda), r)) &= f^{-1}(\bigwedge \{ \rho \mid \rho \geq f(\lambda), \mathcal{T}(\rho) \geq r \}) \\ &= \bigwedge \{ f^{-1}(\rho) \mid \rho \geq f(\lambda), \mathcal{T}(\rho) \geq r \}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f^{-1}(\rho) \geq f^{-1}(f(\lambda)) \geq \lambda$ and $\mathcal{T}^f(f^{-1}(\rho)) \geq \mathcal{T}(\rho) \geq r$ from definition of \mathcal{T}^f , we have

$$C_{\mathcal{T}^f}(\lambda, r) \leq f^{-1}(C_{\mathcal{T}}(f(\lambda), r)).$$

Suppose there exist $\lambda \in I^X$, $r \in I_0$ such that

$$C_{\mathcal{T}^f}(\lambda, r) \not\leq f^{-1}(C_{\mathcal{T}}(f(\lambda), r)).$$

Then there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that

$$C_{\mathcal{T}^f}(\lambda, r)(x_0) < f^{-1}(C_{\mathcal{T}}(f(\lambda), r))(x_0).$$

From the definition of $C_{\mathcal{T}f}(\lambda, r)$, there exists $\mu \in I^X$ with $\mu \geq \lambda$ and $\mathcal{T}^f(\tilde{1} - \mu) \geq r$ such that

$$C_{\mathcal{T}f}(\lambda, r)(x_0) \leq \mu(x_0) < f^{-1}(C_{\mathcal{T}}(f(\lambda), r)(x_0)).$$

On the other hand, since $\mathcal{T}^f(\tilde{1} - \mu) \geq r$, for every $s < r$, there exists $\nu_s \in I^Y$ such that

$$\mathcal{T}(\nu_s) \geq s \text{ and } f^{-1}(\nu_s) = \tilde{1} - \mu.$$

Since $f^{-1}(\nu_s) = \tilde{1} - \mu \leq \tilde{1} - \lambda$, we have

$$\lambda \leq \tilde{1} - f^{-1}(\nu_s).$$

It implies

$$f(\lambda) \leq f(\tilde{1} - f^{-1}(\nu_s)) = f(f^{-1}(\tilde{1} - \nu_s)) \leq \tilde{1} - \nu_s.$$

Since $\mathcal{T}(\nu_s) \geq s$, we have $C_{\mathcal{T}}(f(\lambda), s) \leq \tilde{1} - \nu_s$. Hence we obtain

$$f^{-1}(C_{\mathcal{T}}(f(\lambda), s)) \leq f^{-1}(\tilde{1} - \nu_s) = \mu.$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(C_{\mathcal{T}}(f(\lambda), r)) &= f^{-1}\left(\bigvee_{s < r} C_{\mathcal{T}}(f(\lambda), s)\right) \text{ (by Lemma 2.15)} \\ &= \bigvee_{s < r} f^{-1}(C_{\mathcal{T}}(f(\lambda), s)) \text{ (by Lemma 1.1 (5))} \\ &\leq \mu. \end{aligned}$$

It is a contradiction.

(3) Since $C_{\mathcal{T}_{C^f}} = C_{\mathcal{T}f} = C^f$ from (1) and (2), it is easily proved from Theorem 2.5. \square

From Theorem 2.16, we can easily obtain the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.17. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a smooth fuzzy topological space and A a subset of X . Let $i : A \rightarrow X$ be a inclusion map. For all $\lambda, r \in I_0$, define the function $C^i : I^A \times I_0 \rightarrow I^A$ by

$$C^i(\lambda, r) = i^{-1}(C_{\mathcal{T}}(i(\lambda), r)).$$

Then:

(1) The space (A, C^i) is a subspace of a smooth fuzzy closure space $(X, C_{\mathcal{T}})$.

(2) The space (A, \mathcal{T}_{C^i}) is a subspace of a smooth fuzzy topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) where the smooth fuzzy topology \mathcal{T}_{C^i} induced by C^i .

EXAMPLE 6. Let $A = \{a, b\}$, $X = \{a, b, c\}$ be sets.

$$\mu(a) = 0.2, \mu(b) = 0.3, \mu(c) = 0.5$$

$$\text{and } \rho(a) = 0.2, \rho(b) = 0.3, \rho(c) = 0.4.$$

Define $\mathcal{T} : I^X \rightarrow I$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{T}(\nu) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \nu = \bar{0} \text{ or } \bar{1}, \\ \frac{2}{3}, & \text{if } \nu = \mu, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \nu = \rho, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Using Theorem 2.4, we can obtain

$$C_{\mathcal{T}}(\nu, r) = \begin{cases} \bar{0}, & \text{if } \nu = \bar{0} \text{ or } \bar{1}, r \in I_0 \\ \bar{1} - \mu, & \text{if } \nu \leq \bar{1} - \mu, 0 < r \leq \frac{2}{3} \\ \bar{1} - \rho, & \text{if } \bar{1} - \mu < \nu \leq \bar{1} - \rho, 0 < r \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \bar{1}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $C^i(\lambda, r) = i^{-1}(C_{\mathcal{T}}(i(\lambda), r))$ for $\lambda \in I^A$, $r \in I_0$ from Theorem 2.15, we have

$$C^i(\lambda, r) = \begin{cases} \bar{0}, & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0} \text{ or } \bar{1}, r \in I_0 \\ \bar{1} - i^{-1}(\mu), & \text{if } \lambda \leq \bar{1} - i^{-1}(\mu), 0 < r \leq \frac{2}{3} \\ \bar{1}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From Theorem 2.5, we can obtain the function $\mathcal{T}_{C^i} : I^A \rightarrow I$ on A by

$$\mathcal{T}_{C^i}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \lambda = \tilde{0} \text{ or } \tilde{1}, \\ \frac{2}{3}, & \text{if } \lambda = i^{-1}(\mu), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\lambda_1 = i^{-1}(\mu) = i^{-1}(\rho)$ be given. By the definition of \mathcal{T}^i from Theorem 2.13, we have

$$\mathcal{T}^i(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \lambda = \tilde{0} \text{ or } \tilde{1}, \\ \frac{2}{3}, & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence $\mathcal{T}_{C^i}(\lambda) = \mathcal{T}^i(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in I^A$.

References

1. C.L. Chang, *Fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **24** (1968), 182-190.
2. K.C. Chattopadhyay, R.N. Hazra and S.K. Samanta, *Gradation of openness: Fuzzy topology*, Fuzzy sets and Systems **49(2)** (1992), 237-242.
3. K.C. Chattopadhyay and S.K. Samanta, *Fuzzy topology*, Fuzzy sets and Systems **54** (1993), 207-212.
4. M. Demirci, *On several types of compactness in smooth topological spaces*, Fuzzy sets and Systems **90** (1997), 83-88.
5. M.K. El Gayyar, E.E. Kerre and A.A. Ramadan, *Almost compactness and near compactness in smooth fuzzy topological spaces*, Fuzzy sets and Systems **62** (1994), 193-202.
6. R.N. Hazra, S.K. Samanta and K.C. Chattopadhyay, *Fuzzy topology redefined*, Fuzzy sets and Systems **45** (1992), 79-82.
7. Y.C. Kim, *Initial smooth fuzzy topological spaces*, J. of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems. to appear.
8. A.S. Masshour and M.H. Ghanim, *Fuzzy closure spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **106** (1985), 154-170.
9. A.A. Ramadan, *Smooth topological spaces*, Fuzzy sets and Systems **48** (1992), 371-375.

Department of Mathematics
Kangnung National University
Kangnung, Kangwondo 210-702, Korea