

## INVARIANTS WITH RESPECT TO ALL ADMISSIBLE POLAR TOPOLOGIES

MIN-HYUNG CHO AND HONG TAEK HWANG

ABSTRACT. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological vector spaces. For a sequence  $\{T_j\}$  of bounded operators from  $X$  into  $Y$  the  $c_0$ -multiplier convergence of  $\sum T_j$  is an invariant on topologies which are stronger (need not strictly) than the topology of pointwise convergence on  $X$  but are weaker (need not strictly) than the topology of uniform convergence on bounded subsets of  $X$ .

Let  $X$  be a topological vector space and  $\lambda$  a family of scalar sequences. A series  $\sum x_j$  on  $X$  is said to be  $\lambda$ -multiplier convergent or, simply,  $\lambda$ - $mc$  if  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_j x_j$  converges for each  $\{t_j\} \in \lambda$ .  $c_0$ - $mc$ ,  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ - $mc$ ,  $l^p$ - $mc$  ( $p > 0$ ) and  $l^{\infty}$ - $mc$  are important for functional analysis and vector measure theory, e.g., a sequentially complete locally convex space  $X$  contains no copy of  $(c_0, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$  if and only if for series on  $X$  the  $c_0$ - $mc$ ,  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ - $mc$  and  $l^{\infty}$ - $mc$  are equivalent ([1], Th. 4). Note that  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ - $mc$  is just the subseries convergence.

Recently, Li Ronglu, Cui Chengri and Min-Hyung Cho [2] gave a nice result as follows.

THEOREM. ([2], Theorem 3.1) *Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff locally convex space with the dual  $X'$ . For a series  $\sum x_j$  on  $X$ , the  $c_0$ - $mc$  and the  $l^p$ - $mc$  ( $p \geq 1$ ) are invariants on all  $(X, X')$ -admissible topologies, i.e., letting  $\lambda = c_0$  or  $l^p$  ( $p \geq 1$ ), if for every  $\{t_j\} \in \lambda$  the series  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_j x_j$  converges weakly, then for every  $\{t_j\} \in \lambda$  the series  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_j x_j$  converges in the strongest  $(X, X')$ -admissible topology  $\beta(X, X')$ .*

---

Received September 19, 1998.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46A45.

Key words and phrases:  $c_0$ -multiplier convergence, barrelled space, bounded operator.

The authors wish to acknowledge the financial support of the Korea Research Foundation made in the program year of 1997.

In this note we would like to establish a similar result for a duality pair consisting of a barrelled space  $X$  and the operator space  $L(X, Y)$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $X$  be a barrelled space and  $L(X, Y)$  the space of continuous linear operators from  $X$  into a locally convex space  $Y$ . For a sequence  $\{T_j\} \subseteq L(X, Y)$ , the following (1) and (2) are equivalent.*

- (1) *For every  $\{t_j\} \in c_0$  the series  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_j T_j$  converges in  $L(X, Y)$  with the topology of pointwise convergence on  $X$ , i.e., for every  $\{t_j\} \in c_0$  and  $x \in X$  the series  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_j T_j(x)$  converges.*
- (2) *For every  $\{t_j\} \in c_0$  the series  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_j T_j(x)$  converges in  $L(X, Y)$  with the topology of uniform convergence on bounded subsets of  $X$ , i.e., for every  $\{t_j\} \in c_0$  and bounded  $B \subseteq X$  the series  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_j T_j(x)$  converges uniformly for  $x \in B$ .*

*Proof.* (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) is trivial.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Suppose that  $\{t_j\} \in c_0$  and  $B$  is a bounded subset of  $X$  such that the convergence of  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_j T_j(x)$  is not uniform with respect to  $x \in B$ , i.e., there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of  $0 \in Y$  for which the following holds :

$\forall n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \exists n > n_0$  and  $x \in B$  such that  $\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} t_j T_j(x) \notin U$ . Pick a neighborhood  $V$  of  $0 \in Y$  with  $V + V \subseteq U$ . There is an  $n_1 > 1$  and  $x_1 \in B$  such that  $\sum_{j=n_1}^{\infty} t_j T_j(x_1) \notin U$  and, hence,  $\sum_{j=n_1}^{m_1} t_j T_j(x_1) \notin V$  for some  $m_1 > n_1$ . Similarly, there is an  $n_2 > m_1$  and  $x_2 \in B$  such that  $\sum_{j=n_2}^{\infty} t_j T_j(x_2) \notin U$  and, hence,  $\sum_{j=n_2}^{m_2} t_j T_j(x_2) \notin V$  for some  $m_2 > n_2$ . In this way, we have an integer sequence  $n_1 < m_1 < n_2 < m_2 < n_3 < m_3 < \dots$  and a sequence  $\{x_i\} \subseteq B$  such that

$$(*) \quad \sum_{j=n_i}^{m_i} t_j T_j(x_i) \notin V, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Since  $t_j \neq 0$  for infinitely many  $j$ , letting  $\alpha_k = \sup_{j \geq k} \sqrt{|t_j|}$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\alpha_k \neq 0$  ( $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and  $\alpha_k \rightarrow 0$ . Now consider the matrix

$$[\alpha_{n_i} \sum_{j=n_k}^{m_k} (\frac{t_j}{\alpha_{n_k}}) T_j(x_i)]_{i,k}.$$

Observing  $T_j(B)$  is bounded for each  $j$  and  $\alpha_{n_i} \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\lim_i \alpha_{n_i} \sum_{j=n_k}^{m_k} \left(\frac{t_j}{\alpha_{n_k}}\right) T_j(x_i) = \sum_{j=n_k}^{m_k} \left(\frac{t_j}{\alpha_{n_k}}\right) \lim_i \alpha_{n_i} T_j(x_i) = 0$$

for each  $k$ . Let  $\{k_p\}_{p=1}^\infty$  be a strictly increasing sequence in  $\mathbb{N}$ . For each  $j$ , let

$$\gamma_j = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } j < n_{k_1} \text{ or } m_{k_p} < j < n_{k_{p+1}} \text{ for some } p \in \mathbb{N}; \\ \frac{t_j}{\alpha_{n_{k_p}}}, & \text{if } n_{k_p} \leq j \leq m_{k_p} \text{ for some } p \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Then  $|\gamma_j| = 0$  or  $|\gamma_j| = \frac{|t_j|}{\alpha_{n_{k_p}}} = \frac{\sqrt{|t_j|} \sqrt{|t_j|}}{\sup_{i \geq n_{k_p}} \sqrt{|t_i|}} \leq \sqrt{|t_j|}$  whenever  $n_{k_p} \leq j \leq m_{k_p}$  and, hence,  $\gamma_j \rightarrow 0$ . By the hypothesis, for each  $i$  the series

$$\sum_{p=1}^\infty \left[ \alpha_{n_i} \sum_{j=n_{k_p}}^{m_{k_p}} \left(\frac{t_j}{\alpha_{n_{k_p}}}\right) T_j(x_i) \right] = \alpha_{n_i} \sum_{j=1}^\infty \gamma_j T_j(x_i)$$

converges and, by the Banach-Steinhaus theorem ([3], p.137),

$$\lim_n \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j T_j(x) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \gamma_j T_j(x) \quad (\forall x \in X)$$

shows that  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \gamma_j T_j(\cdot) : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous and hence,

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^\infty \gamma_j T_j(x) : x \in B \right\}$$

is bounded. Therefore,

$$\lim_i \sum_{p=1}^\infty \left[ \alpha_{n_i} \sum_{j=n_{k_p}}^{m_{k_p}} \left(\frac{t_j}{\alpha_{n_{k_p}}}\right) T_j(x_i) \right] = \lim_i \alpha_{n_i} \sum_{j=1}^\infty \gamma_j T_j(x) = 0$$

because  $\{x_i\} \subseteq B$  and  $\alpha_{n_i} \rightarrow 0$ . Thus, by the Antosik-Mikusinski matrix theorem ([4],[5]),

$$\lim_i \sum_{j=n_i}^{m_i} t_j T_j(x_i) = \lim_i \alpha_{n_i} \sum_{j=n_i}^{m_i} \left(\frac{t_j}{\alpha_{n_i}}\right) T_j(x_i) = 0$$

and hence,  $\sum_{j=n_i}^{m_i} t_j T_j(x_i) \in V$  eventually. This contradicts (\*).  $\square$

COROLLARY 2. Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $Y$  a normed space. If  $\{T_j\} \subseteq L(X, Y)$  and for every  $\{t_j\} \in c_0$  the series  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_j T_j(x)$  converges at each  $x \in X$ , then for every  $\{t_j\} \in c_0$  the series  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_j T_j$  converges in the operator norm, i.e.,  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_j T_j(\cdot) \in L(X, Y)$  and

$$\lim_n \left\| \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} t_j T_j(\cdot) \right\| = \lim_n \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} t_j T_j(x) \right\| = 0.$$

In fact,  $B = \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq 1\}$  is bounded and, by Theorem 1, for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is an  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $n > n_0$ , then

$$\left\| \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} t_j T_j(x) \right\| < \epsilon, \quad \forall x \in B,$$

i.e.,

$$\sup_{x \in B} \left\| \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} t_j T_j(x) \right\| \leq \epsilon.$$

It is easy to see that the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1 yields a generalization of Theorem 1 as follows.

THEOREM 3. Let  $X, Y$  be topological vector spaces. If  $\{T_j\}$  is a sequence of bounded operators from  $X$  into  $Y$  (i.e., each  $T_j$  sends bounded sets to bounded sets) such that for every  $\{t_j\} \in c_0$  and  $x \in X$  the series  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_j T_j(x)$  converges and  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_j T_j(\cdot)$  is bounded, then for every  $\{t_j\} \in c_0$  and bounded  $B \subseteq X$ , the series  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_j T_j(x)$  converges uniformly for  $x \in B$ .

A topological vector space  $X$  is said to be a  $\kappa$ -space if  $x_j \rightarrow 0$  in  $X$ , then there is an increasing  $\{j_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_{j_k}$  converges in  $X$ .  $\kappa$ -spaces make a large family containing complete metric linear spaces, some non-complete metric linear spaces and some locally convex spaces. Especially,  $\kappa$ -spaces have been shown to enjoy many nice properties ([4],[5],[6],[7]). Letting

$$X^b = \{f \in \mathbb{C}^X : f \text{ is linear and } f(B) \text{ is bounded}$$

$$\text{for every bounded } B \subseteq X\},$$

if  $X$  is a locally convex  $\kappa$ -space, then  $(X, X^b)$  is a Banach-Mackey pair ([8], Theorem 2). Using this result, we have the following

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $X$  be a locally convex  $\kappa$ -space and  $Y$  an arbitrary locally convex space. If  $\{T_j\}$  is a sequence of bounded linear operators from  $X$  into  $Y$  such that  $\lim_j T_j(x) = T(x)$  exists at each  $x \in X$ , then the limit operator  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is also bounded.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2 of [8],  $(X, X^b)$  is a Banach-Mackey pair, i.e.,  $(X, \sigma(X, X^b))$  is a Banach-Mackey space. Thus, by Theorem 8 of [9],  $(X^b, \sigma(X^b, X))$  is sequentially complete.

Now let  $B$  be a bounded subset of  $X$ . For every continuous linear functional  $y'$  on  $Y$ ,  $y' \circ T_j \in X^b$  for each  $j$  and

$$\lim_j (y' \circ T_j)(x) = \lim_j y'(T_j x) = y'(Tx) = (y' \circ T)(x)$$

at each  $x \in X$ ,  $y' \circ T \in X^b$  because  $(X^b, \sigma(X^b, X))$  is sequentially complete. Therefore,  $(y' \circ T)(B) = \{y'(Tx) : x \in B\}$  is bounded and, by the Mackey theorem,  $T(B) = \{Tx : x \in B\}$  is bounded, i.e.,  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is a bounded linear operator.  $\square$

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3 and 4, we have the following

**COROLLARY 5.** *Let  $X$  be a locally convex  $\kappa$ -space and  $Y$  an arbitrary locally convex space. Then for a sequence  $\{T_j\}$  of bounded linear operators from  $X$  into  $Y$ , the following conditions (a) and (b) are equivalent.*

- (a) *For every  $\{t_j\} \in c_0$  and  $x \in X$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_j T_j(x)$  converges.*
- (b) *For every  $\{t_j\} \in c_0$  and bounded  $B \subseteq X$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_j T_j(x)$  converges uniformly with respect to  $x \in B$ .*

A topological vector space  $X$  is said to be an  $\mathcal{A}$ -space if for every bounded  $\{x_j\} \subseteq X$  and  $t_j \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  there exists an increasing  $\{j_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_{j_k} x_{j_k}$  converges.  $\kappa$ -spaces are  $\mathcal{A}$ -spaces but the converse is not true, e.g.,  $(l^p, \text{weak})$  for  $1 < p < +\infty$  and  $(l^1, \sigma(l^1, c_0))$  are  $\mathcal{A}$ -spaces but are not  $\kappa$ -spaces. Sequentially complete locally convex spaces are  $\mathcal{A}$ -spaces.  $\mathcal{A}$ -spaces have an important property : If  $X$  is an  $\mathcal{A}$ -space and  $Y$  is an arbitrary topological vector space and  $\{T_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  is a family of sequentially continuous linear operators from  $X$  into  $Y$  such that  $\{T_\alpha x : \alpha \in I\}$  is bounded at each  $x \in X$ , then  $\{T_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  is

uniformly bounded on each bounded  $B \subseteq X$ , i.e.,  $\{T_\alpha x : \alpha \in I, x \in B\}$  is bounded ([5], Corollary 4).

This result and Theorem 3 imply the following

**COROLLARY 6.** *Let  $X$  be an  $\mathcal{A}$ -space and  $Y$  an arbitrary topological vector space. Then for a sequence  $\{T_j\}$  of sequentially continuous linear operators from  $X$  into  $Y$ , the conditions (a) and (b) are equivalent.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{t_j\} \in c_0$ . If (a) holds, then  $\{\sum_{j=1}^n t_j T_j : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is pointwise bounded on  $X$  and, hence, for every bounded  $B \subseteq X$ ,  $\{\sum_{j=1}^n t_j T_j x : n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in B\}$  is bounded because  $X$  is an  $\mathcal{A}$ -space. Therefore, for every bounded  $B \subseteq X$ , the condition (a) shows that  $\{\sum_{j=1}^\infty t_j T_j x : x \in B\}$  is bounded because the closure

$$\overline{\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n t_j T_j x : n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in B \right\}}$$

is bounded, i.e.,  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty t_j T_j(\cdot)$  is a bounded operator. Thus, (b) follows from Theorem 3.  $\square$

## References

1. Li Ronglu and Bu Qingying, *Locally convex spaces containing no copy of  $c_0$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl., **172**(1) (1993), 205-211.
2. Li Ronglu, Cui Chengri and Min-Hyung Cho, *Invariants on all admissible polar topologies*, Chinese Annals of Math., **19 A**(3) (1998), 1-6.
3. A. Wilansky, *Modern Methods in Topological Vector Spaces*, McGraw-Hill, New York (1978).
4. P. Antosik and C. Swartz, *Matrix Methods in Analysis*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1113, Springer-Verlag (1985).
5. Li Ronglu and C. Swartz, *Spaces for which the uniform boundedness principle holds*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. **27** (1992), 379-384.
6. Li Ronglu, C. Swartz and Min-Hyung Cho, *Basic Properties of  $\kappa$ -spaces*, System Sci. and Math. Sci., **5** (1992), 233-238.
7. C. Swartz, *Infinite Matrices and the Gliding Hump*, World Scientific, Singapore -New Jersey-London-Hong Kong (1996).
8. Min-Hyung Cho, *A Strong Uniform Boundedness Result on  $\kappa$ -spaces*, Kangweon -Kyungki Math. Jour., **4** (1996), 1-5.

9. Li Ronglu and C. Swartz, *Characterizations of Banach-Mackey Spaces*, Chinese J. of Math. **24**(3) (1996), 199-210.

Department of Applied Mathematics  
Kum-Oh National University of Technology  
Kumi 730-701, Korea

*E-mail:* mignon@knut.kumoh.ac.kr  
hthwang@knut.kumoh.ac.kr

