

WEAK COMPACTNESS AND EXTREMAL STRUCTURE IN $L^p(\mu, X)$

CHUN-KEE PARK

ABSTRACT. We characterize the compactness, weak precompactness and weak compactness in $L^p(\mu, X)$ and in more general space $P_c(\mu, X)$. Moreover, we present this characterization in terms of extremal structure in X .

1. Introduction

Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a finite measure space, X a real Banach space, X^* the dual space of X and B_X the unit ball of X .

Denote by $L^p(\mu, X)$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$) the Banach space of all equivalence classes of X -valued Bochner integrable functions f defined on Ω with $\int_{\Omega} \|f\|^p d\mu < \infty$. The norm $\|\cdot\|_p$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_{\Omega} \|f\|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, f \in L^p(\mu, X)$$

Denote by $\mathcal{L}^1(\mu, X)$ (resp. $P_c(\mu, X)$) the space of all strongly measurable Pettis integrable (resp. Pettis integrable) functions $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ (resp. having an indefinite integral with relatively compact range) with the Pettis norm $\|f\|_{p_1} = \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \int_{\Omega} |x^* f| d\mu$.

Denote by $K(\mu, X)$ the space of all μ -continuous vector measures $G : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ whose range is relatively compact with the semivariation norm. Notice that $L_1(\mu, X) \subseteq \mathcal{L}^1(\mu, X) \subseteq P_c(\mu, X) \subseteq K(\mu, X)$. Diestel, Ruess and Schachermayer [5] and Diaz [2] presented characterizations of weakly compact subsets of $L^1(\mu, X)$. Brooks and Dinculeanu

Received January 14, 1999.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46B25, 46E40, 28A20.

Key words and phrases: weak compactness, Bochner integrable functions, Pettis integrable functions, extremal structure.

This paper was supported by the Research Foundation of Kangwon National University 1997.

[1] and Emmanuele [6] characterized weak compactness (resp. precompactness) of subsets of $\mathcal{L}^1(\mu, X)$ (resp. $P_c(\mu, X)$).

THEOREM 1.1([7] COROLLARY 3.4). *A sequence $(f_n) \subset \mathcal{L}^1(\mu, X)$ converges weakly to zero if and only if $\int_A f_n d\mu \rightarrow 0$ weakly for each $A \in \Sigma$.*

If $\pi = (A_i)_{i \in I}$ be a finite partition of Ω , we define the conditional expectation $E_\pi f$ of f by

$$E_\pi f = \sum_{i \in I} [\mu(A_i)]^{-1} \left(\int_{A_i} f d\mu \right) \chi_{A_i}.$$

It is well known that the family of finite partitions is directed by refinement, that $\|E_\pi f\| \leq \|f\|$ and that $\|E_\pi f - f\| = 0$ for all $f \in P_c(\mu, X)$.

THEOREM 1.2([6] THEOREM 1). *Let H be a bounded subset of $P_c(\mu, X)$. Then the following facts are equivalent :*

- (1) *H is precompact,*
- (2) (i) *$\{\int_A f d\mu : f \in H\}$ is relatively compact in X for all $A \in \Sigma$,*
 (ii) *$\lim_{\pi} E_\pi f = f$ uniformly on $f \in H$.*

We shall denote by $\text{ext} B_{X^*}$ the set of all extreme points of the dual ball B_{X^*} and we shall denote by σ_e the weak topology on X generated by $\text{ext} B_{X^*}$. Observe that the σ_e -topology on X is Hausdorff and that the closed unit ball B_X is also closed in the σ_e -topology. Moors [8] presented a characterization of weak compactness in Banach spaces in terms of σ_e -topology.

THEOREM 1.3([3]). *Let X be a Banach space and (x_n) be a bounded sequence in X . Then (x_n) converges weakly to $x \in X$ if and only if (x_n) converges to x in the σ_e -topology.*

In this paper, we characterize compactness of subsets of $L^1(\mu, X)$ and weak compactness of subsets of $P_c(\mu, X)$ in terms of conditional expectation and σ_e -topology.

2. Results

Notice that a subset K of a Banach space is relatively norm compact if and only if it is totally norm-bounded.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let K be a bounded subset of $L^1(\mu, X)$. Then K is relatively $L^1(\mu, X)$ -norm compact in $L^1(\mu, X)$ if and only if*

- (1) $\{\int_A f d\mu : f \in K\}$ is relatively norm compact in X for all $A \in \Sigma$,
- (2) for each $\epsilon > 0$, there is a finite partition π of Ω such that $\|E_\pi f - f\|_1 < \epsilon$ uniformly on $f \in K$.

Proof. Suppose that K is relatively $L^1(\mu, X)$ -norm compact in $L^1(\mu, X)$. Then (1) follows from the continuity of the mapping $f \rightarrow \int_A f d\mu$ of $L^1(\mu, X)$ into X . Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then since K is totally bounded in $L^1(\mu, X)$ there are $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in K$ such that $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n N_{\frac{\epsilon}{3}}(f_i)$, where $N_\epsilon(f_i)$ is a ϵ -neighborhood of f_i .

Notice that $\lim_{\pi} \|E_\pi f - f\|_1 = 0$ for each $f \in L^1(\mu, X)$, and so we can find a finite partition π of Ω such that $\|E_\pi f_i - f_i\|_1 < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Fix $f \in K$. Then there is i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) such that $f \in N_{\frac{\epsilon}{3}}(f_i)$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|E_\pi f - f\|_1 &\leq \|E_\pi f - E_\pi f_i\|_1 + \|E_\pi f_i - f_i\|_1 + \|f_i - f\|_1 \\ &\leq 2\|f - f_i\|_1 + \|E_\pi f_i - f_i\|_1 < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose that the conditions (1) and (2) hold. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then there is a finite partition $\pi = (A_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ of Ω such that $\|E_\pi f - f\|_1 < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ uniformly on $f \in K$.

We will first show that $\{E_\pi f : f \in K\}$ is relatively $L^1(\mu, X)$ -norm compact in $L^1(\mu, X)$. Consider a sequence $(E_\pi f_n)$ in $\{E_\pi f : f \in K\}$. From (1) we can obtain a subsequence (f_{n_j}) of (f_n) and $x_{A_1}, \dots, x_{A_k} \in X$ such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\int_{A_i} f_{n_j} d\mu - x_{A_i}\| = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{x_{A_i}}{\mu(A_i)} \chi_{A_i} - E_\pi f_{n_j} \right\|_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{A_i} \left\| \frac{x_{A_i}}{\mu(A_i)} - \frac{\int_{A_i} f_{n_j} d\mu}{\mu(A_i)} \right\| d\mu \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \left\| x_{A_i} - \int_{A_i} f_{n_j} d\mu \right\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\{E_\pi f : f \in K\}$ is relatively $L^1(\mu, X)$ -norm compact in $L^1(\mu, X)$. Hence there are $f_1, \dots, f_n \in K$ such that $\{E_\pi f : f \in K\} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n N_{\frac{\epsilon}{3}}(E_\pi f_i)$. Now fix $f \in K$. Then there is an i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) such that $E_\pi f \in N_{\frac{\epsilon}{3}}(E_\pi f_i)$. We have

$$\|f - f_i\|_1 \leq \|f - E_\pi f\|_1 + \|E_\pi f - E_\pi f_i\|_1 + \|E_\pi f_i - f_i\|_1 < \epsilon.$$

Thus $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n N_\epsilon(f_i)$. Hence K is totally bounded in $L^1(\mu, X)$. This completes the proof. \square

The next theorem is a generalization of Theorem 1.2

THEOREM 2.2. *Let K be a bounded subset of $P_c(\mu, X)$. Then K is weakly precompact in $P_c(\mu, X)$ if and only if*

- (1) $\{\int_A f d\mu : f \in K\}$ is weakly precompact in X for all $A \in \Sigma$,
- (2) $\lim_{\pi} E_\pi f = f$ weakly in $P_c(\mu, X)$ uniformly on $f \in K$.

Proof. Assume that K is weakly precompact in $P_c(\mu, X)$. Condition (1) follows from the fact that the mapping $f \rightarrow \int_A f d\mu$ of $(P_c(\mu, X), \mathfrak{S}^\omega)$ into (X, \mathfrak{S}^ω) is continuous.

Now we note that K is totally bounded in $(P_c(\mu, X), \mathfrak{S}^\omega)$. For each $g \in P_c(\mu, X)^*$, $g \circ E_\pi$ is also in $P_c(\mu, X)^*$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then the set $N(O; g, g \circ E_\pi; \frac{\epsilon}{3}) = \{f \in P_c(\mu, X) : |g(f)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3} \text{ and } |(g \circ E_\pi)(f)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}\}$ is an open neighborhood of O in $(P_c(\mu, X), \mathfrak{S}^\omega)$. Because K is totally bounded in $(P_c(\mu, X), \mathfrak{S}^\omega)$, there are $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m \in P_c(\mu, X)$ such that $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m [f_i + N(O; g, g \circ E_\pi; \frac{\epsilon}{3})]$. For any $f \in K$, there is an i ($1 \leq i \leq m$) such that $f \in f_i + N(O; g, g \circ E_\pi; \frac{\epsilon}{3})$. Since $\lim_{\pi} E_\pi f = f$ in norm for all $f \in P_c(\mu, X)$, we have $\lim_{\pi} g(E_\pi f) = g(f)$ for all $f \in P_c(\mu, X)$. Hence there is a π' such that

$$\pi > \pi' \Rightarrow |g(E_\pi f_i) - g(f_i)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \pi > \pi' \Rightarrow |g(E_\pi f) - g(f)| &\leq |g(E_\pi f) - g(E_\pi f_i)| + |g(E_\pi f_i) - g(f_i)| \\ &\quad + |g(f_i) - g(f)| < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\lim_{\pi} E_{\pi} f = f$ weakly in $P_c(\mu, X)$ uniformly on $f \in K$.

Conversely, assume that conditions (1) and (2) hold. Let (f_n) be any sequence in K and let $g \in P_c(\mu, X)^*$ be arbitrary. Then given $\epsilon > 0$, by (2) there is a finite partition $\pi' = (A_i)_{i \in I}$ of Ω such that $|g(E_{\pi'} f) - g(f)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ uniformly on $f \in K$. So $E_{\pi'}(K)$ is contained in the set $\sum_{i \in I} \mu(A_i)^{-1} \{ \int_{A_i} f d\mu : f \in H \} \chi_{A_i}$, which is weakly precompact by (1). Hence $(E_{\pi'} f_n)$ has a weak Cauchy subsequence, say $(E_{\pi'} f_{n_k})$. Therefore there is a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$k, k' > N \Rightarrow |g(E_{\pi'} f_{n_k}) - g(E_{\pi'} f_{n_{k'}})| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} k, k' > N &\Rightarrow |g(f_{n_k}) - g(f_{n_{k'}})| \\ &\leq |g(f_{n_k}) - g(E_{\pi'} f_{n_k})| + |g(E_{\pi'} f_{n_k}) - g(E_{\pi'} f_{n_{k'}})| \\ &\quad + |g(E_{\pi'} f_{n_{k'}}) - g(f_{n_{k'}})| \\ &< \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus K is weakly precompact in $P_c(\mu, X)$. □

THEOREM 2.3. *Let K be a bounded subset of $P_c(\mu, X)$. Then K is weakly precompact in $P_c(\mu, X)$ if and only if*

- (1) $\{ \int_A f d\mu : f \in K \}$ is weakly precompact in X for all $A \in \Sigma$,
- (2) for any sequence $(f_k) \subset K$ there is a sequence (π_n) of finite partitions, cofinal to the net (π) , such that $\lim_n E_{\pi_n} f_k = f_k$ weakly in $P_c(\mu, X)$ uniformly on $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Assume that K is weakly precompact in $P_c(\mu, X)$. Then it is clear that condition (1) holds.

Now let (f_k) be any sequence in K . It is well known that there is a sequence (π_n) of finite partitions cofinal to the net (π) such that $\lim_n \|E_{\pi_n} f_k - f_k\|_{p_1} = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Notice that the set $\{f_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is totally bounded in $(P_c(\mu, X), \mathfrak{S}^w)$. Using the similar method in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we have $\lim_n E_{\pi_n} f_k = f_k$ weakly in $P_c(\mu, X)$ uniformly on $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Conversely, assume that conditions (1) and (2) hold. Using the similar method in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we can show that K is weakly precompact in $P_c(\mu, X)$. \square

Notice that the mapping $T : \mathcal{L}^1(\mu, X) \rightarrow K(\mu, X)$, $T(f)(A) = \int_A f d\mu$, $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu, X)$, $A \in \Sigma$, is linear and isometry [4].

Define $\tilde{T} : P_c(\mu, X) \rightarrow K(\mu, X)$ by $\tilde{T}(f)(A) = \int_A f d\mu$ for each $f \in P_c(\mu, X)$ and $A \in \Sigma$. Then \tilde{T} is also well-defined, linear and isometry.

The next lemma is an extended version of Theorem 1.1.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let (f_n) be a sequence in $P_c(\mu, X)$. Then (f_n) converges to 0 weakly in $P_c(\mu, X)$ if and only if $\int_A f_n d\mu \rightarrow 0$ weakly in X for each $A \in \Sigma$.*

Proof. Suppose that (f_n) converges to 0 weakly in $P_c(\mu, X)$, and let $A \in \Sigma$. Then $T_A : P_c(\mu, X) \rightarrow X$, $T_A(f) = \int_A f d\mu$, is a bounded linear operator. For each $x^* \in X^*$, we have

$$\langle x^*, \int_A f_n d\mu \rangle = \langle x^*, T_A(f_n) \rangle = (x^* \circ T_A)(f_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence $\int_A f_n d\mu \rightarrow 0$ weakly in X .

Conversely, suppose that $\int_A f_n d\mu \rightarrow 0$ weakly in X for each $A \in \Sigma$. Let (f_n) be a sequence in $P_c(\mu, X)$. Then there is a sequence (G_{f_n}) in $K(\mu, X)$ such that $\|f_n\|_{p_1} = \|G_{f_n}\|_{S.V}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Because $\mathcal{L}^1(\mu, X)$ is considered as a dense subspace of $K(\mu, X)$ [4], for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $f'_n \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu, X)$ with $\|G_{f'_n} - G_{f_n}\|_{S.V} < \frac{1}{n}$ where $T(f'_n) = G_{f'_n}$. Hence $\|f'_n - f_n\|_{p_1} = \|G_{f'_n} - G_{f_n}\|_{S.V} < \frac{1}{n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We can show easily that $\int_A f'_n d\mu \rightarrow 0$ weakly in X for each $A \in \Sigma$. By Theorem 1.1, (f'_n) converges to 0 weakly in $\mathcal{L}^1(\mu, X)$.

Now let $g \in P_c(\mu, X)^*$. Then $g \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu, X)^*$. Hence $g(f'_n) \rightarrow 0$ weakly as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We have $|g(f_n) - g(f'_n)| \leq \|g\| \|f_n - f'_n\|_{p_1} \leq \|g\| \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(f_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(f'_n) = 0$. This implies that (f_n) converges to 0 weakly in $P_c(\mu, X)$. \square

THEOREM 2.5. A bounded subset K of $P_c(\mu, X)$ is relatively weakly compact if

- (1) The set $\{\mu(A)^{-1} \int_A f d\mu : f \in K, A \in \Sigma, \mu(A) > 0\}$ is relatively weakly compact in X ,
- (2) $\lim_{\pi} E_{\pi} f = f$ weakly in $P_c(\mu, X)$ uniformly on $f \in K$.

Proof. For every $A \in \Sigma$, we have

$$\left\{ \int_A f d\mu : f \in K \right\} \subset \mu(A) \left\{ \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B f d\mu : f \in K, B \in \Sigma, \mu(B) > 0 \right\}$$

Hence by (1), $\{\int_A f d\mu : f \in K\}$ is relatively weakly compact in X . By Theorem 2.2, H is weakly precompact in $P_c(\mu, X)$.

Now let (f_n) be a sequence in K . Then (f_n) has a weak Cauchy subsequence, say (f_{n_k}) . For every $A \in \Sigma$, the sequence $(\int_A f_{n_k} d\mu)$ is also a weak Cauchy sequence in $\{\int_A f d\mu : f \in K\}$. Since $\{\int_A f d\mu : f \in K\}$ is relatively weakly compact in X , there is an $m(A) \in X$ such that $\langle m(A), x^* \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_A \langle f_{n_k}(s), x^* \rangle d\mu$, for all $x^* \in X^*$.

The set function $m : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ is a μ -continuous vector measure and the average range $\{m(A)/\mu(A) : A \in \Sigma, \mu(A) > 0\}$ is contained in the weak closed convex hull of $\{\mu(A)^{-1} \int_A f d\mu : f \in K, A \in \Sigma, \mu > 0\}$, which is a weakly compact convex set in X . Hence the average range $\{m(A)/\mu(A) : A \in \Sigma, \mu(A) > 0\}$ is relatively weakly compact in X . Thus there is an $f \in P_c(\mu, X)$ such that $m(A) = \int_A f d\mu$ for all $A \in \Sigma$. Hence $\int_A \langle f(s), x^* \rangle d\mu = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_A \langle f_{n_k}(s), x^* \rangle d\mu$ for all $A \in \Sigma$ and $x^* \in X^*$. By Lemma 2.4, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{n_k} = f$ weakly in $P_c(\mu, X)$. Thus K is relatively weakly compact in $P_c(\mu, X)$. \square

We obtain the following corollary from Theorem 1.3 and Lemma 2.4.

COROLLARY 2.6. Let (f_n) be a sequence in $P_c(\mu, X)$. Then (f_n) converges to 0 weakly in $P_c(\mu, X)$ if and only if $\int_A f_n d\mu \rightarrow 0$ in the σ_e -topology for each $A \in \Sigma$.

We obtain the following corollaries from Theorem 2.2, Theorem 2.5 and Corollary 2.6.

COROLLARY 2.7. Let K be a bounded subset of $P_c(\mu, X)$. Then K is weakly precompact in $P_c(\mu, X)$ if and only if

- (1) $\{\int_A f d\mu : f \in K\}$ is precompact in the σ_e -topology for each $A \in \Sigma$,
- (2) $\lim_{\pi} \int_A E_{\pi} f d\mu = \int_A f d\mu$ uniformly on $f \in K$ in the σ_e -topology for each $A \in \Sigma$.

COROLLARY 2.8. Let K be a bounded subset of $P_c(\mu, X)$. Then K is relatively weakly compact if

- (1) $\{\mu(A)^{-1} \int_A f d\mu : f \in K, A \in \Sigma, \mu(A) > 0\}$ is relatively compact in the σ_e -topology,
- (2) $\lim_{\pi} \int_A E_{\pi} f d\mu = \int_A f d\mu$ uniformly on $f \in K$ in the σ_e -topology for each $A \in \Sigma$.

References

1. J. K. Brooks and N. Dinculeanu, *Weak and strong compactness in the space of Pettis integrable functions*, Contemporary Math., **2** (1980), 116-187.
2. S. Diaz, *Weak compactness in $L^1(\mu, X)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **124**(9) (1996), 2685-2693.
3. J. Diestel, *Sequences and Series in Banach spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
4. J. Diestel and J.J.Uhl Jr., *Vector measures*, Math. Surveys Monographs, vol.15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1977.
5. J. Diestel, W.M. Ruess and W. Schachermayer, *Weak compactness in $L^1(\mu, X)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **118** (2) (1993), 447-453.
6. G. Emmanuele, *Precompactness in the space of Pettis integrable functions*, Acta Math. Hung., **62** (1993), 333-335.
7. D. R. Lewis, *Conditional weak compactness in certain inductive tensor products*, Math. Ann., **201** (1973), 201-209.
8. W.B. Moors, *A characterization of weak compactness in Banach spaces*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **55** (1997), 497-501.

Department of Mathematics
Kangwon National University
Chuncheon 200-701, Korea