

## PROPERTIES OF THE REIDEMEISTER NUMBERS ON TRANSFORMATION GROUPS

SOO YOUP AHN AND IN JAE CHUNG

ABSTRACT. Let  $(X, G)$  be a transformation group and  $\sigma(X, x_0, G)$  the fundamental group of  $(X, G)$ . In this paper, we prove that the Reidemeister number  $R(f_G)$  for an endomorphism  $f_G : (X, G) \rightarrow (X, G)$  is a homotopy invariant. In particular, when any self-map  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is homotopic to the identity map, we give some calculation of the lower bound of  $R(f_G)$ . Finally, we discuss commutativity and product formula for the Reidemeister number  $R(f_G)$ .

### 1. Introduction

In [5], F. Rhodes represented the fundamental group  $\sigma(X, x_0, G)$  of a transformation group  $(X, G)$ , a group  $G$  of homeomorphisms of a space  $X$ , as a generalization of the fundamental group  $\pi_1(X, G)$  of a topological space  $X$ . On the other hand, Ahn and Chung [1] studied the Reidemeister number for an endomorphism of a transformation group  $(X, G)$  as an extension of the Reidemeister number  $R(f)$  for any self-map  $f : X \rightarrow X$ .

One objective of this paper is to show that the Reidemeister number  $R(f_G)$  for an endomorphism of  $(X, G)$  is a homotopy invariance, and that the cardinality of the center of  $\sigma(X, x_0, G)$  is an lower bound for the Reidemeister number  $R(f_G)$  which any self-map  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is homotopic to the identity map. In the second place, we prove the properties of the Reidemeister number  $R(f_G)$  as follows : commutativity and product formula.

In this paper, we always assume that the spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  are compact connected polyhedra. The reader may refer to [5] for more de-

---

Received January 10, 1999.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 55M20, 57M05.

Key words and phrases: fundamental group  $\sigma(X, x_0, G)$ ,  $f_\sigma$ -equivalent, (algebraic) Reidemeister number, diagonal path.

tails on the fundamental group  $\sigma(X, x_0, G)$  of a transformation group  $(X, G)$ .

## 2. Properties of the Reidemeister number

Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a self-map. In [5], if  $\lambda$  is a path from  $f(x_0)$  to  $x_0$ , then  $\lambda$  induces an isomorphism

$$\lambda_* : \sigma(X, f(x_0), G) \rightarrow \sigma(X, x_0, G)$$

defined by  $\lambda_*[\alpha; g] = [\lambda\rho + \alpha + g\lambda; g]$  for each  $[\alpha; g] \in \sigma(X, f(x_0), G)$ , where  $\rho(t) = 1-t$ . This isomorphism  $\lambda_*$  depends only on the homotopy class of  $\lambda$ .

In this section, we consider an endomorphism of  $(X, G)$ . For the composition

$$\sigma(X, x_0, G) \xrightarrow{f_*} \sigma(X, f(x_0), G) \xrightarrow{\lambda_*} \sigma(X, x_0, G),$$

we denote  $\lambda_* f_* = f_\sigma$ . In [1], two elements  $[\alpha; g_1]$  and  $[\beta; g_2]$  of  $\sigma(X, x_0, G)$  are said to be  $f_\sigma$ -equivalent,  $[\alpha; g_1] \sim [\beta; g_2]$ , if there exists  $[\gamma; g] \in \sigma(X, x_0, G)$  such that

$$[\alpha; g_1] = [\gamma; g][\beta; g_2]f_\sigma([\gamma; g]^{-1}).$$

This is an equivalence relation on  $\sigma(X, x_0, G)$ . Let  $\sigma(X, x_0, G)'(f_\sigma)$  be the set of equivalence classes of  $\sigma(X, x_0, G)$  under  $f_\sigma$ -equivalence. The number of elements of the set  $\sigma(X, x_0, G)'(f_\sigma)$  called the *algebraic Reidemeister number* of  $f_\sigma$ , denoted by  $R_*(f_\sigma)$ . With this definition, we may define the *Reidemeister number* of an endomorphism  $f_G : (X, G) \rightarrow (X, G)$ ,  $R(f_G)$ , to be the algebraic Reidemeister number of  $f_\sigma$ , that is,

$$R(f_G) = R_*(f_\sigma).$$

LEMMA 1. *The definition of  $R(f_G)$  is independent of the choice of the path  $\lambda$  from  $f(x_0)$  to  $x_0$  and the base-point  $x_0 \in X$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Independence of  $\lambda$ . Suppose that  $\tau$  is another path from  $f(x_0)$  to  $x_0$ . Then  $\lambda^{-1}\tau$  is a loop at  $x_0$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda^{-1}\tau)_*([\alpha; g]) &= [\lambda^{-1}\tau\rho + \alpha + g\lambda^{-1}\tau; g] \\ &= [\lambda^{-1}\tau\rho; e][\alpha; g][\lambda^{-1}\tau; e], \end{aligned}$$

the loop  $\lambda^{-1}\tau$  induces an inner automorphism

$$(\lambda^{-1}\tau)_* : \sigma(X, x_0, G) \rightarrow \sigma(X, x_0, G)$$

generated by the element  $[\lambda^{-1}\tau; e]$ .

Applying this automorphism to the left-hand side of  $\lambda_*f_*$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_*(\lambda_*f_*) &= R_*(\tau_*\lambda_*^{-1}\lambda_*f_*) \\ &= R_*(\tau_*f_*). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have independence of the path  $\lambda$ .

(2) Independence of  $x_0 \in X$ . For  $x_1 \in X$ , let  $\gamma$  be a path from  $x_0$  to  $x_1$ . Then  $f \circ \gamma$  is a path from  $f(x_0)$  to  $f(x_1)$ . Since  $\gamma$  and  $f \circ \gamma$  induce isomorphisms  $\gamma_*$  and  $(f \circ \gamma)_*$  respectively, we obtain the following commutative diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \sigma(X, x_0, G) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \sigma(X, f(x_0), G) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_*} & \sigma(X, x_0, G) \\ \gamma_* \downarrow & & (f \circ \gamma)_* \downarrow & & \gamma_* \downarrow \\ \sigma(X, x_1, G) & \xrightarrow{f'_*} & \sigma(X, f(x_1), G) & \xrightarrow{\lambda'_*} & \sigma(X, x_1, G) \end{array}$$

where  $\lambda'$  is a path from  $f(x_1)$  to  $x_1$ . Since  $\lambda_* = \gamma_*^{-1}\lambda'_*(f \circ \gamma)_*$  and  $f_* = (f \circ \gamma)_*^{-1}f'_*\gamma_*$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} R_*(\lambda_*f_*) &= R_*(\gamma_*^{-1}\lambda'_*f'_*\gamma_*) \\ &= R_*(\lambda'_*f'_*). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

For a given homotopy  $F : f \cong h : X \rightarrow X$  and a given path  $c : I \rightarrow X$ , define the diagonal path  $\Delta(F, c) : I \rightarrow X$  by  $\Delta(F, c)(t) = F(c(t), t), 0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Let  $\Delta^{-1}(F, c)$  denote the inverse of diagonal path  $\Delta(F, c)$ . Then the path  $\Delta(F, c)$  preserves inverse in the following sense.

LEMMA 2. [4]  $\Delta^{-1}(F, c) = \Delta(F^{-1}, c^{-1})$ .

THEOREM 3. (Homotopy invariance) Let  $f_G$  and  $h_G$  be endomorphisms of  $(X, G)$ . If  $F : f \cong h : X \rightarrow X$  is homotopy from  $f$  to  $h$ , then  $R(f_G) = R(h_G)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$ . Then  $\Delta(F, c)$  is a path from  $f(x_0)$  to  $h(x_0)$ . Thus the path  $\Delta(F, c)$  induces a homomorphism

$$\Delta(F, c)_* : \sigma(X, f(x_0), G) \rightarrow \sigma(X, h(x_0), G).$$

So we obtain the following induced commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sigma(X, x_0, G) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \sigma(X, f(x_0), G) \\ h_* \searrow & & \nearrow \Delta(F^{-1}, x_0)_* \\ & & \sigma(X, h(x_0), G) \end{array}$$

From Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(f_G) &= R_*(\lambda_* f_*) \\ &= R_*(\lambda_* \Delta(F, x_0)_*^{-1} h_*) \\ &= R_*((\Delta(F^{-1}, x_0)_* \lambda)_* h_*) \\ &= R(h_G). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

THEOREM 4. If a self-map  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is homotopic to the identity map  $id_X$  of  $X$ , then

$$R(f_G) = R(id_X) \geq |Z(\sigma(X, x_0, G))| \geq 1,$$

where  $|Z(\sigma(X, x_0, G))|$  is the number of elements of the center of  $\sigma(X, x_0, G)$ .

*Proof.* Obviously, the first equality follows from Theorem 3. Since  $Z(\sigma(X, x_0, G))$  contains at least the identity element  $[x'_0; e]$ , where  $x'_0$  is the constant map  $x'_0 : I \rightarrow X$ , we have

$$|Z(\sigma(X, x_0, G))| \geq 1.$$

Now we prove that  $R(id_X) \geq |Z((X, x_0, G))|$ . Consider

$$\sigma(X, x_0, G) \xrightarrow{id_*} \sigma(X, x_0, G) \xrightarrow{\lambda} \sigma(X, x_0, G).$$

For any element  $[\alpha; g_1] \in \sigma(X, x_0, G)$ , the  $id_X$ -equivalence class  $\overline{[\alpha; g_1]}$  containing  $[\alpha; g_1]$  is the set

$$\{[\gamma; g_2][\alpha; g_1]\lambda_*[\gamma; g_2]^{-1} | [\gamma; g_2] \in \sigma(X, x_0, G)\}.$$

Since  $\lambda$  is a loop at  $x_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_*([\gamma; g_2]^{-1}) &= \lambda_*([g_2^{-1}\gamma\rho; g_2^{-1}]) \\ &= [\lambda\rho; e][g_2^{-1}\gamma\rho; g_2^{-1}][\lambda; e] \\ &= [\lambda\rho; e][\gamma; g_2]^{-1}[\lambda; e]. \end{aligned}$$

If  $[\alpha; g_1] \in Z(\sigma(X, x_0, G))$ , then the  $id_X$ -equivalence class consists of the single element  $\lambda_*[\alpha; g_1]$ , that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{[\alpha; g_1]} &= \{[\lambda; e][\alpha; g_1][\lambda; e]\} \\ &= \{\lambda_*[\alpha; g_1]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have the desired result. □

**THEOREM 5. (Commutativity)** *Let  $f_G$  and  $h_G$  be endomorphisms of  $(X, G)$ . Then*

$$R(f_G \circ h_G) = R(h_G \circ f_G).$$

*Proof.* From the following composition

$$\sigma(X, x_0, G) \xrightarrow{f_*} \sigma(X, f(x_0), G) \xrightarrow{h_*} \sigma(X, (h \circ f)(x_0), G),$$

we get  $h_* \circ f_* = (h \circ f)_*$ . Similarly,  $f_* \circ h_* = (f \circ h)_*$ . Let  $\lambda$  be a path from  $(h \circ f)(x_0)$  to  $(f \circ h)_*(x_0)$ . Then  $\lambda$  induces an isomorphism

$$\lambda_* : \sigma(X, (h \circ f)(x_0), G) \rightarrow \sigma(X, (f \circ h)(x_0), G).$$

Thus we consider the following commutative diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \sigma(X, x_0, G) & \xrightarrow{(h \circ f)_*} & \sigma(X, (h \circ f)(x_0), G) \\
 (f \circ h)_* \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau_* \\
 \sigma(X, (f \circ h)(x_0), G) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_*} & \sigma(X, x_0, G)
 \end{array}$$

where  $\tau$  is a path from  $(h \circ f)(x_0)$  to  $x_0$  and  $\gamma$  is a path from  $(f \circ h)(x_0)$  to  $x_0$ .

Since  $(f \circ h)_* = \lambda_*(h \circ f)_*$  and  $\gamma_* = \tau_*\lambda_*^{-1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(f_G \circ h_G) &= R((f \circ h)_G) \\
 &= R_*(\gamma_*(f \circ h)_*) \\
 &= R_*((\tau_*\lambda_*^{-1})(\lambda_*(h \circ f)_*)) \\
 &= R_*(\tau_*(h \circ f)_*) \\
 &= R(h_G \circ f_G).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we complete the proof of this theorem.  $\square$

Let  $\alpha_x$  be a path of order  $g$  with base-point  $x_0$  in  $X$ , and  $\alpha_y$  be a path of order  $h$  with base-point  $y_0$  in  $Y$ . Then a path  $\theta(\alpha_x, \alpha_y)$  of order  $(g, h)$  with base-point  $(x_0, y_0)$  in  $X \times Y$  is defined by

$$\theta(\alpha_x, \alpha_y) = \begin{cases} (\alpha_x(2t), y_0), & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ (gx_0, \alpha_y(2t-1)), & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Note that we can see easily  $(g, h)\theta(g\alpha_x, \alpha_y) = \theta(g\alpha_x, h\alpha_y)$  and  $\theta(\alpha_x, \alpha_y)\rho = \theta(\alpha_x\rho, \alpha_y\rho)$ , where  $\rho(t) = 1 - t$ . The homotopy class of  $\theta(\alpha_x, \alpha_y)$  depends only on the homotopy classes of  $\alpha_x$  and  $\alpha_y$ . Hence  $\theta$  induces an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}
 \theta_* : \sigma(X, x_0, G) \times \sigma(Y, y_0, H) &\rightarrow \sigma(X \times Y, (x_0, y_0), G \times H) \\
 \theta([\alpha_x; g], [\alpha_y; h]) &= [\theta(\alpha_x, \alpha_y); (g, h)].
 \end{aligned}$$

For an endomorphism  $f'_H : (Y, H) \rightarrow (Y, H)$  and a homomorphism

$$f'_\sigma : \sigma(Y, y_0, H) \rightarrow \sigma(Y, y_0, H),$$

let  $\sigma(Y, y_0, H)'(f'_\sigma)$  be the set of equivalence classes of  $\sigma(Y, y_0, H)$  under  $f'_\sigma$ -equivalence.

**THEOREM 6.** (*Product formula*) Let  $f_G$  and  $f'_H$  be endomorphisms of  $(X, G)$  and  $(Y, H)$  respectively. Then

$$R(f_G \times f'_H) = R(f_G) \cdot R(f'_H).$$

*Proof.* Note that if  $[\alpha_x, g_1] \sim [\alpha'_x; g_2]$  and  $[\alpha_y; h_1] \sim [\alpha'_y; h_2]$ , then

$$[\theta(\alpha_x, \alpha_y); (g_1, h_1)] \sim [\theta(\alpha'_x, \alpha'_y); (g_2, h_2)].$$

The isomorphism  $\theta_*$  induces an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\theta}_* : \sigma(X, x_0, G)'(f_\sigma) \times \sigma(Y, y_0, H)'(f'_\sigma) &\rightarrow \\ \sigma(X \times Y, (x_0, y_0), G \times H)'(f_\sigma \times f'_\sigma). & \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain the following commutative diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sigma(X, x_0, G) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & \sigma(X, x_0, G)'(f_\sigma) \times \sigma(Y, y_0, H)'(f'_\sigma) \\ \theta_* \downarrow & & \overline{\theta}_* \downarrow \\ \sigma(X \times Y, (x_0, y_0), G \times H) & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & \sigma(X \times Y, (x_0, y_0), G \times H)'(f_\sigma \times f'_\sigma), \end{array}$$

where  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are the natural projections. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} R(f_G \times f'_H) &= |\sigma(X \times Y, (x_0, y_0), G \times H)'(f_\sigma \times f'_\sigma)| \\ &= |\sigma(X, x_0, G)'(f_\sigma) \times \sigma(Y, y_0, H)'(f'_\sigma)| \\ &= |\sigma(X, x_0, G)'(f_\sigma)| \cdot |\sigma(Y, y_0, H)'(f'_\sigma)| \\ &= R(f_G) \cdot R(f'_H). \end{aligned}$$

□

### References

1. S. Y. Ahn and I. J. Chung, *The Reidemeister numbers on transformation groups*, Comm. Korean Math. Soc. 11 (1996), 445–455.
2. R. F. Brown, *The Lefschetz Fixed Point Theorem*, Scott, Foresman and Company, Glenview, Illinois, 1971.
3. B. J. Jiang, *Lectures on Nielsen fixed point theory*, Comtemporary Math., 14 Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, R. I. (1983), 1–99.

4. T. H. Kiang, *The theory of fixed point classes*, Science Press, Beijing, 1979 (Chinese); English edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1989.
5. F. Rhodes, *On the fundamental group of a transformation group*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 16 (1966), 635–650.

Department of Mathematics Education  
Kon-Kuk University  
Seoul 133–701, Korea