ASSOCIATED PRIME IDEALS OF A PRINCIPAL IDEAL

GYU WHAN CHANG

ABSTRACT. Let R be an integral domain with identity. We show that each associated prime ideal of a principal ideal in R[X] has height one if and only if each associated prime ideal of a principal ideal in R has height one and R is an S-domain.

Krull's principal ideal theorem [7, Theorem 142] states that for a nonunit element x of a Noetherian ring R, if P is a prime ideal of Rwhich is minimal over xR, then the height of P is at most one. Thus if R is a Noetherian domain then each minimal prime ideal of a nonzero principal ideal has height one. In [2], Barucci-Anderson-Dobbs studied integral domains in which each prime ideal over a nonzero principal ideal has height one. As [2], we say that an integral domain R satisfies the *principal ideal theorem* (PIT) if each prime ideal over a nonzero principal ideal of R has height one.

Let R be an integral domain with identity. A prime ideal P of R is called an *associated prime ideal* of a principal ideal in R if there exist some elements $a, b \in R$ such that P is minimal over $aR : bR = \{x \in R | xb \in aR\}$. Consider an integral domain R with the following property:

APIT: each associated prime ideal of a principal ideal in R has height one.

One can easily show that R satisfies APIT if and only if $R = \bigcap_{P \in X^1(R)} R_P$ where $X^1(R)$ is the set of all height one prime ideals of R (cf. [6, Ex. 22, p.52]). The purpose of this paper is to show that R[X] satisfies APIT if and only if R satisfies APIT and R is an S-domain. (Recall that an integral domain R is an S-domain if for each height one prime ideal Pof R, the expansion P[X] of P to R[X] has again height one.) All rings considered in this paper are commutative integral domains with identity.

Received December 28, 1999.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification: 13A15, 13G05.

Key words and phrases: Associated prime ideal, height one, principal ideal theorem, APIT.

GYU WHAN CHANG

If $a \in R$, then aR = aR : R and so a minimal prime ideal of a nonzero principal ideal is an associated prime ideal of a principal ideal. Hence R satisfies APIT then R satisfies PIT. The following example shows that the converse does not hold.

EXAMPLE 1. Let R be the field of real numbers and let R[[x, y]] = R + M be the power series ring over R, where M = (x, y)R[[x, y]]. Let \overline{Q} be the algebraic closure of the field Q of rational numbers in R. Let $D := \overline{Q} + M$, then (1) D is a 2-dimensional quasi-local Mori domain with maximal M, (2) M is an associated prime ideal of a principal ideal in D, and hence D does not satisfies APIT, and (3) D satisfies PIT. (see [4, Example 8]).

In [5, Theorem 4], Chang proved that if R is integrally closed, then R[X] satisfies PIT if and only if R satisfies PIT and R is an S-domain. The following theorem is an APIT-analog of that fact.

THEOREM 2. R[X] satisfies APIT if and only if R satisfies APIT and R is an S-domain.

Proof. (⇒) Since R[X] satisfies APIT, R[X] satisfies PIT, and R is an S-domain [2, Proposition 6.1]. Let P be an associated prime ideal of a principal ideal in R, i.e., P is minimal over aR : bR for some $a, b \in R$. Then P[X] is minimal over (aR : bR)R[X]. Since (aR : bR)R[X] =aR[X] : bR[X], P[X] is an associated prime ideal of a principal ideal in R[X]. Hence ht(P[X]) = 1, and so htP = 1.

(\Leftarrow) Let Q be an associated prime ideal of a principal ideal in R[X]. If $Q \cap R = 0$, then htQ = 1 [7, Theorem 36]. If $Q \cap R(:=P) \neq 0$, then Q = P[X] and P is an associated prime ideal of a principal ideal in R [3, Corollary 8]. Hence htQ = htP = 1.

Since R[X] is an S-domain [1, Theorem 3.2], it follows directly from Theorem 2 that $R[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ satisfies APIT if and only if $R[X_1]$ satisfies APIT, where $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ is a finite set of indeterminates over R. It is easy to show that for nonzero elements $a, b \in R$, $aR[\{X_\alpha\}] \cap R = aR$, $(aR:bR)R[\{X_\alpha\}] = aR[\{X_\alpha\}]:bR[\{X_\alpha\}]$ and $(aR[\{X_\alpha\}]:bR[\{X_\alpha\}]) \cap$ R = aR:bR where $\{X_\alpha\}$ is a set of indeterminates over R. Using this and the proof of [2, Proposition 6.4], we have

COROLLARY 3. $R[{X_{\alpha}}]$ satisfies APIT if and only if R satisfies APIT and R is an S-domain.

88

Given a fractional ideal I of an integral domain R, we define $I_v = (I^{-1})^{-1}$ and $I_t = \bigcup \{J_v | J \text{ is a finitely generated subideal of } I\}$. An ideal A of R is said to be divisorial (resp. t-ideal) if $A_v = A$ (resp. $A_t = A$). Recall that an integral domain R is an H-domain if each maximal t-ideal P of R is divisorial. Examples of H-domains include discrete valuation domains, Mori domains, Krull domains and Noetherian domains. It is clear that each prime t-ideal of R has height one, then R satisfies APIT (in fact, R satisfies PIT). The following theorem shows that if R is an H-domain, the converse also holds.

THEOREM 4. Let R be an H-domain. Then R satisfies APIT if and only if each prime t-ideal of R is a maximal t-ideal.

Proof. Suppose that R satisfies APIT. Let A be the set of associated prime ideals of principal ideals in R. Then $R = \bigcap_{P \in A} R_P$ [3, Proposition 4]. Let M be a maximal t-ideal of R. Since M is divisorial (note that R is an H-domain), $R \subset M^{-1}$. Hence $M \subseteq P$ for some $P \in A$ [8, Theorem1]. Hence M = P, and each maximal t-ideal of R is an associated prime ideal of a principal ideal. Since R satisfies APIT, each prime t-ideal is a maximal t-ideal. The converse is clear.

COROLLARY 5. If R is a Noetherian ring, then R satisfies APIT if and only if each prime t-ideal of R is a maximal t-ideal.

References

- D.D. Anderson, D.F. Anderson and M. Zafrullah, *Rings between D[X] and K[X]*, Houston J. Math., 17 (1991), 109 - 129.
- [2] V. Barucci, D.F. Anderson and D.E. Dobbs, Coherent Mori domains and the principal ideal theorem, Comm. Algebra 15 (1987), 1119 - 1156.
- [3] J.W. Brewer and W.J. Heinzer, Associated primes of principal ideals, Duke Math. J., 41 (1974), 1 - 7.
- [4] G.W. Chang, Some characterizations of Krull domains, Kangweon-Kyungki Math. J., 7 (1999), 1 - 5.
- [5] G.W. Chang, On the principal ideal theorem, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 36 (1999), 655 - 660.
- [6] R. Gilmer, Multiplicative Ideal Theory, Dekker, New York, 1972.
- [7] I. Kaplansky, Commutative Rings, rev. ed., Univ. of Chicago Press, 1974.
- [8] M. Zafrullah, The v-operation and intersections of quotient rings of integral domains, Comm. Algebra 13 (1985), 1699 - 1712.

GYU WHAN CHANG

Department of Mathematics Kangwon National University Chuncheon 200-701, Korea

90