

ON A FRAME IN THE SPACE $L^2(\mathbb{R})$

PHIL UNG CHUNG* AND SONG HO HAN

1. Introduction

Frames were introduced by Duffin and Schaeffer(1952), in the context of non-harmonic Fourier series and they were also reviewed by Young(1980). Here we shall review their definition, given in [1]:

DEFINITION. A family of functions $(\varphi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is called a frame if there exist A and B ($0 < A \leq B < \infty$) so that, for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum | \langle f, \varphi_j \rangle |^2 \leq B\|f\|^2.$$

We call A and B the frame bounds.

In $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, a Weyl-Heisenberg frame(WH-frame), given by

$$\left\{ g_{m,n}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g(x - nq_0)e^{2\pi i m p_0 x} \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

and an Affine frame, given by

$$\left\{ h_{m,n}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_0^{-\frac{m}{2}} h(a_0^{-m}(x - a_0^m n b_0)) \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

are commonly used as the analysis tools for the signal process in time-frequency domain, where p_0, q_0, a_0 and b_0 are the appropriately chosen positive constants, and g, h are the functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. A WH-frame could be used to choose the data for a given signal at equidistant points

Received August 21, 2002.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 65T99, 68M10.

Key words and phrases: Fourier transform, frame.

*This paper was accomplished with Research Fund provided by Kangwon National University, Supprot for 2000 faculty Research Abroad

in the domain, but it doesn't have a high resolution, which means that translation parts nq_0 do not depend on the frequency mp_0 . On the other hand an Affine frame have a high resolution which means that translation parts $a_0^m nb_0$ are reciprocally proportional to the frequency a_0^{-m} , but its intervals for choosing data $a_0^{-m} b_0$ aren't equidistant.

In this paper, we shall construct another new frame which may be used to choose data for a signal at equidistant points of the domain and, at a same time, may have a high resolution.

Throughout the present paper, the symbols $\hat{f}$, $f^\vee$, and $\bar{f}$ denote the Fourier transform, the inverse Fourier transform, and complex conjugate of $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ respectively.

2. Another new frame in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$

In this section we shall construct another frame which may be used to choose data at equidistant points of the domain and, at the same time, may have a high resolution.

THEOREM 1. *Let $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\|g\| \neq 0$ and $\text{supp } \hat{g}(\omega) \subset [a, a + \frac{1}{q_0}]$, $q_0 > 0$. Then, for any positive real constant p_0 so that*

$$H(\omega) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{q_0} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{g}(\omega - mp_0)|^2$$

may have a positive lower bound A and an upper bound $B(< \infty)$, the family of functions

$$(2) \quad g_{m,n}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\lambda(m)|}} g\left(x - \frac{nq_0}{\lambda(m)}\right) e^{2\pi i m p_0 x}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

constitutes a frame in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, where $\lambda(m)$ is an arbitrary real valued function of integers such that $1 \leq |\lambda(m)| < \infty$.

proof. Since $[f(x)e^{2\pi i p x}]^\wedge(\omega) = \hat{f}(\omega - p)$, we have, for any $f \in$

$L^2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3) \quad & \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} | \langle f, g_{m,n} \rangle |^2 \\
 &= \sum_{m,n} \left| \langle \hat{f}(\omega), \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\lambda(m)|}} \hat{g}(\omega - mp_0) e^{-2\pi i \frac{nq_0}{\lambda(m)}(\omega - mp_0)} \rangle \right|^2 \\
 &= \sum_{m,n} \frac{1}{|\lambda(m)|} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{\hat{f}}(\omega + mp_0) \hat{g}(\omega) e^{-2\pi i \frac{nq_0}{\lambda(m)}\omega} d\omega \right|^2 \\
 &= \sum_{m,n} \frac{1}{|\lambda(m)|} \left| \int_a^{a+\frac{1}{q_0}} \bar{\hat{f}}(\omega + mp_0) \hat{g}(\omega) e^{-2\pi i \frac{nq_0}{\lambda(m)}\omega} d\omega \right|^2 \\
 &= \sum_m \frac{1}{|\lambda(m)|} \sum_n \left| \int_a^{a+\frac{|\lambda(m)|}{q_0}} \bar{\hat{f}}(\omega + mp_0) \hat{g}(\omega) e^{-2\pi i \frac{nq_0}{|\lambda(m)|}\omega} d\omega \right|^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

while the integral

$$\frac{q_0}{|\lambda(m)|} \int_a^{a+\frac{|\lambda(m)|}{q_0}} \bar{\hat{f}}(\omega + mp_0) \hat{g}(\omega) e^{-2\pi i \frac{nq_0}{|\lambda(m)|}\omega} d\omega$$

is the Fourier coefficient of $\bar{\hat{f}}(\omega + mp_0) \hat{g}(\omega)$. Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4) \quad & \sum_{m,n} | \langle f, g_{m,n} \rangle |^2 = \frac{1}{q_0} \sum_m \int_a^{a+\frac{|\lambda(m)|}{q_0}} |\hat{f}(\omega + mp_0) \hat{g}(\omega)|^2 d\omega \\
 &= \frac{1}{q_0} \sum_m \int_{a+mp_0}^{a+mp_0+\frac{|\lambda(m)|}{q_0}} |\hat{f}(\omega) \hat{g}(\omega - mp_0)|^2 d\omega \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\omega)|^2 H(\omega) d\omega,
 \end{aligned}$$

which proves our assertion by virtue of our hypothesis. $\square$

It is noteworthy that the frame constructed in the above theorem may be used to choose data equidistantly and also it has a high resolution in the frequency domain.

With the same notations as in the Theorem 1, we have the following corollaries:

COROLLARY 1. *If $H(\omega) = 1$ and $\|g_{m,n}\| = 1$, then $\{g_{m,n} | m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ constitutes an orthonormal frame in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.*

proof. Assume $H(\omega) = 1$. Then we have, for any fixed $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\sum_{m,n} |\langle g_{k,l}, g_{m,n} \rangle|^2 = |\langle g_{k,l}, g_{k,l} \rangle|^2$$

by virtue of (4). On the other hand we also have

$$\sum_{m,n} |\langle g_{k,l}, g_{m,n} \rangle|^2 = |\langle g_{k,l}, g_{k,l} \rangle|^2 + \sum_{(m,n) \neq (k,l)} |\langle g_{k,l}, g_{m,n} \rangle|^2.$$

Hence we obtain $\langle g_{k,l}, g_{m,n} \rangle = 0$ for $(m, n) \neq (k, l)$. $\square$

COROLLARY 2. *Let*

$$\hat{\phi}(\omega) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\sqrt{q_0} \hat{g}(\omega)}{\{\sum_m |\hat{g}(\omega + mp_0)|^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

then the family of functions

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\lambda(m)|}} \phi\left(x - \frac{nq_0}{\lambda(m)}\right) e^{2\pi i m p_0 x} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

constitutes an orthogonal frame in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

proof. ϕ clearly satisfies the conditions given in Theorem 1, and $\frac{1}{q_0} \sum_m |\hat{\phi}(\omega - mp_0)|^2 = 1$. Hence our assertion follows from Theorem 1 and Corollary 1. $\square$

COROLLARY 3. *Let $\hat{g}(\omega)$ is continuous and $\text{supp } \hat{g}(\omega) \subset [a, b]$ and let p_0 be any positive constant such that $p_0 < L = b - a$, then $\{g_{m,n} | m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ constitutes a frame in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.*

proof. Since $H(\omega)$ is a periodic function with period p_0 , Let us choose the interval $[a - \frac{p_0}{2}, b + \frac{p_0}{2}]$ so that it may contain at least one period of $\hat{\phi}$. Then $H(\omega)$ also has positive lower bound and upper bound on the chosen interval. Hence $H(\omega)$ has a positive lower bound and upper bound on $\mathbb{R}$, so that $\{g_{m,n} | m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ constitutes a frame in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by virtue of Theorem 1. $\square$

COROLLARY 4. *If the orthonormal frame $\{g_{m,n}\}$ spans the space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then we have, for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$,*

$$(5) \quad f = \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle f, g_{m,n} \rangle g_{m,n}.$$

proof. By virtue of (4), we have, for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\sum_{m,n} |\langle f, g_{m,n} \rangle|^2 = \langle f, f \rangle,$$

which implies, by the polarization identity,

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{m,n} \langle f, g_{m,n} \rangle \langle g_{m,n}, g \rangle.$$

Hence we proved our assertion. $\square$

References

1. C. Gasquet and P. Witomski, *Fourier Analysis and Applications*, Springer, 1999.
2. C.K. Chui, *An Introduction to Wavelets*, Academic Press, New York, 1992.
3. Cui Mingen and et al, *Fourier Transform and Wavelet Analysis*, Kyung Moon, 2001.
4. Ingrid Daubeachies, *Ten Lectures On Wavelets*, CBMMS-NSF, 1992.

Phil Ung Chung
Dept. of Math.
Kangwon National University
Chunchon 200-701, KOREA
E-mail: puchung@kangwon.ac.kr

Song Ho Han
Dept. of Math.
Kangwon National University
Chunchon 200-701, KOREA
E-mail: hansong@kangwon.ac.kr