

## G-FUZZY EQUIVALENCE RELATIONS GENERATED BY FUZZY RELATIONS

INHEUNG CHON

ABSTRACT. We find a G-fuzzy equivalence relation generated by the union of two G-fuzzy equivalence relations in a set, find a G-fuzzy equivalence relation generated by a fuzzy relation in a set, and find sufficient conditions for the composition  $\mu \circ \nu$  of two G-fuzzy equivalence relations  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  to be a G-fuzzy equivalence relation generated by  $\mu \cup \nu$ .

### 1. Introduction

The concept of a fuzzy relation was first proposed by Zadeh ([6]). Subsequently, Goguen ([1]) and Sanchez ([5]) studied fuzzy relations in various contexts. In [4] Nemitz discussed fuzzy equivalence relations, fuzzy functions as fuzzy relations, and fuzzy partitions. Murali ([3]) developed some properties of fuzzy equivalence relations and certain lattice theoretic properties of fuzzy equivalence relations. Gupta et al. ([2]) proposed a generalized definition of a fuzzy equivalence relation on a set, which we call G-fuzzy equivalence relation in this paper, and developed some properties of that relation. The present work has been started as a continuation of these studies.

In section 2 we develop some basic properties of fuzzy relations, find a G-fuzzy equivalence relation generated by the union of two G-fuzzy equivalence relations in a set, find a G-fuzzy equivalence relation generated by a fuzzy relation in a set, and show that if  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are G-fuzzy equivalence relations in a set such that  $\mu \circ \nu = \nu \circ \mu$ ,  $\inf_{t \in X} \mu(t, t) \geq \nu(x, y)$ , and  $\inf_{t \in X} \nu(t, t) \geq \mu(x, y)$  for all  $x \neq y \in X$ , then  $\mu \circ \nu$  is a G-fuzzy equivalence relation generated by  $\mu \cup \nu$ .

---

Received July 24, 2003.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 03E72.

Key words and phrases: fuzzy relation, G-fuzzy equivalence relation .

This paper was supported by the Natural Science Research Institute of Seoul Women's University, 2002

## 2. Fuzzy equivalence relation

DEFINITION 2.1. A function  $B$  from a set  $X$  to the closed unit interval  $[0, 1]$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  is called a *fuzzy set* in  $X$ . For every  $x \in B$ ,  $B(x)$  is called a *membership grade* of  $x$  in  $B$ .

The standard definition of a fuzzy reflexive relation  $\mu$  in a set  $X$  demands  $\mu(x, x) = 1$ . Gupta et al. ([2]) weakened this definition as follows.

DEFINITION 2.2. A *fuzzy relation*  $\mu$  in a set  $X$  is a fuzzy subset of  $X \times X$ .  $\mu$  is *G-reflexive* in  $X$  if  $\mu(x, x) > 0$  and  $\mu(x, y) \leq \inf_{t \in X} \mu(t, t)$  for all  $x \neq y$  in  $X$ .  $\mu$  is *symmetric* in  $X$  if  $\mu(x, y) = \mu(y, x)$  for all  $x, y$  in  $X$ . The composition  $\lambda \circ \mu$  of two fuzzy relations  $\lambda, \mu$  in  $X$  is the fuzzy subset of  $X \times X$  defined by

$$(\lambda \circ \mu)(x, y) = \sup_{z \in X} \min(\lambda(x, z), \mu(z, y)).$$

A fuzzy relation  $\mu$  in  $X$  is *transitive* in  $X$  if  $\mu \circ \mu \subseteq \mu$ . A fuzzy relation  $\mu$  in  $X$  is called *G-fuzzy equivalence relation* if  $\mu$  is G-reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

PROPOSITION 2.3. Let  $\mathcal{F}_X$  be the set of all fuzzy relations in a set  $X$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}_X$  is a monoid under the operation of composition  $\circ$ .

*Proof.* Clearly  $\circ$  is a binary operation. It is well known that  $\circ$  is associative (see Proposition 2.3 of [3]). Let  $\theta$  be a fuzzy relation such that  $\theta(x, x) = 1$  and  $\theta(x, y) = 0$  if  $x \neq y$ . Then  $(\mu \circ \theta)(x, y) = \sup_{z \in X} \min(\mu(x, z), \theta(z, y)) = \mu(x, y)$ . Similarly we may show  $(\theta \circ \mu)(x, y) = \mu(x, y)$ . Hence  $\mathcal{F}_X$  is a monoid.  $\square$

It is easy to see that a G-fuzzy equivalence relation is an idempotent element of  $\mathcal{F}_X$ .

DEFINITION 2.4. Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy relation in a set  $X$ .  $\mu^{-1}$  is defined as a fuzzy relation in  $X$  by  $\mu^{-1}(x, y) = \mu(y, x)$ .

It is easy to see that  $(\mu \circ \nu)^{-1} = \nu^{-1} \circ \mu^{-1}$  for fuzzy relations  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ .

PROPOSITION 2.5. Let  $\mathcal{F}_X$  be a monoid of all fuzzy relations in  $X$  and let  $\phi : F_X \rightarrow F_X$  be a map defined by  $\phi(\mu) = \mu^{-1}$ . Then  $\phi$  is an antiautomorphism and  $\phi(\mu^{-1}) = (\phi(\mu))^{-1} = \mu$ .

*Proof.* Since  $(\mu^{-1})^{-1}(x, y) = \mu^{-1}(y, x) = \mu(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\phi(\mu^{-1}) = (\mu^{-1})^{-1} = \mu = (\phi(\mu))^{-1}$ . Since  $(\mu \circ \nu)^{-1} = \nu^{-1} \circ \mu^{-1}$ ,  $\phi(\mu \circ \nu) = (\mu \circ \nu)^{-1} = \nu^{-1} \circ \mu^{-1} = \phi(\nu) \circ \phi(\mu)$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.6. Let  $\mu$  and each  $\nu_i$  be fuzzy relations in a set  $X$  for all  $i \in I$ . Then  $\mu \circ (\bigcup_{i \in I} \nu_i) = \bigcup_{i \in I} (\mu \circ \nu_i)$ ,  $(\bigcup_{i \in I} \nu_i) \circ \mu = \bigcup_{i \in I} (\nu_i \circ \mu)$ ,  $\mu \circ (\bigcap_{i \in I} \nu_i) \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} (\mu \circ \nu_i)$ , and  $(\bigcap_{i \in I} \nu_i) \circ \mu \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} (\nu_i \circ \mu)$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} [\mu \circ (\bigcup_{i \in I} \nu_i)](x, y) &= \sup_{z \in X} \min[\mu(x, z), (\bigcup_{i \in I} \nu_i)(z, y)] \\ &= \sup_{z \in X} \min[\mu(x, z), \sup_{i \in I} \nu_i(z, y)] \\ &= \sup_{z \in X} \sup_{i \in I} \min[\mu(x, z), \nu_i(z, y)] \\ &= \sup_{i \in I} \sup_{z \in X} \min[\mu(x, z), \nu_i(z, y)] \\ &= (\bigcup_{i \in I} \mu \circ \nu_i)(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we may prove the remaining things.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.7. Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be G-fuzzy equivalence relations in a set  $X$ . Then  $\mu \cap \nu$  is a G-fuzzy equivalence relation.

*Proof.*  $(\mu \cap \nu)(x, x) = \min(\mu(x, x), \nu(x, x)) > 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{t \in X} (\mu \cap \nu)(t, t) &= \inf_{t \in X} \min(\mu(t, t), \nu(t, t)) \\ &= \min(\inf_{t \in X} \mu(t, t), \inf_{t \in X} \nu(t, t)) \\ &\geq \min(\mu(x, y), \nu(x, y)) = (\mu \cap \nu)(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \neq y$  in  $X$ . That is,  $\mu \cap \nu$  is G-reflexive.  $(\mu \cap \nu)(x, y) = \min(\mu(x, y), \nu(x, y)) = \min(\mu(y, x), \nu(y, x)) = (\mu \cap \nu)(y, x)$ . By Proposition 2.6,  $[(\mu \cap \nu) \circ (\mu \cap \nu)] \subseteq [\mu \circ (\mu \cap \nu)] \cap [\nu \circ (\mu \cap \nu)] \subseteq [(\mu \circ \mu) \cap (\mu \circ \nu)] \cap [(\nu \circ \mu) \cap (\nu \circ \nu)] \subseteq [\mu \cap (\mu \circ \nu)] \cap [(\nu \circ \mu) \cap \nu] \subseteq \mu \cap \nu$ .  $\square$

It is easy to see that even though  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are G-fuzzy equivalence relations,  $\mu \cup \nu$  is not necessarily a G-fuzzy equivalence relation. We find a G-fuzzy equivalence relation generated by  $\mu \cup \nu$  in the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.8.** *Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be G-fuzzy equivalence relations in a set  $X$ . The G-fuzzy equivalence relation generated by  $\mu \cup \nu$  is  $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mu \cup \nu)^n = (\mu \cup \nu) \cup [(\mu \cup \nu) \circ (\mu \cup \nu)] \cup \dots$*

*Proof.* Clearly  $(\mu \cup \nu)(x, x) > 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{t \in X} (\mu \cup \nu)(t, t) &= \inf_{t \in X} \max(\mu(t, t), \nu(t, t)) \\ &= \max\left(\inf_{t \in X} \mu(t, t), \inf_{t \in X} \nu(t, t)\right) \\ &\geq \max(\mu(x, y), \nu(x, y)) = (\mu \cup \nu)(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \neq y$  in  $X$ . That is,  $\mu \cup \nu$  is G-reflexive.  $[(\mu \cup \nu) \circ (\mu \cup \nu)](x, x) = \sup_{z \in X} \min[(\mu \cup \nu)(x, z), (\mu \cup \nu)(z, x)] \geq \min[(\mu \cup \nu)(x, x), (\mu \cup \nu)(x, x)] > 0$ .  $\inf_{t \in X} [(\mu \cup \nu) \circ (\mu \cup \nu)](t, t) = \inf_{t \in X} \sup_{z \in X} \min[(\mu \cup \nu)(t, z), (\mu \cup \nu)(z, t)] \geq \inf_{t \in X} \min[(\mu \cup \nu)(t, t), (\mu \cup \nu)(t, t)] = \inf_{t \in X} (\mu \cup \nu)(t, t) \geq \sup_{z \in X} \min[(\mu \cup \nu)(x, z), (\mu \cup \nu)(z, y)] = ((\mu \cup \nu) \circ (\mu \cup \nu))(x, y)$ . That is,  $(\mu \cup \nu) \circ (\mu \cup \nu)$  is G-reflexive. Similarly  $(\mu \cup \nu)^n$  is G-reflexive for  $n = 3, 4, \dots$ .  $\inf_{t \in X} [\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mu \cup \nu)^n](t, t) = \inf_{t \in X} \sup [(\mu \cup \nu)(t, t), ((\mu \cup \nu) \circ (\mu \cup \nu))(t, t), \dots] = \sup [\inf_{t \in X} (\mu \cup \nu)(t, t), \inf_{t \in X} ((\mu \cup \nu) \circ (\mu \cup \nu))(t, t), \dots] \geq \sup [(\mu \cup \nu)(x, y), ((\mu \cup \nu) \circ (\mu \cup \nu))(x, y), \dots] = [\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mu \cup \nu)^n](x, y)$ . Clearly  $[\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mu \cup \nu)^n](x, x) > 0$ . Thus  $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mu \cup \nu)^n$  is G-reflexive. Clearly  $\mu \cup \nu$  is symmetric.  $[(\mu \cup \nu) \circ (\mu \cup \nu)](x, y) = \sup_{z \in X} \min[(\mu \cup \nu)(x, z), (\mu \cup \nu)(z, y)] = \sup_{z \in X} \min[(\mu \cup \nu)(y, z), (\mu \cup \nu)(z, x)] = [(\mu \cup \nu) \circ (\mu \cup \nu)](y, x)$ . That is,  $(\mu \cup \nu) \circ (\mu \cup \nu)$  is symmetric. Similarly we may show  $(\mu \cup \nu)^n$  is symmetric for  $n = 3, 4, \dots$ .  $[\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mu \cup \nu)^n](x, y) = \sup [(\mu \cup \nu)(x, y), ((\mu \cup \nu) \circ (\mu \cup \nu))(x, y), \dots] = \sup [(\mu \cup \nu)(y, x), ((\mu \cup \nu) \circ (\mu \cup \nu))(y, x), \dots] = [\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mu \cup \nu)^n](y, x)$ . That is,  $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mu \cup \nu)^n$  is symmetric. Let  $\mu^* = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mu \cup \nu)^n$  and let  $\mu_1 = \mu \cup \nu$ . By Proposition 2.6,  $\mu^* \circ \mu^* = \mu^* \circ [\mu_1 \cup (\mu_1 \circ \mu_1) \cup (\mu_1 \circ \mu_1 \circ \mu_1) \cup \dots] = [\mu^* \circ \mu_1] \cup [\mu^* \circ (\mu_1 \circ \mu_1)] \cup [\mu^* \circ (\mu_1 \circ \mu_1 \circ \mu_1)] \cup \dots = [(\mu_1 \circ \mu_1) \cup ((\mu_1 \circ \mu_1) \circ \mu_1) \cup \dots] \cup$

$[(\mu_1 \circ (\mu_1 \circ \mu_1)) \cup ((\mu_1 \circ \mu_1) \circ (\mu_1 \circ \mu_1)) \cup \dots] \cup \dots = [(\mu_1 \circ \mu_1) \cup (\mu_1 \circ \mu_1 \circ \mu_1) \cup \dots] \cup [(\mu_1 \circ \mu_1 \circ \mu_1) \cup \dots] \cup \dots \subseteq \mu^*$ . That is,  $\mu^* = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mu \cup \nu)^n$  is transitive. Hence  $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mu \cup \nu)^n$  is a G-fuzzy equivalence relation. Let  $\lambda$  be a G-fuzzy equivalence relations in a set  $X$  containing  $\mu \cup \nu$ . Then  $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mu \cup \nu)^n \subseteq \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n = \lambda \cup (\lambda \circ \lambda) \cup (\lambda \circ \lambda \circ \lambda) \cup \dots \subseteq \lambda \cup \lambda \cup \dots = \lambda$ . That is,  $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mu \cup \nu)^n$  is contained in every G-fuzzy equivalence relation in  $X$  containing  $\mu \cup \nu$ . Thus  $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mu \cup \nu)^n$  is a G-fuzzy equivalence relation generated by  $\mu \cup \nu$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.9.** *Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy relation in a set  $X$ . Then G-fuzzy equivalence relation in  $X$  generated by  $\mu$  is  $\mu^* = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_1^n = \mu_1 \cup (\mu_1 \circ \mu_1) \cup (\mu_1 \circ \mu_1 \circ \mu_1) \cup \dots$ , where  $\mu_1 = \mu \cup \mu^{-1} \cup \theta$  and  $\theta$  is a fuzzy relation in  $X$  such that  $\theta(x, x) > 0$ ,  $\theta = \theta^{-1}$ ,  $\theta(x, y) \leq \mu(x, y)$ , and  $\max[\mu(x, y), \theta(x, y)] \leq \inf_{t \in X} \theta(t, t)$  for all  $x \neq y$  in  $X$ .*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof. } (\mu \cup \mu^{-1} \cup \theta)(x, x) &= \max[\mu(x, x), \mu^{-1}(x, x), \theta(x, x)] > 0. \\ \inf_{t \in X} (\mu \cup \mu^{-1} \cup \theta)(t, t) &= \inf_{t \in X} \max[\mu(t, t), \mu^{-1}(t, t), \theta(t, t)] \\ &\geq \inf_{t \in X} \theta(t, t) \geq \max[\mu(x, y), \mu^{-1}(x, y), \theta(x, y)] \\ &= (\mu \cup \mu^{-1} \cup \theta)(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\mu_1 = \mu \cup \mu^{-1} \cup \theta$  is G-reflexive. By the same way as shown in Theorem 2.8, we may show  $\mu^* = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_1^n$  is G-reflexive.

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1(x, y) &= (\mu \cup \mu^{-1} \cup \theta)(x, y) = \max[\mu(x, y), \mu^{-1}(x, y), \theta^{-1}(x, y)] \\ &= \max[\mu^{-1}(y, x), \mu(y, x), \theta(y, x)] \\ &= (\mu \cup \mu^{-1} \cup \theta)(y, x) = \mu_1(y, x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\mu_1$  is a symmetric. By the same way as shown in Theorem 2.8, we may show  $\mu^* = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_1^n$  is symmetric and transitive. Hence  $\mu^*$  is a G-fuzzy equivalence relation containing  $\mu$ . Let  $\nu$  be a G-fuzzy equivalence relation containing  $\mu$ . Then  $\mu(x, y) \leq \nu(x, y)$ ,  $\mu^{-1}(x, y) = \mu(y, x) \leq \nu(y, x) = \nu(x, y)$ , and  $\theta(x, y) \leq \mu(x, y) \leq \nu(x, y)$ . Thus  $\mu_1 = (\mu \cup \mu^{-1} \cup \theta) \subseteq \nu$ .  $(\mu_1 \circ \mu_1)(x, y) = \sup_{z \in X} \min[\mu_1(x, z), \mu_1(z, y)] \leq \sup_{z \in X} \min[\nu(x, z), \nu(z, y)] = (\nu \circ \nu)(x, y)$ . Since  $\nu$  is transitive,  $\mu_1 \circ \mu_1 \subseteq \nu \circ \nu \subseteq \nu$ . Similarly we may show  $\mu_1^n \subseteq \nu$  for  $n = 3, \dots$ . Thus  $\mu^* = \mu_1 \cup (\mu_1 \circ \mu_1) \cup (\mu_1 \circ \mu_1 \circ \mu_1) \cup \dots \subseteq \nu$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.10.** *Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be G-fuzzy equivalence relations in a set  $X$  such that  $\inf_{t \in X} \mu(t, t) \geq \nu(x, y)$  and  $\inf_{t \in X} \nu(t, t) \geq \mu(x, y)$  for all  $x \neq y \in X$ . If  $\mu \circ \nu = \nu \circ \mu$ , then  $\mu \circ \nu$  is a G-fuzzy equivalence relation in  $X$  generated by  $\mu \cup \nu$ .*

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu \circ \nu)(x, x) &= \sup_{z \in X} \min[\mu(x, z), \nu(z, x)] \\ &\geq \min(\mu(x, x), \nu(x, x)) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\inf_{t \in X} \mu(t, t) \geq \nu(x, y)$  and  $\inf_{t \in X} \nu(t, t) \geq \mu(x, y)$  for all  $x \neq y \in X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{t \in X} (\mu \circ \nu)(t, t) &= \inf_{t \in X} \sup_{z \in X} \min[\mu(t, z), \nu(z, t)] \\ &\geq \inf_{t \in X} \min[\mu(t, t), \nu(t, t)] \geq \min[\mu(x, z), \nu(z, y)] \end{aligned}$$

for all  $z \in X$ . Thus  $\inf_{t \in X} (\mu \circ \nu)(t, t) \geq \sup_{z \in X} \min[\mu(x, z), \nu(z, y)] = (\mu \circ \nu)(x, y)$ . That is,  $\mu \circ \nu$  is G-reflexive. Since  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are symmetric,  $(\mu \circ \nu)^{-1} = \nu^{-1} \circ \mu^{-1} = \nu \circ \mu = \mu \circ \nu$ . Thus  $\mu \circ \nu$  is symmetric. Since  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are transitive and the operation  $\circ$  is associative,  $(\mu \circ \nu) \circ (\mu \circ \nu) = \mu \circ (\nu \circ \mu) \circ \nu = \mu \circ (\mu \circ \nu) \circ \nu = (\mu \circ \mu) \circ (\nu \circ \nu) \subseteq \mu \circ \nu$ . Hence  $\mu \circ \nu$  is a G-fuzzy equivalence relation. Since  $\nu(y, y) \geq \mu(x, y)$ ,  $(\mu \circ \nu)(x, y) = \sup_{z \in X} \min[\mu(x, z), \nu(z, y)] \geq \min(\mu(x, y), \nu(y, y)) = \mu(x, y)$ . Since  $\mu(x, x) \geq \nu(x, y)$ ,  $(\mu \circ \nu)(x, y) = \sup_{z \in X} \min[\mu(x, z), \nu(z, y)] \geq \min(\mu(x, x), \nu(x, y)) = \nu(x, y)$ . Thus  $(\mu \circ \nu)(x, y) \geq \max(\mu(x, y), \nu(x, y)) = (\mu \cup \nu)(x, y)$ . That is,  $\mu \cup \nu \subseteq \mu \circ \nu$ . Let  $\lambda$  be a G-fuzzy equivalence relation in  $X$  containing  $\mu \cup \nu$ . Since  $\lambda$  is transitive,  $\mu \circ \nu \subseteq (\mu \cup \nu) \circ (\mu \cup \nu) \subseteq \lambda \circ \lambda \subseteq \lambda$ . Thus  $\mu \circ \nu$  is a G-fuzzy equivalence relation generated by  $\mu \cup \nu$ .  $\square$

## References

1. J. A. Goguen, *L-fuzzy sets*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **18** (1967), 145–174.
2. K. C. Gupta and R. K. Gupta, *Fuzzy equivalence relation redefined*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **79** (1996), 227–233.

3. V. Murali, *Fuzzy equivalence relation*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **30** (1989), 155–163.
4. C. Nemitz, *Fuzzy relations and fuzzy function*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **19** (1986), 177–191.
5. E. Sanchez, *Resolution of composite fuzzy relation equation*, Inform. and Control **30** (1976), 38–48.
6. L. A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Inform. and Control **8** (1965), 338–353.

Department of Mathematics  
Seoul Women's University  
126 Kongnung 2-Dong, Nowon-Gu  
Seoul 139-774, South Korea