

## CONFORMAL CHANGE OF THE VECTOR $U_\mu$ IN 5-DIMENSIONAL $g$ -UFT

CHUNG HYUN CHO

ABSTRACT. We investigate change of the vector  $U_\mu$  induced by the conformal change in 5-dimensional  $g$ -unified field theory. These topics will be studied for the second class in 5-dimensional case.

### 1. Introduction

The conformal change in a generalized 4-dimensional Riemannian space connected by an Einstein's connection was primarily studied by HLAVATÝ ([8], 1957). CHUNG ([6], 1968) also investigated the same topic in 4-dimensional  $*g$ -unified field theory.

The Einstein's connection induced by the conformal change for all classes in 3-dimensional case, for the second and third classes in 5-dimensional case, and for the first class in 5-dimensional  $*g$ -UFT, and for the second class in 5-dimensional  $g$ -UFT were investigated by CHO ([1], 1992, [2], 1994, [3], 1998, [4], 1999).

In the present paper, we investigate change of the vector  $U_\mu$  induced by the conformal change in 5-dimensional  $g$ -unified field theory. These topics will be studied for the second class in 5-dimensional case.

### 2. Preliminaries

This chapter is a brief collection of basic concepts, notations, theorems, and results needed in our further considerations. They may be referred to CHUNG ([5], 1988; [3], 1988), CHO ([1], 1992; [2], 1994; [3], 1998; [4], 1999).

---

Received October 20, 2004.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53A45, 83E99.

Key words and phrases: Einstein connection, conformal change.

**2.1.  $n$ -dimensional  $g$ -unified field theory.** The  $n$ -dimensional  $g$ -unified field theory ( $n$ - $g$ -UFT hereafter) was originally suggested by HLAVATÝ([8],1957) and systematically introduced by CHUNG([7],1963).

Let  $X_n$ <sup>1</sup> be an  $n$ -dimensional generalized Riemannian manifold, referred to a real coordinate system  $x^\nu$  obeying coordinate transformations  $x^\nu \rightarrow x^{\nu'}$ , for which

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Det}\left(\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial x'}\right)\right) \neq 0.$$

In the usual Einstein's  $n$ -dimensional unified field theory, the manifold  $X_n$  is endowed with a general real nonsymmetric tensor  $g_{\lambda\mu}$  which may be split into its symmetric part  $h_{\lambda\mu}$  and skew-symmetric part  $k_{\lambda\mu}$ <sup>2</sup>:

$$(2.2) \quad g_{\lambda\mu} = h_{\lambda\mu} + k_{\lambda\mu}$$

where

$$(2.3) \quad \text{Det}((g_{\lambda\mu})) \neq 0 \quad \text{Det}((h_{\lambda\mu})) \neq 0.$$

Therefore we may define a unique tensor  $h^{\lambda\nu} = h^{\nu\lambda}$  by

$$(2.4) \quad h_{\lambda\mu} h^{\lambda\nu} = \delta_\mu^\nu.$$

In our  $n$ - $g$ -UFT, the tensors  $h_{\lambda\mu}$  and  $h^{\lambda\nu}$  will serve for raising and/or lowering indices of the tensors in  $X_n$  in the usual manner.

The manifold  $X_n$  is connected by a general real connection  $\Gamma_{\omega\mu}^\nu$  with the following transformation rule :

$$(2.5) \quad \Gamma_{\omega'\mu'}^{\nu'} = \frac{\partial x^{\nu'}}{\partial x^\alpha} \left( \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial x^{\omega'}} \cdot \frac{\partial x^\gamma}{\partial x^{\mu'}} \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha + \frac{\partial^2 x^\alpha}{\partial x^{\omega'} \partial x^{\mu'}} \right)$$

and satisfies the system of Einstein's equations

$$(2.6) \quad D_\omega g_{\lambda\mu} = 2S_{\omega\mu}^\alpha g_{\lambda\alpha}$$

where  $D_\omega$  denotes the covariant derivative with respect to  $\Gamma_{\lambda\mu}^\nu$  and

$$(2.7) \quad S_{\lambda\mu}^\nu = \Gamma_{[\lambda\mu]}^\nu$$

is the *torsion tensor* of  $\Gamma_{\lambda\mu}^\nu$ . The connection  $\Gamma_{\lambda\mu}^\nu$  satisfying (2.6) is called the *Einstein's connection*.

<sup>1</sup>Throughout the present paper, we assumed that  $n \geq 2$ .

<sup>2</sup>Throughout this paper, Greek indices are used for holonomic components of tensors. In  $X_n$  all indices take the values  $1, \dots, n$  and follow the summation convention.

In our further considerations, the following scalars, tensors, abbreviations, and notations for  $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  are frequently used :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g} &= \text{Det}((g_{\lambda\mu})) \neq 0, & \mathfrak{h} &= \text{Det}((h_{\lambda\mu})) \neq 0, \\ \mathfrak{t} &= \text{Det}((k_{\lambda\mu})), \end{aligned} \quad (2.8a)$$

$$g = \frac{\mathfrak{g}}{\mathfrak{h}}, \quad k = \frac{\mathfrak{t}}{\mathfrak{h}}, \quad (2.8b)$$

$$K_p = k_{[\alpha_1}^{\alpha^1} \cdots k_{\alpha_p]}^{\alpha^p}, \quad (p = 0, 1, 2, \dots) \quad (2.8c)$$

$${}^{(0)}k_\lambda^\nu = \delta_\lambda^\nu, \quad {}^{(1)}k_\lambda^\nu = k_\lambda^\nu, \quad {}^{(p)}k_\lambda^\alpha = {}^{(p-1)}k_\lambda^\alpha k_\alpha^\nu, \quad (2.8d)$$

$$K_{\omega\mu\nu} = \nabla_\nu k_{\omega\mu} + \nabla_\omega k_{\nu\mu} + \nabla_\mu k_{\omega\nu}, \quad (2.8e)$$

$$\sigma = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \end{cases} \quad (2.8f)$$

where  $\nabla_\omega$  is the symbolic vector of the covariant derivative with respect to the Christoffel symbols  $\{\lambda_\mu\}$  defined by  $h_{\lambda\mu}$ . The scalars and vectors introduced in (2.8) satisfy

$$K_0 = 1; K_n = k \quad \text{if } n \text{ is even}; \quad K_p = 0 \quad \text{if } p \text{ is odd}, \quad (2.9a)$$

$$g = 1 + K_2 + \cdots + K_{n-\sigma}, \quad (2.9b)$$

$${}^{(p)}k_{\lambda\mu} = (-1)^{p(p)} k_{\mu\lambda}, \quad {}^{(p)}k^{\lambda\mu} = (-1)^{p(p)} k^{\nu\lambda}. \quad (2.9c)$$

Furthermore, we also use the following useful abbreviations, denoting an arbitrary tensor  $T_{\omega\mu\nu}$ , skew-symmetric in the first two indices, by T:

$${}^{pqr}T = {}^{pqr}T_{\omega\mu\nu} = T_{\alpha\beta\gamma} {}^{(p)}k_\omega^{\alpha(q)} k_\mu^{\beta(r)} k_\nu^\gamma, \quad (2.10a)$$

$$T = T_{\omega\mu\nu} = {}^{000}T, \quad (2.10b)$$

$$2 {}^{pqr}T_{\omega[\lambda\mu]} = {}^{pqr}T_{\omega\lambda\mu} - {}^{pqr}T_{\omega\mu\lambda}, \quad (2.10c)$$

$$2 {}^{(pq)r}T_{\omega\lambda\mu} = {}^{pqr}T_{\omega\lambda\mu} + {}^{qpr}T_{\omega\lambda\mu}. \quad (2.10d)$$

We then have

$${}^{pqr}T_{\omega\lambda\mu} = -{}^{qpr}T_{\lambda\omega\mu}. \quad (2.11)$$

If the system (2.6) admits  $\Gamma_{\lambda\mu}^\nu$ , using the above abbreviations it was shown that the connection is of the form

$$\Gamma_{\omega\mu}^\nu = \{\nu\}_{\omega\mu} + S_{\omega\mu}^\nu + U_{\omega\mu}^\nu \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$U_{\nu\omega\mu} = 2 S_{\nu(\omega\mu)}^{001}. \quad (2.13)$$

The above two relations show that our problem of determining  $\Gamma_{\omega\mu}^\nu$  in terms of  $g_{\lambda\mu}$  is reduced to that of studying the tensor  $S_{\omega\mu}^\nu$ . On the other hand, it has also been shown that the tensor  $S_{\omega\mu}^\nu$  satisfies

$$S = B - 3 \overset{(110)}{S} \quad (2.14)$$

where

$$2B_{\omega\mu\nu} = K_{\omega\mu\nu} + 3K_{\alpha[\mu\beta}k_{\omega]}^\alpha k_\nu^\beta. \quad (2.15)$$

DEFINITION 2.1. The vector  $U_\mu$  defined by

$$U_\mu = U^\alpha_{\alpha\mu}. \quad (2.16)$$

**2.2. Some results for the second class in 5-g-UFT.** In this section, we introduce some results of 5-g-UFT without proof, which are needed in our subsequent considerations.

They may be referred to CHO([1],1992).

DEFINITION 2.2. In 5-g-UFT, the tensor  $g_{\lambda\mu}(k_{\lambda\mu})$  is said to be the second class, if  $K_2 \neq 0$ ,  $K_4 = 0$ .

THEOREM 2.3. (MAIN RECURRENCE RELATIONS). For the second class in 5-UFT, the following recurrence relation hold

$$\overset{(p+3)}{k}_\lambda^\nu = -K_2^{\overset{(p+1)}{}} k_\lambda^\nu, \quad (p = 0, 1, 2, \dots). \quad (2.17)$$

THEOREM 2.4. (FOR THE SECOND CLASS IN 5-g-UFT). A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence and uniqueness of the solution of (2.5) is

$$1 - (K_2)^2 \neq 0. \quad (2.18)$$

If the condition (2.18) is satisfied, the unique solution of (2.14) is given by

$$(1 - K_2^2)(S - B) = -2 \overset{(10)1}{B} + (K_2 - 1) \overset{110}{B} + 2 \overset{(20)2}{B} + 2 \overset{112}{B}. \quad (2.19)$$

### 3. Conformal change of the 5-dimensional vector $U_\mu$ for the second class

In this final chapter we investigate the change  $U_\mu \rightarrow \bar{U}_\mu$  of the vector induced by the conformal change of the tensor  $g_{\lambda\mu}$ , using the recurrence relations and theorems introduced in the preceding chapter.

We say that  $X_n$  and  $\bar{X}_n$  are conformal if and only if

$$\bar{g}_{\lambda\mu}(x) = e^\Omega g_{\lambda\mu}(x) \tag{3.1}$$

where  $\Omega = \Omega(x)$  is an at least twice differentiable function. This conformal change enforces a change of the vector  $U_\mu$ . An explicit representation of the change of 5-dimensional vector  $U_\mu$  for the second class will be exhibited in this chapter.

AGREEMENT 3.1. *Throughout this section, we agree that, if  $T$  is a function of  $g_{\lambda\mu}$ , then we denote  $\bar{T}$  the same function of  $\bar{g}_{\lambda\mu}$ . In particular, if  $T$  is a tensor, so is  $\bar{T}$ . Furthermore, the indices of  $T(\bar{T})$  will be raised and/or lowered by means of  $h^{\lambda\nu}(\bar{h}^{\lambda\nu})$  and/or  $h_{\lambda\nu}(\bar{h}_{\lambda\nu})$ .*

The results in the following theorems are needed in our further considerations. They may be referred to CHO([1],1992, [2],1994, [3],1998, [4],1999).

THEOREM 3.2. *In  $n$ - $g$ -UFT, the conformal change (3.1) induces the following changes:*

$${}^{(p)}\bar{k}_{\lambda\mu} = e^{\Omega(p)} k_{\lambda\mu}, \quad {}^{(p)}\bar{k}_\lambda = {}^{(p)}k_\lambda^\nu, \quad {}^{(p)}\bar{k}^{\lambda\mu} = e^{-\Omega(p)} k^{\lambda\mu}, \tag{3.2a}$$

$$\bar{g} = g, \quad \bar{K}_p = K_p, \quad (p = 1, 2, \dots). \tag{3.2b}$$

THEOREM 3.3. *(For all classes in 5- $g$ -UFT). The change of the tensor  $B_{\omega\mu\nu}$  induced by the conformal change (3.1) may be given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{B}_{\omega\mu\nu} &= e^\Omega (B_{\omega\mu\nu} + k_{\nu[\omega} \Omega_{\mu]} - k_{\omega\mu} \Omega_\nu \\ &\quad - h_{\nu[\omega} k_{\mu]}^\delta \Omega_\delta + 2^{(2)} k_{\nu[\omega} k_{\mu]}^\delta \Omega_\delta + k_{\omega\mu}^{(2)} k_\nu^\delta \Omega_\delta). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Now, we are ready to derive representations of the changes  $U_\mu \rightarrow \bar{U}_\mu$  in 5- $g$ -UFT for the second class induced by the conformal change (3.1).

THEOREM 3.4. *The conformal change (3.1) induces the following change:*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{B}_{\omega\mu\nu}^{\bar{p}\bar{q}} &= e^\Omega [B_{\omega\mu\nu}^{ppq} + (-1)^p \{ 2^{(p+q+2)} k_{\nu[\omega}^{(p+1)} k_{\mu]}^\delta \\ &\quad + {}^{(2p+1)} k_{\omega\mu}^{(2+q)} k_\nu^\delta - {}^{(2p+1)} k_{\omega\mu}^{(q)} k_\nu^\delta \\ &\quad + {}^{(p+q+1)} k_{\nu[\omega}^{(p)} k_{\mu]}^\delta - {}^{(p+q)} k_{\nu[\omega}^{(p+1)} k_{\mu]}^\delta \} \Omega_\delta]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

$$\left( \begin{array}{l} p = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots \\ q = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots \end{array} \right)$$

THEOREM 3.5. The change  $U^\nu{}_{\lambda\mu} \rightarrow \bar{U}^\nu{}_{\lambda\mu}$  induced by the conformal change (3.1) may be represented by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{U}^\nu{}_{\lambda\mu} &= U^\nu{}_{\lambda\mu} + \frac{1}{C} \{ C_1 k^\nu{}_{(\lambda} k_{\mu)}{}^\delta \Omega_\delta \\ &\quad + C_2 [ {}^{(2)}k^\nu{}_{(\lambda} {}^{(2)}k_{\mu)}{}^\delta + {}^{(2)}k_{\lambda\mu} {}^{(2)}k^{\nu\delta} \Omega_\delta \\ &\quad + C_3 [ {}^{(2)}k^\nu{}_{(\lambda} \Omega_{\mu)} - {}^{(2)}k_{\lambda\mu} \Omega^\nu ] \} \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where  $C = K_2^2$ ,  $C_1 = -6K_2^3 + 2K_2^2 - K_2 - 1$ ,  $C_2 = 2K_2(K_2 + 2)$ ,  $C_3 = 1 + K_2$ .

THEOREM 3.6. The change  $U_\mu \rightarrow \bar{U}_\mu$  induced by conformal change (3.1) may be represented by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{U}_\mu &= U_\mu + \frac{1}{C} [ (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}K_2 - 7K_2^2) {}^{(2)}k_\mu{}^\delta \Omega_\delta \\ &\quad + K_2(K_2 + 2) {}^{(2)}k_\alpha{}^\alpha k_\mu{}^\delta \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}(1 + K_2) k_\mu{}^\delta \Omega_\delta ] \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where  $C = K_2^2 - 1$ .

*Proof.* In virtue of Definition (2.1) and Agreement (3.1), we have

$$\bar{U}_\mu = \bar{U}^\alpha{}_{\alpha\mu} \quad (3.7)$$

The relation(3.6) follows by substituting (3.2), (3.3), (2.10), Definition (2.2) into Theorem 3.5. ■

#### 4. References

- [1] C.H. Cho, Conformal change of the connection in 3- and 5-dimensional  $*g^{\lambda\nu}$ -unified Field Theory, *BIBS, Inha Univ.* **13** (1992).
- [2] C.H. Cho, Conformal change of the connection for the first class in 5-dimensional  $*g^{\lambda\nu}$ -unified Field Theory, *Kangweon-Kyungki Math. Jour.* **2** (1994), 19-33.
- [3] C.H. Cho, Conformal change of the tensor  $S_{\lambda\mu}{}^\nu$  in 5-dimensional g-UFT, *Kangweon-Kyungki Math. Jour.* **6**, No.2 (1998), 213-220.
- [4] C.H. Cho, Conformal change of the tensor  $U^\nu{}_{\lambda\mu}$  in 5-dimensional g-UFT, *Kangweon-Kyungki Math. Jour.* **7**, No.2 (1999), 199-205.
- [5] K.T. Chung, Three- and Five-dimensional considerations of the geometry of Einsteins's  $*g$ -unified field theory, *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* **27(9)** (1988).

- [6] K.T. Chung, Conformal change in Einstein's  $*g^{\lambda\nu}$ -unified field theory, *Nuove Cimento* **(X)58B** (1968).
- [7] K.T. Chung, Einstein's connection in terms of  $*g^{\lambda\nu}$ , *Nuove Cimento* **(X) 27** (1963).
- [8] V. HLAVATÝ, Geometry of Einstein's unified field theory, *P. Noordhoff Ltd* (1957).

Department of Mathematics  
Inha University  
Incheon, 402-751, Korea  
*E-mail*: chcho@inha.ac.kr