

## DECIMAL EXPANSION OF THE SQUARE ROOT OF A NONNEGATIVE INTEGER

GYU WHAN CHANG AND GEON WOO JEON

ABSTRACT. For positive integers  $n$  and  $k$ , with  $k \leq 2n$ , let

$$\sqrt{n^2 + k} = n_t \cdots n_1.a_1a_2a_3 \cdots$$

be the decimal expansion of  $\sqrt{n^2 + k}$ . In this paper, we introduce a systematic method of how to calculate the value of  $a_i$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ .

Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of natural numbers,  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\llbracket a, b \rrbracket = \{m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid a \leq m \leq b\}$  for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , with  $a < b$ , and  $A_n = \{n^2, n^2 + 1, \dots, n^2 + 2n\}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then  $A_n = \llbracket n^2, n^2 + 2n \rrbracket$ ,  $|A_n| = 2n + 1$  and  $\{A_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  is a partition of  $\mathbb{N}_0$ , so  $a \in \mathbb{N}_0$  if and only if  $a \in A_n$  for some unique  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Now let

$$\sqrt{n^2 + k} = n_t \dots n_1.a_1a_2 \cdots$$

be the decimal expansion of  $\sqrt{n^2 + k}$  for integers  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , with  $k \leq 2n$ . In this paper, we introduce a systematic method of how to calculate the value of  $a_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We first prove a theorem by which we can systematically classify the value of  $a_1$  by dividing  $n$  into five cases, i.e.,  $n \equiv i \pmod{5}$  for  $i \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket$ . We then give a simple corollary of the theorem which can be used to obtain the values of  $a_2, a_3, \dots$  in order.

Throughout this note we use the following notations.

**Notation.** For a nonnegative integer  $n$ , let

- (a)  $\varphi_n : A_n \rightarrow \llbracket 0, 9 \rrbracket$  be a function defined by  $\varphi_n(x) =$  the number at the first decimal place of  $\sqrt{x}$  and
- (b)  $\underline{n}(y) = |\varphi_n^{-1}(\{y\})|$  for each  $y \in \llbracket 0, 9 \rrbracket$ .

In this note we must keep it in mind that if  $a \in A_n$  is such that

$$\sqrt{a} = m = (m - 1).999 \cdots$$

for some integer  $m$ , then  $\varphi_n(a) = 0$  but not 9, i.e.,  $\varphi_n(a) \neq 9$ . For example,  $\varphi_n(n^2) = 0$  but  $\varphi_n(n^2) \neq 9$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

---

Received November 10, 2024. Revised March 19, 2025. Accepted March 19, 2025.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A25.

Key words and phrases: Decimal expansion, square root, nonnegative integer, greatest integer function.

This work was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (2017R1D1A1B06029867).

© The Kangwon-Kyungki Mathematical Society, 2025.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Now, let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the set of real numbers and  $[x]$  be the greatest integer less than or equal to a real number  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , so if  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the set of integers, then  $[\ ] : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , called the greatest integer function, is a function. It is easy to see that  $[n + x] = n + [x]$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . See [1, Section 6.3] for some basic properties of the greatest integer function. We first give a simple lemma which plays a key role in the proof of the results in this paper.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $y \in \llbracket 0, 9 \rrbracket$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and  $k \in \llbracket 0, 2n \rrbracket$ . Then  $\varphi_n(n^2 + k) = y$  if and only if  $0.2yn + 0.01y^2 \leq k < 0.2(y + 1)n + 0.01(y + 1)^2$ .*

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) It is clear that  $\sqrt{n^2 + k} = n + \theta$  for a suitable choice of a real number  $\theta$ , with  $0 \leq \theta < 1$ . So if  $\varphi_n(n^2 + k) = y$ , then  $0.1y \leq \theta < 0.1(y + 1)$ , and hence  $0.01y^2 \leq \theta^2 < 0.01(y + 1)^2$  and  $0.2yn \leq 2n\theta < 0.2(y + 1)n$ . Moreover,  $k = 2n\theta + \theta^2$  by the equality of  $\sqrt{n^2 + k} = n + \theta$ , so we have

$$0.2yn + 0.01y^2 \leq k < 0.2(y + 1)n + 0.01(y + 1)^2.$$

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $[a, b) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid a \leq x < b\}$  be as usual for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , with  $a < b$ . Then the result can be proved by noting that

$$\{[0.2yn + 0.01y^2, 0.2(y + 1)n + 0.01(y + 1)^2) \mid y \in \llbracket 0, 9 \rrbracket\}$$

is a partition of  $[0, 2n + 1)$ . □

We are now ready to give the main result of this paper.

**THEOREM 2.** *For a nonnegative integer  $n$ , the following statements hold.*

- (1)  $\varphi_n$  is increasing.
- (2)  $\varphi_n$  is surjective if and only if  $n \geq 5$ .
- (3)  $(\underline{n} + 5)(y) = \underline{n}(y) + 1$  for each  $y \in \llbracket 0, 9 \rrbracket$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $a, b \in A_n$ , with  $a < b$ . Then  $n^2 \leq a < b < (n + 1)^2$ , and hence  $n \leq \sqrt{a} < \sqrt{b} < n + 1$ . Thus,  $\varphi_n(a) \leq \varphi_n(b)$ .

(2) If  $n \leq 4$ , then  $|A_n| = 2n + 1 \leq 9$ , and hence  $\varphi_n(A_n) \subsetneq \llbracket 0, 9 \rrbracket$ . Thus, if  $\varphi_n$  is surjective, then  $n \geq 5$ . Conversely, assume that  $n \geq 5$ . Then we have to consider the three cases of when  $y = 0$ ,  $y \in \llbracket 1, 8 \rrbracket$  and  $y = 9$  by Lemma 1 and the properties of the greatest integer function  $[\ ]$ .

Case 1.  $y = 0$ . Then, by Lemma 1,  $\underline{n}(y) = [0.2n + 0.01] + 1 \geq [1.01] + 1 = 2$ , where the first inequality follows because  $n \geq 5$ .

Case 2.  $y \in \llbracket 1, 8 \rrbracket$ . Then none of  $0.2yn + 0.01y^2$  and  $0.2(y + 1)n + 0.01(y + 1)^2$  is an integer, and hence, by Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{n}(y) &= [0.2(y + 1)n + 0.01(y + 1)^2] - [0.2yn + 0.01y^2] \\ &\geq [0.2(y + 1)n + 0.01(y + 1)^2 - 0.2yn - 0.01y^2] \\ &= [0.2n + 0.02y + 0.01] \\ &\geq [0.2n] \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows because  $n \geq 5$ .

Case 3.  $y = 9$ . Then, by Lemma 1,  $\underline{n}(y) = (2n + 1) - ([1.8n + 0.81] + 1) = 2n - [1.8n + 0.81] \geq 2n - [2n - 1 + 0.81] = 2n - (2n - 1 + [0.81]) = 1$ , where the third inequality follows from that  $n \geq 5$  implies  $1.8n \leq 2n - 1$ .

Therefore, by Case 1, 2 and 3,  $\varphi_n$  is surjective.

(3) Let  $y \in \llbracket 0, 9 \rrbracket$ . By a simple calculation,  $(\underline{n+5})(y) = \underline{n}(y) + 1$  for all  $n \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket$  and  $y \in \llbracket 0, 9 \rrbracket$ , so we assume that  $n \geq 5$ . Then, as in the case of the proof of (2) above, we have three cases to prove.

Case 1.  $y = 0$ . Then  $(\underline{n+5})(y) = [0.2(n+5) + 0.01] + 1 = [0.2n + 1 + 0.01] + 1 = ([0.2n + 0.01] + 1) + 1 = \underline{n}(y) + 1$  by Lemma 1.

Case 2.  $y \in \llbracket 1, 8 \rrbracket$ . Then, by Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{n+5})(y) &= [0.2(y+1)(n+5) + 0.01(y+1)^2] - [0.2y(n+5) + 0.01y^2] \\ &= [0.2(y+1)n + 0.01(y+1)^2 + y + 1] - [0.2yn + 0.01y^2 + y] \\ &= ([0.2(y+1)n + 0.01(y+1)^2] - [0.2yn + 0.01y^2]) + 1 \\ &= \underline{n}(y) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Case 3.  $y = 9$ . Then  $(\underline{n+5})(y) = 2(n+5) - [1.8(n+5) + 0.81] = (2n+10) - [1.8n + 0.81 + 9] = (2n - [1.8n + 0.81]) + 1 = \underline{n}(y) + 1$  by Lemma 1.  $\square$

The following corollary is an application of Theorem 2. We can use this result to classify the number at the first decimal place of  $\sqrt{a}$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $n$  be a nonnegative integer. Then the following statements hold.*

- (1)  $(\underline{5n})(l) = \begin{cases} n+1, & l = 0 \\ n, & l \in \llbracket 1, 9 \rrbracket. \end{cases}$
- (2)  $(\underline{5n+1})(l) = \begin{cases} n+1, & l = 0, 4, 7 \\ n, & l = 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9. \end{cases}$
- (3)  $(\underline{5n+2})(l) = \begin{cases} n+1, & l = 0, 2, 4, 6, 8 \\ n, & l = 1, 3, 5, 7, 9. \end{cases}$
- (4)  $(\underline{5n+3})(l) = \begin{cases} n+1, & l = 0, 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8 \\ n, & l = 2, 5, 9. \end{cases}$
- (5)  $(\underline{5n+4})(l) = \begin{cases} n+1, & l \in \llbracket 0, 8 \rrbracket \\ n, & l = 9. \end{cases}$

*Proof.* This can be proved by a simple calculation and Theorem 2(3).  $\square$

We next give a very useful method by which, together with Corollary 3, we can calculate the numbers at all of the decimal places of  $\sqrt{a}$  for each  $a \in A_n$ .

**COROLLARY 4.** *Let  $n$  and  $k$  be positive integers, with  $k \in \llbracket 1, 2n \rrbracket$ , and*

$$\sqrt{n^2 + k} = n_t \cdots n_1.a_1a_2 \cdots$$

*be the decimal expansion of  $\sqrt{n^2 + k}$ , so  $n_i, a_j \in \llbracket 0, 9 \rrbracket$  and  $n = n_t \cdots n_1 = n_t \times 10^{t-1} + \cdots + n_2 \times 10 + n_1$ . For an integer  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , with  $r \geq 2$ , let*

- $a = n \times 10^{r-1}$ ,
- $b = a_1 \times 10^{r-2} + \cdots + a_{r-2} \times 10 + a_{r-1}$ ,
- $N = a + b$ ,
- $K = k \times (10^{r-1})^2 - 2ab - b^2$ ,
- $a_{r-1} = 5\delta + i$  for  $i \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket$  and  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$ , and

$$m = \begin{cases} 2n + \delta, & r = 2 \\ 2(n \times 10^{r-2} + a_1 \times 10^{r-3} + \cdots + a_{r-2}) + \delta, & r \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Then the following statements hold.

- (1)  $N = 5m + i$ ,
- (2)  $0 \leq K \leq 2N$ ,
- (3)  $\sqrt{N^2 + K} = n_t \cdots n_1 a_1 \cdots a_{r-1} . a_r a_{r+1} \cdots$ , which is the decimal expansion of  $\sqrt{N^2 + K}$ , and
- (4)  $a_r = \varphi_N(N^2 + K)$ .

*Proof.* (1) and (2) are clear.

(3) Note that, by a simple calculation,

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{N^2 + K} &= \sqrt{(10^{r-1}n)^2 + (10^{r-1})^2 k} \\ &= 10^{r-1} \sqrt{n^2 + k} \\ &= n_t \cdots n_1 a_1 \cdots a_{r-1} . a_r a_{r+1} \cdots . \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $n_t \cdots n_1 a_1 \cdots a_{r-1} . a_r a_{r+1} \cdots$  is the decimal expansion of  $\sqrt{N^2 + K}$ .

(4) This follows directly from (2) and (3) above.  $\square$

There are too many cases we have to consider in order to classify the value of  $a_r$  in Corollary 4 as in Corollary 3. However, if  $r$  is sufficiently large, there is almost a 100% chance that the value of  $a_r$  will become  $\lceil \frac{5(K-1)}{N} \rceil$  by Corollary 3.

The following corollary is a special case of Corollary 4 in which the value of  $a_r$  can be easily calculated.

**COROLLARY 5.** *Let the notation be as in Corollary 4, and assume that  $10^{r-1} \leq \lceil \frac{2n}{k} \rceil$ . Then the following statements are satisfied.*

- (1)  $N = n \times 10^{r-1}$ ,
- (2)  $K = k \times (10^{r-1})^2$ ,
- (3)  $a_1 = \cdots = a_{r-1} = 0$ , and
- (4)  $a_r = q$  if and only if  $q(2 \cdot 10^{r-2}n) + 1 \leq (10^{r-1})^2 k \leq (q+1)(2 \cdot 10^{r-2}n)$ .

*Proof.* (1), (2), and (3) If  $10^{r-1} \leq \lceil \frac{2n}{k} \rceil$ , then  $k \times 10^{r-1} \leq 2n$ . Hence,  $N = n \times 10^{r-1}$  and  $K = k \times (10^{r-1})^2$ , which implies that  $a_1 \times 10^{r-2} + \cdots + a_{r-2} \times 10 + a_{r-1} = 0$ . Thus,  $a_1 = \cdots = a_{r-1} = 0$ .

(4) Since  $r \geq 2$ ,  $2 \cdot 10^{r-2}n$  is a positive integer and  $10^{r-1}n = 5(2 \cdot 10^{r-2}n)$ . Moreover,  $K = (10^{r-1})^2 k \leq 2(10^{r-1}n) = 2N$  by assumption. Thus, the result follows directly from (1) above, Corollary 3(1) and Theorem 2(1).  $\square$

Next, we give a concrete example of how to use the result of this paper to calculate the decimal expansion of  $\sqrt{n}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

**EXAMPLE 6.** Let

$$\sqrt{26} = 5.a_1 a_2 a_3 \cdots$$

be the decimal expansion of  $\sqrt{26}$ ; in this case,  $n = 5$  and  $k = 1$  in Corollary 4. We now use the results of this note to calculate the values of  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  and  $a_4$ .

$\diamond$   $a_1 = 0$  by Corollary 5(3) (note that  $10^{2-1} \leq \lceil \frac{2 \cdot 5}{1} \rceil$ ).

- ◇  $a_2 = 9$  by the inequalities of  $9 \cdot (2 \cdot 10^{r-2}n) + 1 \leq (10^{r-1})^2 k \leq (9+1)(2 \cdot 10^{r-2}n)$  in Corollary 5(4) (note that  $10^{2-1} \leq [\frac{2 \cdot 5}{1}]$ ).
- ◇ In Corollary 4, if  $r = 3, n = 5, k = 1$ , then  $N = 5 \times 101 + 4, K = 919, m = 101$ , and  $919 = 9m + 10$ . Hence, by Corollary 3(5),  $a_3 = 9$ .
- ◇ In Corollary 4, if  $r = 4, n = 5, k = 1$ , then  $N = 5 \times 1019 + 4, K = 199, m = 1019$ , and  $199 < 1019$ . Hence, by Corollary 3(5),  $a_4 = 0$ .

In fact,  $\sqrt{26} = 5.0990195 \dots$ .

Let the notation be as in Corollary 4. Then the results of this paper say that if the values of  $a_1, \dots, a_r$  are obtained, then we can use these values to calculate the value of  $a_{r+1}$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Even though we don't know how practical this method is for calculating the decimal expansion of  $\sqrt{m}$  for an integer  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , it is an interesting result of finding that there is some regularity in that expansion.

**Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their careful reading of the manuscript and informative comments. The second author is an undergraduate student who has been thinking about this problem for a long time.

## References

- [1] D.M. Burton, *Elementary Number Theory*, Seventh Edition, Mc Graw hill, Singapore, 2011.

### Gyu Whan Chang

Department of Mathematics Education, College of Education,  
Incheon National University, Incheon 22012, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail:* whan@inu.ac.kr

### Geon Woo Jeon

Department of Mathematics Education, College of Education,  
Incheon National University, Incheon 22012, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail:* xxj489766@naver.com